

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you

Lisa-Marie Taylor
Chair, FILiA
www.filia.org.uk

Name :

James Miller

Message :

Public attitudes towards suicide might be positively impacted, and stigma reduced if the press would desist from using the verb "to commit" in association with suicide. The usage is anachronistic, and incorrectly implies that a criminal act has taken place, which has not been the case since suicide was decriminalised in the U.K. in 1961.

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you

Dorothy Amos

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you

Teresa Drace-Francis

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this

portrayal.
Thank you
Sharon Devine

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.
Thank you
Audrey Mullender

In your review I hope that you will be changing the Discrimination code to make it clear that discrimination based on sex (pejorative references to women) will be unacceptable. As recommended in evidence to the Leveson review, it will be important that you have the power to hear and act on complaints made by groups as well as individuals who represent women's interests (e.g. groups who specialise in countering violence against women and discrimination against women).
Kind regards
Dr Helen Mott

Dear Sir/madam
I am very pleased to hear that these codes are being reviewed as it allows us as a nation to stop discrimination against groups of people, such as women, ethnic minorities, gays and others who are regularly demeaned and misrepresented by some so-called newspapers. I am asking you, please, to change the codes to include pejorative referral to groups as well as individuals. The way that women, in particular, are depicted in some of our tabloid press, is truly horrific. It is a shameful part of our culture that such pejorative depiction of a group of people is tolerated.
Yours sincerely
DR Anita Houghton

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.
Thank you
Sue Peters

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.
Thank you
vivienne Roberts

I am asking for Discrimination Codes against 'Pejorative Referral' to GROUPS not just individuals to give the chance to challenge the constant objectification of women by the Great British Press.

Elizabeth Kalsi

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you

Raychel Lloyd

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you

Steve Stirling

To Whom it May Concern

I am asking for the Press Codes to be reviewed along the above lines. Currently, as I understand it, the national press cannot refer or depict various protected groups by degrading or demeaning words or images as it is linked to creating an atmosphere of discriminatory violence towards the protected group/s such as Jews, Muslims, people of colour, homosexuals, etc. However, this legislation has by passed women, the group that suffers the most amount of discriminatory violence in Britain through ½ million hate attacks per year resulting in 2.5 deaths a week. Media organisations, particularly those involved in distributing pornographic media, have a huge amount of responsibility in promoting this discrimination against women, via using prejudicial language about women such as calling them whores, sluts, cum dumpsters etc to denote inferior social status, and visually portraying them as enjoying violence acted out on their bodies such as rape, hair pulling, choking and under age sex. If the press council is serious in wanting to see itself as an ethical institution, I suggest it allows women the same protection that it allows men.

KR

Vicki Wharton

As a man, I'm pleased to hear you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you

Mark Pennell

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and to allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

I find it deeply unpleasant to be confronted with sexualised images of women every time I look for news. Words can't express my deep anger and shame that my young daughters are also exposed to images that drip feed them the belief that this is what society thinks they're fit for.

Thank you

Sarah Hames-Clarke

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Judith Humphreys

Hello,

I am writing to express my concern about this consultation.

It is important to have Discrimination Codes against 'Pejorative Referral' to GROUPS not just individuals, so that we may have a chance to challenge Page 3, The Sport and the constant objectification of women by the Great British Press.

Kind regards,

Jan Goodyear

Hello,

I'm sure this is nothing unique, so please add another couple of tally points for each of:

- 'Discrimination' should not be limited to individuals (i.e. discrimination against groups should also be punishable).
- Discrimination complaints should not be limited to affected parties (i.e. 'on behalf of' complaints should be allowed).
- Corrections should have equal prominence as the offending report.
- The regulator should be independently financed.

I look forward to the new code!

Thank you,

Andy Black

Good morning

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you
Dr Shelley Roche-Jacques

Dear Sir or Madam

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women on line in constant cleavage and bikini shots and in print at every opportunity. You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

For further evidence on the effects of objectifying women, please read Kat Banyard's book, "Pimp State".

Thank you,
(Dr.) Clare Hamon

Dear Review panel

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you
Lyn Farrell

I'm glad you are consulting on press codes. Please use this opportunity to put in codes to end the press' awful portrayal of women. Page 3 is not news. The Sport is not a newspaper. Freedom of the press does NOT give the press the right to objectify women in constant cleavage and bikini shots on line and in print at every opportunity.

You could do this by changing your codes on Discrimination to stop the press from making 'pejorative referral' to a group, not just an individual and allow anyone to be able to complain about this portrayal.

Thank you
Cath Deans

I take this opportunity to put forward my views, with regard to Press Codes.

Regarding Discrimination Codes against Pejorative Referral - this must also be applicable to Groups, in addition to Individuals.

Pornography in so-called 'newspapers' - often closely associated to violence against women, sexism in advertising & the objectification of women in media, must be able to be addressed.

Adverts for the Porn and Sex Industry have no place in Newspapers! These are found in many national and regional press, on a daily basis.

Sexism is one of the most complained issues in advertising (second only to the sexualisation of children).

Thank you, in anticipation.
Yonni Wilson

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to ask for Discrimination Codes against 'Pejorative Referral' to GROUPS not just individuals.

It's really important that the Code Committee understand the importance of ending discrimination towards groups of people, including women. This is a vital component of, and move towards, ending violence against women and all other groups facing degrading or discriminatory content in their cultural and business environments.

Kind regards,
Samantha Pay

I would like to object to the use of explicit sexual images of women in trash papers. These are on sale at newsagents and garages - available for young children to view the front covers. We have ruled out race discrimination, disability discrimination and sexual orientation discrimination and yet it still seems 'fine' to view women as purely sexual objects. How can women be taken seriously if derogatory images of women are on daily display? Please see this as a sexual discrimination case and ban all public displays of scantily clad women. It is demeaning especially when you have to run a team of male workers who bring rags like the sport to work to undermine you or you are trying to explain to your 6 year old son/ daughter why there is a sexually provocative picture of a woman on the front page.

Thank you
Amanda Peters

Hello,

I am writing to ask for Discrimination Codes against 'Pejorative Referral' as applying to groups, not just individuals.

This is the only way we can successfully challenge and tackle issues around discrimination against women, trans people, immigrants and ethnic groups, for example.

The media can have such an impact on leading social change, I believe there is a clear need to ensure that power comes with responsibility and hopefully positive outcomes for the UK.

I do hope you will give this serious consideration.

Many thanks.
Best regards,
Sarah Crofts

"I welcome IPSO's consultation on whether the code needs updating.

The code says a lot about protecting children. One issue that I think it should look at is whether there is enough protection at the other end of the age spectrum, particularly when we are all living longer and the risks of dementia are so real.

"Most newsdesks and editors have their own ethical codes about identifying such vulnerable crime victims, but it may be that this is a subject the code could also cover.

"I've also always been keen to persuade younger reporters to be sensitive about labelling people who feel in the prime of their lives as elderly."

Paul Witshire

Name :

Stuart Mansfield

Message :

I propose amending article 1(ii) of the code to establish a minimum level of prominence for any apology or correction. A starting point might be that it appears no further into the publication than the error in question (eg a front page error requires a front page apology - whether in print or online).

John Lavender

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.
Do the honourable thing.

Best wishes,

John Lavender

Kenneth Hartshorne
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I wish this response to be treated in confidence, but this in no way detracts from my support for the further contents in my submission given below.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Hartshorne

Harry Kerr
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harry Kerr

Lee Griffin

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to respond to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice, because I believe that the Code of Practice should make it clear that any corrections and adjudications should be published with AT LEAST equal prominence to the content that breached the code. I don't believe that "due prominence" gives enough punishment to those who break the Code of Practice, nor does it ensure that people are fairly alerted to being deceived.

It is all too easy for people to be misled, sometimes wilfully, though often because of simple errors.... however the intent or the maliciousness of the error should not play a part in deciding where the prominence of a correction should be, the only thing that should play a part is ensuring that at least the same number of people are likely to see the error corrected so that they do not continue to be misled.

For example, specifically, if the Code of Practice is breached by a false claim on a headline that takes up 50% of the front page of a newspaper, this is a claim that is seen not only by people that buy the paper... but also by people that simply walk past the paper in a shop. Those same people may walk past the paper the next day and not realise that a correction has been printed to the large and inaccurate headline because they are not scanning for a small box stating that details of the correction can be found further within the product.

Please stand up for ethical editorial behaviour, and ensure that there is no question as to whether editors are doing enough to correct their publications' mistakes; make it absolutely clear that all corrections and adjudications MUST be of at least equal prominence as the breach!

Thankyou,

Lee Griffin

Richard Camp

To whom it may concern,

This as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. When the press prints an error or a false story it hides behind the weasel words "due" prominence in the existing Code, rather than "equal" prominence, so that IPSO is allowed to "duly" bury the corrections on page 2 or elsewhere.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Camp

Rowena Easton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Rowena Easton

Alan Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

If this hapened Editors would be more carefull and it would improve journalism.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Mid Glamorgan

Alan Thomas

Daniel Firth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

City of Glasgow

Daniel Firth

Joe Feely

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. Simple, make a big mistake, then make an equally big (or if possible bigger) apology.

Best wishes,

Joe Feely

Anne Slade

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Slade

Phil Bowes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phil Bowes

Roger Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Williams

Douglas Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Douglas Wilson

Peter Tidbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Derbyshire

Peter Tidbury

Rosalinda Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. In the case of online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rosalinda Jones

margaret levy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

margaret levy

Jonathan Willson
Dear Sir / Madam

Re: Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under 'Accuracy' that corrections and adjudications should be published with **equivalent prominence** to the code-breach, not 'due prominence'.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with an apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

If the original story deserved a front page position then any correction or adjudication deserves equivalent treatment.

Yours sincerely

Jonathan Willson

Michael Unitt
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Warwickshire

Michael Unitt

Hugh Millar
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hugh Millar

IAN MALCOLM

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

IAN MALCOLM

Mike Black

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Black

David Pyle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully,

David Pyle

Peter Toolan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Toolan

David Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater London

David Walker

Richard Le Corney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Le Corney

Owen Valentine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Owen Valentine

Keith Bradbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Bradbury

paul johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

paul Johnson

Ben Knighton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxfordshire

Dr Ben Knighton

Mark Daniels

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Mark Daniels

Jane Marks Marks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

It is important that the public is aware of corrections, adjudications and apologies so that facts and truth are clear. Good reporting/newspaper reporting should acknowledge corrections so as not to mislead the public.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Marks

denis baggs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

denis bags

nigel Rycroft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

nigel Rycroft

Alistair Riddell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

Further, any statement declared as 'fact' should comply with the legal criteria of 95% or more provable accuracy, or the person responsible for inserting such, and any manager involved in such wilful inaccuracy of 'fact' be legally held accountable in the same way as criminal evidence is tested, in court. If it's factually true, there's nothing to worry about. If wilful deceit is involved, then substantial penalties should result.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alistair Riddell

Richard Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Richard Taylor

John Pinkerton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Pinkerton

Leon Gore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Leon Gore

Keith Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Lewis

Mairi Cumming

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mairi Cumming

Peter Washington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence, and with the utmost urgency, to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

DORSET

Peter Washington

Stuart McPhillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

Stuart McPhillips

Howard Best Best

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Howard Best

Malcolm McDonnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm McDonnell

Charlotte Paternoster-Howe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charlotte Paternoster-Howe

Roland C Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Roland C Powell

William Cheetham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Cheetham

Michael Smethers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Smethers

Malcolm Duckworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Duckworth

Virginia Lynch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

It is not sufficient for an apology to be hidden in the text of a paper. Any apology should be prominently displayed on the front page. This stipulation should be included in the Editors Code of Practice

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Virginia Lynch

Rohan Wijesurendra

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rohan Wijesurendra

Alan MILLINGTON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan MILLINGTON

Roger Wallman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Wallman

Graham Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Davies

George Mutch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Mutch

ROLANT ELLIS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

ROLANT ELLIS

Ronald Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ronald Powell

James Robertson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Wiltshire

James Robertson

Sandi McDonagh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sandi McDonagh

David Hardy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind Regards

David Hardy

Best wishes,

David Hardy

David Gullen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

David Gullen

mike king

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

mike king

Lyndsey Wheeler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lyndsey Wheeler

Lance Dyer

Dear Editors Code Committee

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Lance Dyer

John Long

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Long

John Nickson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Nickson

Christopher Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Wood

Rosi Edwards

I am responding to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rosi Edwards

Christine Parsons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christine Parsons

Binu C

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Binu C

Christopher Kelnar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Kelnar

John Dyer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Dyer

Andrea Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrea Wood

David Clegg

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Clegg

Ken Munn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ken Munn

Ken Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Not selected

Ken Taylor

Andrew Butkevicius

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Butkevicius

James Sarjantson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Sarjantson

stephen martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

stephen martin

Lorna Robb
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lorna Robb

Doug Brown
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Doug Brown

Nick Barnes
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Barnes

kevin mcginn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

kevin mcginn

Richard Thomson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Thomson

Calum Byrom

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxfordshire

Calum Byrom

Pam Lynch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pam Lynch

philip crockford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

philip crockford

Richard Goodswen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Not in USA

Richard Goodswen

Steven Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steven Cooper

Martin Cook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Cook

Robin Nuttall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Nuttall

Ian Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Smith

Howard Davenport

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Howard Davenport

Graham Marfell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Graham Marfell

Joseph Insole

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joseph Insole

Owen McIntyre

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Owen McIntyre

Emmett Flynn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater Manchester

Emmett Flynn

David Weight

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Weight

Ben Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Ben Morris

Andy Castellari

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andy Castellari

Philip Pavey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Pavey

Diane Madeley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Diane Madeley

John Stephenson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Stephenson

Donald Rugman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Ceredigion

Donald Rugman

Susan Gassaway
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that *all corrections and adjudications* should be published with *equivalent prominence* to the code-breach, not "due prominence". There's a big difference.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not buried further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands some chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind regards,

Susan Gassaway

John Watson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with an apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not many screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Watson

gary buckley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

gary buckley

James Henderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Henderson

Catherine Booth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with *equivalent* prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Catherine Booth

a weir

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Shropshire

a weir

Rhodri Handslip

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rhodri Handslip

Graham Johnstone
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Scotland

Graham Johnstone

Mike Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Wilson

Jan W White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Sussex

Jan W White

Jeremy Swann

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeremy Swann

David Line

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Line

Keith Swift

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Swift

ian mccoey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

ian mccoey

Raymond Cordell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Raymond Cordell

Rodney Ballard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Rodney Ballard

Mark King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark King

joe murray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

joe murray

Katy Barnett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

SELECT...

Katy Barnett

Lee Ball

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lee Ball

Kerry Symeonidis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kerry Symeonidis

Louise Peachey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Louise Peachey

Roger Davenport

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Roger Davenport

David Chandler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. Such an approach may encourage newspapers to be more thorough with their fact-checking and discourage the publication of misleading, attention-grabbing headlines.

Best wishes,

David Chandler

george graham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

george graham

Graeme Berry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating

code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Graeme Berry

Rachel Wilmot

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rachel Wilmot

Daniel Aycinena

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Daniel Aycinena

Michael O'Neill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

it doesn't necessarily need to be a major headline on the relevant page but it should be very clear. If the original article was on the front page then the correction should be as well.

Best wishes,

Michael O'Neill

Mike Blanch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind regards

Mike Blanch

Nigel Woodcock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dr. Nigel Woodcock

Anna Cundall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anna Cundall

Sharon Champion

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sharon Champion

Duncan Nimmo

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Midlands

Duncan Nimmo

frank st george

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

frank st George

David Kenihan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Kenihan

Joseph Nicholas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joseph Nicholas

Allan Leadbeater

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Allan Leadbeater

Terry Fisher

To whom it may concern, I refuse to buy tabloid papers and are very careful over which broadsheet I buy primary because of the unfair treatment far too many people receive. There has to be a right of reply, of equal prominence to the original article.

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terry Fisher

Michael Newey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Newey

Vijay Sharma

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vijay Sharma

David Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Jones

Alexandra Marks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alexandra Marks

Richard Moore

To whom it may concern,

Hi - as an avid reader of papers and magazines for many years and one who has followed all the stories and arguments that brought about the Leveson Inquiry and its outcomes, could I make a very simple request as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I believe that the code should specify that any correction or finding made against a publication should be published with an equivalent prominence to the original breach of the code.

For example when a front page has a headline or story which subsequently is determined by the regulator to be a breach of the code, then full correction or finding, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. Something similar should apply to online sites too.

In this way the same number of readers who saw the original story are likely to see the true position. And - mirabile dictu - such an approach would stand a good chance of encouraging the newspapers and their owners to take more care next time.

Please remember the victims of press intrusion and the Leveson recommendations.

Thanks for reading.

Best wishes,

Richard Moore

Patrick Highton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patrick Highton

Ian Caldwell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Wiltshire

Ian Caldwell

Harry Bower

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harry Bower

Adrian Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adrian Cox

Kenneth Best

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Kenneth Best

Anne Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Smith

Bunny Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Bunny Brown

Clare Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clare Smith

David Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

David Davies

David Davies

Klaus Bogstad

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Klaus Bogstad

Christopher Birt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Highland

Christopher Birt

David Hancock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. For democracy to be real and legitimate, newspapers should not mislead and a correction that does not have the same prominence as the original story is misleading and undermines democracy.

Best wishes,

David Hancock

Timothy Kirby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

N/A

Timothy Kirby

Roger Pybus

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best Regards,

Roger Pybus

Matthew Graham-Brown
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matthew Graham-Brown

Alan Robertson
To whom it may concern,

This is a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Alan Robertson

john saunders
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Natural justice demands that the **code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.**

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john saunders

Martin Cain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Cain

John Cummins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Cummins

Michael Keen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Keen

james cumming

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

james cumming

Keith Jenkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Jenkins

W Hugh Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

W Hugh Davies

Steve Higgins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Higgins

Nigel Gann

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

NEWSPAPERS must be the only media who can publish outright lies to millions of people and remain entirely unaccountable for retracting them, determining for themselves, without regard to their victims or readers, just how prominent any retraction should be.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel Gann

Clive Litchfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Litchfield

John Woodman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Woodman

Pam Wortley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pam Wortley

Albinas Stasaitis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Albinas Stasaitis

Christopher Cockcroft
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence", i.e. they should be on the same page and at the same position on that page where the incorrect item was published.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Cockcroft

Anthony INNAMORATI
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony INNAMORATI

N C Phillips
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

N C Phillips

David Lyons
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Lyons

Shaun O'Connell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Shaun O'Connell

ralph kirk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

powys

ralph kirk

John Tomlinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble, and under "Accuracy", that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not just an arbitrarily-judged "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

John Tomlinson

Kate Saunders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Kate Saunders

Niraj Puri

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Niraj Puri

Tony Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Wilson

Ann Macdonald

To whom it may concern,

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Macdonald

Gay McAuley Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gay McAuley Williams

Stephen Clintworth
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Clintworth

judy Barker
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

judy Barker

Suzannah Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Suzannah Brown

David Morrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Morrison

Duncan Langford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Duncan Langford

John Howley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Howley

Christopher Buck

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Buck

John Reid

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Reid

David Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Thomas

Kevin Kilcoyne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kevin Kilcoyne

James Seaton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Seaton

Stephen Richmond Richmond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Richmond Richmond

Elwyn Roy Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elwyn Roy Jones

Susan Chapman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Chapman

Derek Kersey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Kersey

Tony Gosling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I have stopped purchasing the Mail and Mail on Sunday as the quality of it's reporting has plummeted to an all time low in its pursuit of a narrow agenda. It now appears to consist merely of half-truths and exaggerations with no regard for those involved in the story. The lack of quality and blatant disregard for anything but the use of fake news which needs to be highlighted in order to

improve standards to something approaching what they were pre hacking. Therefore I support that **The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.**

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Leicestershire

Tony Gosling

Norman Dunbar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Norman.

Anthony Taffs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Taffs

Catherine McLaughlin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Outside US

Catherine McLaughlin

Ann Dowker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Dowker

Dave McEwen
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dave McEwen

Peter Openshaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Openshaw

David Redpath

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Redpath

Jeremy Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeremy Wilson

Mark Chapman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Chapman

Colin Fowler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Sincerely

C C Fowler

Jane Roberts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I am concerned that the proposed Code does not make explicit that corrections should have the same prominence (at least) as that original breach. In the absence of such equivalence, there is an incentive to print inaccurate and possibly salacious stories. It is simply not fair.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Roberts

Alan Boswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Boswell

Tom Cloughton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Cloughton

Joe Ellison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joe Ellison

FRANK RUSH

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

FRANK RUSH

Alison Walpole

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alison Walpole

Sarah Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sarah Cooper

Craig Hewitt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Craig Hewitt

Mary Gershlick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Gershlick

Martha Goddard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martha Goddard

Sue Wellstood-Eason

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sue Wellstood-Eason

David Parsonage

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Parsonage

Francis Roberts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Francis Roberts

Benjamin Mabbett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Benjamin Mabbett

Ruth Montgomery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ruth Montgomery

Chris Manvell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Highland

Chris Manvell

Judith Saunders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cambs

Judith Saunders

Penny Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Penny Robinson

Dennis Gray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dennis Gray

Geoff Sheath

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This would also be likely, in these difficult times for newspapers, to make it less profitable for editors to try to raise circulation by running sensational untrue stories on front pages if retractions had to be equally prominent. In the end fake news put out by some papers risks eating the whole industry.

Best wishes,

Geoff Sheath

Nigel Milner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Nigel Milner

David Hammond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Hammond

ALAN DEEMING

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

ALAN DEEMING

Hilary Kemp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Hilary Kemp

Richard Cundall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Cundall

Jon Truran

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hertfordshire

Jon Truran

John Bryant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Sincerely

Dr John Bryant

Peter Roulston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Roulston

Peter Stevens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Stevens

Gordon Hamilton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as my response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. It also seems

more equitable than leaving the original false impression lingering with the wronged party.

Best wishes,

Gordon Hamilton

Elisabeth Edwards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not sixty screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Moreover, such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elisabeth Edwards

Derek Mottershead

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Mottershead

Nick Hargreaves

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Hargreaves

Jonny Finch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jonny Finch

N Paul England

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

N Paul England

Martin Jenner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

In addition, a requirement that the size and placement of apology or correction messages mirror the offending article would provide additional incentive for editors to ensure high profile stories are clearly, fair and not misleading.

Regards

Martin Jenner

David Harding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Harding

Steven Bassett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steven Bassett

Peter Winslow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Winslow

Raymond Sparrow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Raymond Sparrow

Brian Gaskell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating

code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Brian Gaskell

Julian Chichester

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julian Chichester

Elisabeth Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elisabeth Smith

Eylem Levelt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eylem Levelt

Paul Kingsley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Paul Kingsley

Graham Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Powell

Stephen Barber

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Oxon

Stephen Barber

Peter Cannon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Cannon

L Warren

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach will encourage the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

L Warren

Peter North

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter North

Patricia Finn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patricia Finn

Peter Higgins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

L

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Midlands,

Peter Higgins

Isobelle McCleave

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Isobelle McCleave

David Barnard

To whom it may concern,

Please think about this response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too, and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Barnard

Dermot Eustace

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dermot Eustace

Robert Hopps

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Hopps

Richard Dalton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

se

Best wishes,

Richard Dalton

Joseph McDarby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position and such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joseph McDarby

Maria Laverty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maria Laverty

Jenny Munro

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jenny Munro

Christopher Wilson

Dear Committee members

While this letter below has been prepared by somebody else I am not sending it automatically - I feel that it is a matter of honesty and integrity that if one has been wrong then that should be broadcast to the same extent and to the same people to whom the wrong information has been given.

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best regards

Christopher Wilson

Amanda Armes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Amanda Armes

David Cowan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Cowan

Harry Percy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harry Percy

Roland Bostock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roland Bostock

Peter Sharman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kent

Peter Sharman

Keith LePla

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith LePla

Alistair Clark

To whom it may concern,

I wish to respond to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this very sensible recommendation. Please adopt it.

Best wishes,

Alistair Clark

Andrew Barnes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Buckinghamshire

Andrew Barnes

John Nelson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

UK

John Nelson

Christopher Dorling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Dorling

Peter Carne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Carne

Stephen Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Clark

Gerald Elvin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerald Elvin

Chris Reid

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

Chris Reid

Saskia Tallis-Gonggrijp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind regards,

Saskia Tallis-Gonggrijp

Elizabeth Sanderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Sanderson

Dave Rendle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Dave Rendle

Marjorie Frizelle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marjorie Frizelle

Thomas Millar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Thomas Millar

Angela Auset

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Angela Auset

Tom Woodman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Woodman

Adrian Condon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adrian Condon

peter simcock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

peter simcock

Michael Garnett-Frizelle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Garnett-Frizelle

David Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Payne

Michael Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Wright

paul clarkson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

paul Clarkson

Anne Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Taylor

Fiamma Shani

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Fiamma Shani

David Eade

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Eade

John Charlesworth
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Charlesworth

William McAllister
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Nottinghamshire

William McAllister

Andrew Haley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Haley

Carol Meredith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Carol Meredith

Rob Doragh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Like for Like, when a story is false or inaccurate.

Best wishes,

Rob Doragh

Nigel Hutchins

To whom it may concern,

how would you feel if it was one of your loved ones !

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel Hutchins

Ian Hunter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cambridgeshire

Ian Hunter

Robert John Matthews

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. This is just natural justice for the person who has originally been maligned. There is a slow burning fuse within the population at large that the media can not be trusted. This swell of feeling is quite independent of the full frontal attack on the media by the President of United States of America.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. It is hoped that such an approach stands a better chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert John Matthews

Tom Milsom

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Milsom

Bill Riddall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down i.e. Like for like.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position and learn not to necessarily take your stories at face value. Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Riddall

Baldev Sharma

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Baldev Sharma

Tony Prior

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Prior

James Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Wilson

K Bernard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

K Bernard

Peter Compton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Compton

Janet Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janet Morris

Phil Buckley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phil Buckley

Jan Hicks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jan Hicks

Brian Steedman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Steedman

Natalie Watkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Newport

Natalie Watkins

Stan Grycuk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stan Grycuk

Melvyn Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

SUFFOLK

Melvyn Brown

David Ashton

To Whom it may concern,

In relation to Accuracy, I feel very strongly that the Editor's Code of Practice should specify in no uncertain terms that where corrections and adjudications are required because of misleading/inaccurate headlines and articles, they must be given at least equal prominence as the original article and headline which were in breach of the code. 'Due Prominence' is not satisfactory. Clearly, when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not burried many screen scrolls further down.

This will ensure that readers have the same chance of being informed of the true postion as read the original story. This will provide an incentive to ensure accuracy and fairness in reporting and ensure that the reading public are not either intentionally or unintentionally misled by the paper. This is intended as a formal response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Yours sincerely,

David Ashton

Gerry Mccann

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerry Mccann

Rev Graeme Hancocks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rev Graeme Hancocks

Duncan Henderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincetely,

Duncan Henderson

Matthew Spicer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matthew Spicer

Chris Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Middlesex

Chris Clark

Chris Lack

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. It's simply unacceptable that corrections/adjudications are usually buried in newspapers, and often weeks or months after the event. The Press expects every other organisation to be accountable yet continues to act without any meaningful accountability itself.

The Daily Mail's recent "Enemies of the People" headline was particularly disgraceful. Its editor should be ashamed of the crude propaganda his paper disseminates on a daily basis.

Best wishes,

Chris Lack

Andrew Kerswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Kerswell

Irene Ridgeon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Irene Ridgeon

Irene Ridgeon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Irene Ridgeon

Colin Smith

To whom it may concern,

I am responding to the Editor's Code Committee's consultation on the Editors' Code of Practice, following many years of observation and education in relation to the regulation and supervision of the media in the UK.

It is very clear that the Code **should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications must be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, and definitely not simply "due prominence" which is totally inadequate and only brings the UK media into disrepute.**

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not, for example, 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. It would also bring **credit to the newspaper that can then be seen as recognising if and when it gets something wrong. That of of course is the mature approach to making mistakes.**

Best wishes,

Colin Smith

Paul Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Wright

Andrew Edsor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not umpteen screen scrolls further down.

That way, readers who saw original story can see the true importance of the correction. Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Edsor

Philip Minro Beeley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

Philip Minro Beeley

Phil Cook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phil Cook

Helena Fox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helena Fox

Gareth Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gareth Smith

Kate Barber
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kate Barber

David Wharam
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a breach of the code, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care to get things correct and truthful next time.

Best wishes,

David Wharam

JOAN GILLHAM
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

JOAN GILLHAM

Dean Groves

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

D Groves

Andy Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Andy Wilson

Andy Wilson

David Doyle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Doyle

John Gansler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Gansler

Linda Richardson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Linda Richardson

Mike Newton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Newton

Susan Kendrick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Kendrick

Andrew Yule

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Yule

Robert Baird

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Baird

Martin Brayne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Brayne

Andrew Bradshaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Bradshaw

Anthony Simmons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely

Anthony Simmons

Bill Howlett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Howlett

Gillian Lunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gillian Lunn

Achsa Griffiths

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

KENT

Achsa Griffiths

James Gibb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

A decent media has a duty to keep its readers informed. If they get something wrong, they should have the courage to give the apology the same prominence as the original error (of judgement, or or

fact). That would result in readers being more, rather than less, trustful of journalists and editors.
Beds

James Gibb

David Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Smith

cyril phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

cyril Phillips

Mary ODonoghue

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary ODonoghue

S Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

S Robinson

Lesley Cunneen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lesley Cunneen

David Stuart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

David Stuart

Robert Seymour

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Seymour

John Hindley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

John Hindley

John Hindley

Gwyneth Boswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gwyneth Boswell

Alec Hitchman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alec Hitchman

Syed Farrukh Ehsan Bokhari

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Syed Farrukh Ehsan Bokhari

Trevor Perkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Trevor Perkins

John Pulford MBE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Pulford MBE

Godfrey Mason

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Godfrey Mason

David Greenaway

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Greenaway

GT Birchall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

GT Birchall

Suleiman Banian

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

It is scandalous that in a world where people's reputations can be ruined news organisations are not duty-bound to correct their mistakes with appropriate prominence so that as many people as possible are aware if someone has been unfairly maligned.

London

Suleiman Banian

Graham Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This is particularly important at a time when Politicians of all flavours are increasingly using "Fake" news to drive their campaigns and agendas.

Best wishes,

Graham Martin

Cathy Martins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cathy Martins

Steven Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steven Russell

CHristopher Penney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. I am, almost on a daily basis dumbfounded at the cavalier attitude which many newspapers seem to have to the checking and verification of some of their stories. Indeed, given the fact that many of these stories are actually trying to reinforce their political stance one is forced to consider whether this lack of fact is actually deliberate.

I therefore believe that the code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. At the moment newspapers - who are continually calling for other groups and professions to be "regulated" do not seem to be able to regulate themselves adequately!

Best wishes,

Christopher Penney

Stephen Dillon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Dillon

Edward Woolley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Edward Woolley

Dominique Lennon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dominique Lennon

Tony Griffin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Griffin

Douglas Mackay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Douglas Mackay

Guy Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind regards,

Guy Hall

John Harvey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

The public rely on newspapers to expose wrong doing but their stories need to be 100% accurate. Newspapers are quick to point out other peoples errors but hide their own. The Code should ensure that when newspapers print inaccurate stories their corrections are of equal size.

West Midlands

John Harvey

Antony Sapsted

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Antony Sapsted

Alan Bollington

Mr Dacre,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Bollington

Thomas W Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Thomas W Smith

Michael Wheatley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not several screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Wheatley

Stephen Tauroza

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

If an inappropriate statement is made about a person or persons on a particular page and with particular prominence due to the typeset, then the rectification of the statement should be on the equivalent page with the equivalent typeset otherwise the rectification will be ineffectual.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Tauroza

Maureen Alcorn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maureen Alcorn

mark clements

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

mark clements

Michael Wyatt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Wyatt

David Shurlock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

David Shurlock

GERRY FORD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

GERRY FORD

Howard Lickens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Howard Lickens

John Acres

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

When a newspaper makes a mistake in what it publishes, this should be corrected by the paper in a way that is equivalent in profile to the original error that was made. An apology should also be given. The Code should make this clear.

Best wishes,

John Acres

Alison Davey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alison Davey

Stephanie Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephanie Williams

Julia Gilbertson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Julia Gilbertson

Andy Hudson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

North Somerset

Andy Hudson

Murray Lomas-Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

Murray Lomas-Walker

Phillip Anderton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

None

Phillip Anderton

Gareth Hope

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Gareth Hope

John Islip

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Islip

Kieran Gillen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kieran Gillen

ELAINE THOMSON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

South Yorkshire

ELAINE THOMSON

Ken Houston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ken Houston

Jackie Quarrell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jackie Quarrell

David Williams
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Williams

Simon Stanley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Simon Stanley

John Tippins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

John Tippins

George Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Evans

anthony nicholson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

anthony Nicholson

Roland Laycock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Notts

Roland Laycock

Richard Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Watson

roger morgan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Notts

roger morgan

Anna Colgan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anna Colgan

Steve Bryce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Newcastle upon Tyne

Steve Bryce

Carol Croft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Clause 12 of the editors' code should allow groups to claim discrimination and disinterested parties to be allowed to make complaints on behalf of others.

Best wishes,

Carol Croft

Nick Morton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Morton

Kevin Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Staffordshire

Kevin Taylor

George Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Walker

Mary Keelan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Keelan

John Phoenix

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Phoenix

Alan Matthews

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Matthews

Roy Vernon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roy Vernon

Brenda Fisher
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brenda Fisher

Elizabeth Bennett
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Bennett

John Coleman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Coleman

Lindsey Read

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lindsey Read

Christine Davison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christine Davison

David Ridley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincerely,

David Ridley

RICHARD JARVIS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

RICHARD JARVIS

Ian Pitch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Pitch

Anne Edwards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Anne Edwards

Karen Carmichael-Timson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Karen Carmichael-Timson

CHRISTINE MABEY

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

CHRISTINE MABEY

Linda Cornall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

England

Linda Cornall

Sarah Perrigo

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sarah Perrigo

Andrew Duncan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Duncan

Sarah Dunne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sarah Dunne

Ralph Elia

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Wiltshire

Ralph Elia

Mary Crick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Crick

Andrew Ramsay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the same page as the breach - i.e. front page if it occurred on the front page, etc. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not many screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincerely

Andrew Ramsay

Phyllis Hyde

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

WEST MIDLANDS

Phyllis Hyde

Michael and Iona Brand
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael and Iona Brand

Charles Gowen
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Gowen

Trevor Hesselwood
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Trevor Hesselwood

Graham Ridge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Ridge

William Murray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

William Murray

Lorna Strickson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Lorna Strickson

Harry King

To whom it may concern,

i used to buy six newspapers a week. Now I buy none and will not do do until papers act responsibly. wither and die, unless you comply

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harry King

Patricia Bagshaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patricia Bagshaw

Ian Neal

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Ian Neal

Jane Leach

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Worcs

Jane Leach

David Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. If the newspapers are uncomfortable with being called out for telling lies, their remedy is simple. Don't tell lies in the first place.

Best wishes,

David Jackson

David Cannon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Cannon

Finlay MacLeod

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Finlay MacLeod

John Porter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Porter

Annette Goulton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Annette Goulton

Justin Dowling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

If it is important enough to go on the front page, then the correction is important enough to go on the front page.

If it is shouted from the rooftops, it should jolly well be corrected from the rooftops - otherwise you're just sanctioning aggregate libel.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Justin Dowling

David Tomlinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Tomlinson

Richard Deloughry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Deloughry

Elizabeth Ladyman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Elizabeth Ladyman

Richard Carpenter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Carpenter

dave perry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

dave perry

Paul & Pam Southey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul & Pam Southey

Alison Baxter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alison Baxter

Kay Syrad

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sussex

Kay Syrad

David Viner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Viner

Derek clarke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Clarke

linda garcia

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Linda Garcia

Nicholas Lamb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Failure to follow the above principles would be suggestive of contempt for truth and accuracy in reporting and utter disregard for the potentially very serious damage to individuals which could be caused.

Best wishes,

Nicholas Lamb

Marjorie McLellan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marjorie McLellan

James Hutton
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Hutton

Gordon Shaw
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Shaw

Niki Bannister
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Niki Bannister

Roland Kennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best Regards

Roland Kennett

Richard Leedale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Leedale

Ian Burgess

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Burgess

Jason Fazackerley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jason Fazackerley

Submission from 'contact us' form:

Name :

Albert Anderton

Message :

The credibility of the Editors Code of Practice is undermined by the provision that allows apologies for errors to be tucked away in obscure parts of the publication. For simple typographical mistakes this may be acceptable; for misleading errors of fact and particularly for issues related to the privacy of individuals, equal prominence is essential. I hope that the review makes this reform.

Tom Nash

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Nash

robert holland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

robert Holland

Michael Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Shaw

Kevin Edwards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kevin Edwards

Richard Ware

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Ware

Tony Child

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Child

Dave Mayor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dave Mayor

Martin Hodgeman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Hodgeman

Robert Gunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Gunn

Ian COCKING

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

LINCS

Ian COCKING

Patricia Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Patricia Smith

Heather Clare

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Derbyshire

Heather Clare

David Coates

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Coates

Paul Lloyd

To all,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Lloyd

Rob Cooper
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rob Cooper

Kevin Somerton
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Kevin Somerton

Jen Williams
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jen Williams

Jackie Robertson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jackie Robertson

Frank Bradley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Bradley

STEVEN MCMURRAY

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

STEVEN MCMURRAY

Liz Garland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

England: Hampshire

Liz Garland

Roz Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roz Hughes

Richard TASKER

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard TASKER

Nigel Froggatt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel Froggatt

carol seddon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

carol seddon

John Bennetts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Bennetts

John Lawrence

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Lawrence

Ian Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in

opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Ian Brown

John Seddon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

get your finger out

John Seddon

David Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Johnson

David Joyce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

For far too long the press in this country has taken no notice of the true meaning of fairness whilst serving themselves and failing to correct mistakes. If you fail to take this opportunity to show that you meant all the apologies and hand-wringing during The Leveson Inquiry and that you will change how you treat us, the general public, then I will campaign as hard as I can for State Regulation of you all.

Sincerely,

David Joyce

Barbara Fairclough
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barbara Fairclough

Alastair Allan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alastair Allan

Dave Wheeler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dave Wheeler

Richard Ibbotson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Ibbotson

Anthony Campbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Campbell

Peter Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Smith

David Hatchett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position.

Derbyshire

David Hatchett

Andrew Dunne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Dunne

Walter Smithers
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Walter Smithers

Steven Wood
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steven Wood

Eddie Lopez

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Eddie Lopez

Elly Hadaway

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Elly Hadaway

Robert McKinley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert McKinley

Rodney Chainey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rodney Chainey

Margaret Mills

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Mills

philip pownall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Merseyside

philip pownall

Patrick James

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patrick James

Vicki Behm

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Warwickshire

Vicki Behm

jim pailing

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

jim pailing

Gary Northeast

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Northeast

Alison O'Brien

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alison O'Brien

Colman Stephenson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

It is only fair that when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach provides the wronged subject of the inaccurate article with the best chance of having their name cleared

Best wishes,

Colman Stephenson

Mary Owens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Owens

Peter Terry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Peter Terry

Kevin Comer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kevin Comer

Tony Normington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

A free press yes.

An ethical fair just and truthful and humble press yes.

A totally independently regulated press yes

Best wishes,

Tony Normington

andrew morbey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

andrew morbey

Geoffrey Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Durham

Geoffrey Taylor

bill hush

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

bill hush

Jeremy Tatman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeremy Tatman

Chris Keeling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Chris Keeling

Philip Norman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Norman

Robert Maggs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Maggs

Nigel Evans

To whom it may concern,

I've left the standard text below but consider this. **For many years, the mainstream media has squandered the truth in search of sales and profit from sensationalist journalism. Now we have the alt.right and others with alternative facts and fake news. The media - especially print media has to regain the moral high ground as one day the press may be the only opposition party to a powerful, populist leader. Trump has already called the press The Opposition, if he means 'those who call him to account' he could be right. The press can only be effective if it is truthful - or at the least, prepared to admit when it has got it wrong.**

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

Thank you

Best wishes,

Nigel Evans

Peter creagh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter creagh

David Howard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Howard

Rachel Sumner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rachel Sumner

Peter Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Evans

Tony Geary

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Geary

Bob Allkin

I am responding to your consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

This is so important. Please please please hear us. Please write and tell me you have listened. The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.
Bob

London

Bob Allkin

Paul Blomfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Blomfield

Mary Branson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Branson

Bryan Newton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bryan Newton

Ernest Webb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ernest Webb

Ian Baxter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Baxter

steve phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

steve Phillips

Ceinwen McMillan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Ceinwen McMillan

Jane Willmott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Willmott

Mavis Clemson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mavis Clemson

John Bedigan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Bedigan

Julia Marr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julia Marr

Donald Gugan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Donald Gugan

Andrew Stroud
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Stroud

Douglas Canning
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Douglas Canning

Justin Costley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Justin Costley

Richard Stephens
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Stephens

Barry Kneller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Barry Kneller

Roger Fryatt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

No more Fake News without Full Correction.

Best wishes,

Roger Fryatt

Paul Wickes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

In anticipation of you doing what is right,

Paul Wickes

Alan Goadsby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Alan Goadsby

John Tasker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Tasker

Beryl Stephens
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Beryl Stephens

George Bullers
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Leicestershire

George Bullers

David Bryan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

David Bryan

Norman Furnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Norman Furnell

Graham Trasler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Trasler

Steve McRobb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve McRobb

Mark Langford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Langford

David David

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not a number of screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time and avoid legal action/costs.

Yours faithfully,

David David

A R Phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

A R Phillips

Jeremy Tilford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeremy Tilford

Alan Humfress

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice by Alan Humfress a member of the public.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Alan Humfress

Alan Gilchrist

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Gilchrist

David Perry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Perry

FIONA WOOD

To whom it may concern,

I respectfully ask you to consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in its preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with EQUAL prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, together with any apology, should subsequently appear on the

front page. Online corrections or adjudications should also appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

This approach will enable the people who read the original story to see the accurate account with the same visibility plus such an approach may serve to encourage newspapers to take more care in the future.

King regards

FIONA WOOD

Hilary Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely

Hilary Smith

Judith Swain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Judith Swain

Walter Brett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Walter Brett

Ian Harris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

"Due prominence" is a meaningless phrase.

Best wishes,

Ian Harris

Steve Doone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Doone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Buckinghamshire

David Essame

CF Huggett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

CF Huggett

Pauline Dagnall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the **front page** too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the **homepage** as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pauline Dagnall

Susan Law

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Law

Brian Randell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Randell

Betty and Victor Simms

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.
Fair play please.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Betty and Victor Simms

Mark Billing

To whom it may concern,

My response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice:

The Code must require that newspapers apologise for code breaches when instructed by the Regulator, to a size and placed (due prominence) as instructed by the Regulator, both matters stated to be essential by Brian Leveson.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Mark Billing

Deborah Grossman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Deborah Grossman

David Titchmarsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Titchmarsh

Chris BLENKARN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris BLENKARN

barbara gunnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Barbara Gunnell

James Grealy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

GREATER LONDON

James Grealy

Dennis Wivell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dennis Wivell

Christopher Newdick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

This would act as a proportionate incentive on newspaper editors to report accurately. When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Newdick

Bryan Stokes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bryan Stokes

neville austin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I AGREE with all these views.

Best wishes,

neville Austin

Richard Burt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Burt

Jonathan Ladd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

Jonathan Ladd

David Carmichael

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Carmichael

James Aubrey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Aubrey

Claire Shelton-Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Claire Shelton-Jones

John Brook-Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

Come on guy's, this is obvious stuff. In the era of "fake news" holding your hands up to your mistakes in full can only be a good thing, surely?!

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

North Yorkshire

John Brook-Smith

David Cope

To whom it may concern,

Response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Cope

Maurice Gubbins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maurice Gubbins

Janet Forbes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications must be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

This is the best way to ensure that editors check the accuracy of leading stories before they publish them.

Best wishes,

Janet Forbes

Richard Horobin

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing to you because you say you are consulting on your Editors' Code of Practice. There is an important modification which should be made in the current Code. Namely that in your preamble, under 'Accuracy' you should state that corrections and adjudications must be published with EQUIVALENT prominene to the item which breached the Code, and not say with 'due prominence'. So for instance, if a front page headline or story is found by the regulator to be in breach of the code each, then the full correction, and any apology, must also be on the front page too, obviously. And the equivalent for online corrections or adjudications. Anything less smacks of hypocrisy, as only in thatway will the same number of readers who saw original story see the correction. And doing this would provide an actual incentive for a newspaper to be more careful in future.

Yours aye,⁷

Richard Horobin

Tim Searle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Searle

Thomas Lynn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Thomas Lynn

John Hitchcock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This is my honest view. I beg you to see due fairness to both sides, which is not evidenced by the present terminology. It is only just that the rebuttal of 'fake news' should have equal prominence

Best wishes,

John Hitchcock

mandy yates

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

mandy yates

Gareth Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gareth Williams

Anne Harding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sutherland

Anne Harding

Jonathan Gilbert

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

How 'due prominence' can be equated with the varying degrees of prominence afforded articles of

different natures and in different times is beyond me. It makes me wonder just what agenda is being followed to be honest when such a vague statement is put forward as sufficient remedy for press mistakes. The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not an unknown and variable scrolls down the page. Surely natural justice would demand the opportunity for the same number of readers who saw original story to see the corrected position?

Best wishes,

Jonathan Gilbert

Robin Tucker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Tucker

Heather Spiller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Heather Spiller

David Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

David Davies

David Ross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

David Ross

Jane Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and, for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Watson

Nicholas Harding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sutherland

Nicholas Harding

Gwyn Harris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Midlands

Gwyn Harris

Mark Wells

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

As a professional journalist, I believe the following requirement to be essential.

The code must specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincerely,

Mark Wells.

Linds Batson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Linds Batson

Michael Watters

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Watters

Jim Spiller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Spiller

EDWARD BLACK

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

EDWARD BLACK

Graham Lingley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Graham Lingley

John Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Anderson

carl mingard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way a similar number of readers who saw original story can get to see the truth. This approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

essex

carl mingard

Anthony Linsell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Linsell

Jill Kelk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jill Kelk

John Hancock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Hancock

Kristine Bantick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and**
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.**

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Kristine Bantick

Mark Covell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and**
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.**

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Mark Covell

Clive Darling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Darling

James West

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James West

Eric Carter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Carter

Betty Eade

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Betty Eade

Amy Boyd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Amy Boyd

Ellen Lyons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ellen Lyons

David Jennings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Jennings

Michael Thornton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Thornton

Michael Wyard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Wyard

Terry Ehlen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terry Ehlen

Lyndon Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours,

Lyndon Jones

Anne Bundock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach.

Reputations can be irretrievably damaged by false stories and newspapers should do their utmost to mitigate the damage.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

- Select -

Anne Bundock

Terry Kelk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Terry Kelk

Rashid Ansari

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rashid Ansari

Alister Gourlay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alister Gourlay

David Nicholson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

David Nicholson

Tom White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom White

John deBorde

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

North Somerset

John deBorde

Peter Caswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Caswell

Paul Thompson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Glos

Paul Thompson

Colin Peterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Colin Peterson

Chris Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Midlands

Chris Turner

Judy Liebert

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Judy Liebert

Mike Farmer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Farmer

Paul Jenner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Jenner

David Bronnert

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Bronnert

Roger Hart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Hart

Harry Kingman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harry Kingman

Marilyn Meyer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Argyll

Marilyn Meyer

David Coates

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

David Coates

Diane Dolley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Diane Dolley

Martin Helm

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

None

Martin Helm

John Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

John Davies

Martin Pierce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincerely yours,

Martin Pierce

Liz Gwynne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

I think this is a very serious problem which damages any remaining respect for journalists. It could be addressed by editors making sure they have checked a story for accuracy and evidence before giving it prominence.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Liz Gwynne

Roy Howat

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Bedfordshire

Roy Howat

Victor Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

IPSO should specify equivalent-prominence corrections.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Victor Wright

Michael Condon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Condon

Rod McCarthy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rod McCarthy

Eileen Allen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eileen Allen

E J Thompson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

E J Thompson

Paul Gillett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Gillett

David Headon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kent

David Headon

Gary Gatter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Gatter

John Hopper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. It would also reflect an attempt to mitigate the injustice done to those who have been treated unfairly in the original story.

Kent

John Hopper

Christopher Thorne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Thorne

Jack Waterhouse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jack Waterhouse

bulbunth singh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes

bulbunth singh

James Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Young

Malcolm Pears

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Pears

Kristina Day

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lambeth

Kristina Day

Martin Whillock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Whillock

Fleur Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fleur Young

Denis Layton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Denis Layton

John Pring

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Pring

Roy Battersby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roy Battersby

Brenda Steele

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brenda Steele

Peter Scally

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Scally

Rachael Wade

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Go on, be brave - equivalent prominence , I dare you!

Best wishes,

Rachael Wade

Fiona Molloy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Fiona Molloy (Mrs)

Aubin Lee

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Aubin Lee

Ray Dye

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Contact PM Office email form

Ray Dye

Bryan Rees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not a number of screen scrolls further down.

That way a similar number of readers as saw original story can see the true position. Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bryan Rees

Kamal Hussain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kamal Hussain

Derek Winslow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Winslow

Elizabeth Askey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Askey

Keith Reed

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Derbyshire

Keith Reed

Fiona Ballantine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Fiona Ballantine

Graham Wilkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Graham Wilkinson

Derek Livingston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Livingston

Roy Hawes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roy Hawes

Teresa Hayter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Teresa Hayter

Mike Reid

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Reid

Daniel Greenwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Daniel Greenwood

Jane Morley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Morley

Brian Fraser-Duffield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Lothian

Brian Fraser-Duffield

Joseph McGeer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joseph McGeer

Richard Leeming
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Leeming

Ann MacAulay
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann MacAulay

Peter Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Smith

Glyn Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Glyn Evans

Ruth Loughran

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ruth Loughran

Norine Callan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Norine Callan

Richard Smith Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Smith

Eric Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Payne

david simpson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

david simpson

Brian Latham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Latham

David McClair
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David McClair

Mark Hollinrake
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Hollinrake

Norman Dunn
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Norman Dunn

Tim Seddon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Tim Seddon

Lindsay Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

Lindsay Brown

Mark Spedding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

Mark Spedding

Evelyn Murray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Evelyn Murray

Adrian Grant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Adrian Grant

Peter Regan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Regan

Janet Eccles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janet Eccles

Kenneth Gould

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Gould

michael tite

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

michael tite

Neil Mceleny

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Mceleny

TREVOR NORTH

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

BERKS

TREVOR NORTH

Neil Barford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Barford

Geoff Wolmark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoff Wolmark

Michael Starling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Starling

Howard Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, it is only fair & just that the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. Online corrections or adjudications should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

This would ensure that the same number of readers who saw original story could see the true position, and such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

Howard Young

Sonja Harbottle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sonja Harbottle

Simon Harrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Harrison

peter croasdale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

east sussex

peter croasdale

David Roberts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Roberts

Annette Whitelaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Annette Whitelaw

A Whitehurst

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

A Whitehurst

Marcia Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marcia Cox

Gareth Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gareth Lewis

Jonathan Blewett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

UK

Jonathan Blewett

Eric Wyllie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Wyllie

Pirkko Korkia-Kenward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pirkko Korkia-Kenward

Peter Wilton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Wilton

Ian Collingwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Collingwood

Andrew Kay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxfordshire

Andrew Kay

jack dagnall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

jack dagnall

Garth Groombridge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Editors should remember they have a greater responsibility to reporting *factual news*, not propaganda or outright distortions of truth. While they can express their personal opinions as editorials, the British people deserve - and, alas, have not always had - a balanced, more honest, and more fact-based reportage and headlines. Certain newspapers feel they can print outright untruths, one-sided facts, and even unwarranted character assassination - often of people no longer able to defend their reputations. More than ever we need honesty and balance, rather than division and intolerance. Recently the tone has lowered still further, to dangerous levels that threaten the very fabric of our society. We need to be more mature, more intelligent, not returning to the black and white stereotypes of the 1930s!

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, **should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.**

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Better still, perhaps think before you print! Your actions and words have consequences, and ultimately, while they might *seem* to serve some short-term political goal or editorial policy, those consequences can have a dangerous and devastating outcome. Looking back at media campaigns in the past, they have not always left the editor or proprietor covering in glory. Quite the opposite! Getting it wrong can result in more than just having to fight a libel action. You may end up destroying **your own reputation**, not always that of the intended target, person or policy.

Best wishes,

Garth Groombridge

William Dickie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Dickie

stephen sewell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

stephen sewell

Patricia Kneen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patricia Kneen

Tony Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Smith

Mark Latham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Latham

val harris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

val harris

Mike Kirk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Kirk

James Farrington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Farrington

Scott Mather

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. It is only fair after all eh.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

uk

Scott Mather

Melanie Greig

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Melanie Greig

Michael Atkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Atkinson

Peter Worley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Worley

Matt Salusbury

Please find below a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. I write as news editor of business-to-business monthly EL Gazette (www.elgazette.com) and a freelance journalist.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent, equal prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

There are already numerous Twitter feeds in operation that do a really good job of forwarding the tiny little corrections that (eventually) appear in newspapers, together with the words "the Mail (or whoever) printed this tiny little correction on their story on Muslims (or whatever), but it's really small, so please help spread the word for them by retweeting it." (@TheSunapologises is a good example.) In the age of Twitter, trying to "hide" pathetic little corrections on page 8 just makes newspapers look cowardly and rubbish, and people find out anyway. Far better to 'fess up and have

the courage to print corrections with equal prominence on the front page, where at least we can marvel at their honesty and their (sadly not very evident at the moment) capacity for humility.
Regards

Matt Salusbury

John Hickey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Wales

John Hickey

Alan Marshall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Alan Marshall

Chester Parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chester Parker

Diana Urquhart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Diana Urquhart

Alan Howlett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Howlett

Cam Bowie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Cam Bowie

Charlie Fawell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The apology should be a proper and appropriate one in proportion to the offence previously given. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Charlie Fawell

Patricia Marsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kent

Patricia Marsh

Mike Fraser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Mike Fraser

Tony Mercer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Mercer

Valerie Bolton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Brighton and Hove

Valerie Bolton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ash Leighton Plom

Cathie Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with "equivalent prominence" to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cathie Jones

liz crocker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

liz crocker

Annie Newsham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Annie Newsham

Michael Ohl

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Ohl

Andrew Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Cox

Colin Somerville

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Somerville

Harry Mellor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

regards

Harry Mellor

Paul Bowring

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Bowring

John Parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Parker

Paul Pickering

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with GREATER prominence (in order to properly rectify any injustice caused) to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Berkshire

Paul Pickering

John and Jacqui Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John and Jacqui Davies

justin kneen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

glos

justin kneen

Helen Coulson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Coulson

MARK STEWART

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

MARK STEWART

Albert King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Albert King

Scharlie Wraight

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Scharlie Wraight

Margaret Reason

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Reason

John Kane

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Kane

David MATHESON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David MATHESON

john curtis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

john curtis

john Curtis

Evelyn Welsh Welsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Evelyn Welsh

Rob Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Rob Payne

Nick Aitchison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Aitchison

Allan Norman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Allan Norman

David Pollock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Pollock

Brian Shuttleworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

MERSEYSIDE

Brian Shuttleworth

John Wilkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Wilkinson

J Simpson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

J Simpson

Iain Macaulay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain Macaulay

John Ellis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dyfed

John Ellis

Brian Coccozza

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. The implications, from the perspective of the publisher, of an apology or a correction being the first thing members of the public will see and the perceived main selling point of the issue are largely irrelevant here: it may not 'look right' for this to happen and could indeed damage their profit margins, but then this is the kind of repercussion that would be prevented simply by the virtue of responsible journalism, meaning that the incentive to employ it would be there, unlike before.

If newspapers cannot see themselves the need to protect those who stand to suffer in the wake of false news stories, it is only right that they are forced to, because this in any civilised society is non-negotiable. No editor has the right to put the livelihood of anyone at risk for the sake of unit-shifting on the basis of publicly available writing whose content is not verifiable as the truth - particularly if it has been expressly proven otherwise. The price for doing so should be as close to that which so many people in the past have paid, as a result of such practices, as possible.

Best wishes,

Brian Coccozza

Glyn Hill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours Sincerely,

Glyn Hill

Philip Marchant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Marchant

Jim Gardiner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Gardiner

Tim Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Smith

Ros Kane

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ros Kane

Don Davidson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxon

Don Davidson

W Fitzgerald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

W Fitzgerald

Peter Guegan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

So, would it be possible to consider what the editors' code/codebook should have to say about the use of aphids, ie packets of floating text "in inverted commas" not quoting direct speech?

To the extent that information being reported is factually accurate, to what extent might the use of aphids enable media platforms to facilitate corruption transparently?

To what extent might aphids enable third party mischief to be executed deniably? To the extent that harms arise in such circumstances, what sort of arrangements are/should be put in place to make sure that media platforms do not effectively become complicit parties to such wrongs?

In instances where complaints are brought, what sort of arrangements are/should be in place to be able to determine that where media reports have given rise to third party sponsored harms that would not have been able to be manifest had the source(s) not had access to the media platform, then the media firm's responsibility extends so far, based on the report's truthfulness/accuracy and these are the processes to trace and identify the sources, and these are the processes to contest the sources activities and to hold the sources to account?

What else may be generally relevant in this context? Thank you for your consideration of this enquiry.

Traumatised

Peter Guegan

Michael Quick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Mid Glamorgan

Michael Quick

John Pigott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

Please consider why the original should have chosen the words "due prominence" as it seems systematic of the problem that the code is supposed to be designed to prevent, and will only add to the view that it is a cynical attempt to protect papers from the proper consequences of their actions.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Pigott

Nick Allerton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Allerton

peter todd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Contact PM Office email form

peter todd

David Lovett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The action taken thus far has been extremely lenient and not in the best interests of the general public. One of the primary tools we have to hold those in power responsible for their actions is a free, fair and open press with appropriate oversight.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Regards,

David Lovett

Lynda Bond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lynda Bond

Steve Bonser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Bonser

ANDREW CHADDER

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andy Chadder

Sally Ericsson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sally Ericsson

Geoffrey Shea

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Shea

Andrea Hardy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrea Hardy

Kate Daum

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kate Daum

Brian Glover

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Glover

Douglas Clive Robertson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Douglas Clive Robertson

SURESH CHAUHAN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

SURESH CHAUHAN

George Handel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Handel

John Duggan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

North Yorkshire

John Duggan

Angela Ewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

merseyside

Angela Ewart

Noel Wade

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Noel Wade

Julian Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julian Cooper

Maggie Levett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Maggie Levett

Janice Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janice Young

Jeremy Marsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jeremy Marsh

Lesli Miller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lesli Miller

Simon Burgess

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Burgess

Bevelie Shember

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bevelie Shember

David BRIGGS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

SOUTH YORKSHIRE

David BRIGGS

P Ashcroft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater Manchester

P Ashcroft

Paul Timmins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Timmins

Valerie Mortlock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Valerie Mortlock

chris petrie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Petrie

Carolyn Lochhead

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carolyn Lochhead

John Dixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Dixon

John Ogden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

BERKSHIRE

John Ogden

Sue Reeve

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sue Reeve

Rob Hancock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rob Hancock

Graham Upton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Upton

Bob Crabtree

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bob Crabtree

Janet Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janet Davies

GEORGE SMITH

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

GEORGE SMITH

Trevor Baxter Baxter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Trevor Baxter

Stephen Holmes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Holmes

Howard Richards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Howard Richards

Glyn Roberts

Dear Paul Dacre,

This as my response to the consultation on the Editors' Code of Practice.

Please help to keep up the public's trust in newspapers by specifying that corrections should have EQUAL prominence.

It wouldn't make front pages look silly. It would make them look honest and reliable.

Best wishes,

Glyn Roberts

Ian Robertson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Robertson

Stanley Chappell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stanley Chappell

Wendy Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Wendy Davies

Gary Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Gary Russell

Nick Munton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Munton

Philip Moran

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Moran

Ronan Mc Mahon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ronan Mc Mahon

Nick Bailey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Bailey

Paul de Zwart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul de Zwart

Phil Barlow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Phil Barlow

Glenn Beighton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Glenn Beighton

David Crosson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Crosson

Michael Smallman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Smallman

Jeff Grandfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeff Grandfield

michael weaver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

michael weaver

Derek Melbourne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Melbourne

Colin Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Payne

James Redmond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Redmond

Anne Burns

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Burns

David Ladbrook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Thank you.

Best wishes,

David Ladbrook

Alex Economou

To whom it may concern,

I fully concur with the following template letter.

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alex Economou

David Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Gareth Morgan Jones

Andrew Plant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Plant

Rychard Carrington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully,

Rychard Carrington

Harold Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harold Hall

John Lincoln

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Lincoln

John Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Russell

Lindsey Pearce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lindsey Pearce

Jo Bodsworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jo Bodsworth

Nick Wiseman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Wiseman

Megan Southern

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Megan Southern

Amanda Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

MERSEYSIDE

Amanda Thomas

Robert Spalding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Spalding

John Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I note that IPSO says "front page corrections 'would look daft' ". That seems an excellent reason to do it, so that the newspaper will be more careful to make sure that front page stories are accurate.

Regards

John Hall

Rob Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rob Payne

John Ritchie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Ritchie

Chris Slater

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Slater

Ann Battle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Battle

brian twohig

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

brian twohig

Michael Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Contact PM Office email form

Michael Thomas

Hugo Blake

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hugo Blake

Ann Needham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Needham

Marilyn Zanconato

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marilyn Zanconato

Sam Rowsell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Sam Rowsell

John Clifton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

John Clifton

PETER LINDEN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

PETER LINDEN

Timothy Rawe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Timothy Rawe

Ian Dickinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Dickinson

Emma Raymond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Middlesex

Emma Raymond

Ian Curran

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Curran

Nicola Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Nicola Hall

David Pirie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

David Pirie

Paul Cowburn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Cowburn

Jonathan Blinman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Blinman

J.P. Clues

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

J.P. Clues

John Jefferis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Jefferis John Jefferis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Jefferis

Martin Palmer

To whom it may concern,

It has always concerned me that corrections were never equal to the errors of poor reporting.

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Palmer

Dan Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify both in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page as well. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not hidden out of view unless the page is scrolled to the bottom.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dan Jackson

Richard Hoskins
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Hoskins

Jacqueline Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jacqueline Thomas

Roger Luffman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Luffman

Alex Tarvit

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Fife

Alex Tarvit

Michael Dyett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Dyett

Deborah Kim Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Swansea

Deborah Kim Hughes

Dave Neale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dave Neale

Jane Kingswood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

thanks and best wishes

Jane Kingswood

Howard Harris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Howard Harris

Dennis Elphick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dennis Elphick

Isla Burton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Isla Burton

Ian Trollope

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

**The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".
The correction should match the error in prominence otherwise it is meaningless.**

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Trollope

Jane Winter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jane Winter

Don Patterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I would like to show some originality in making an eye-catching suggestion. However, the words immediately below state clearly and unequivocally what needs to be done in the interest of balance. I am sure that if, by chance, misinformation about an editor was published in a rival newspaper, he/she would request equal prominence for an apology when published.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

[select state]

Don Patterson

Donald Cattanach

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Donald Cattanach

Patricia Betty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patricia Betty

nouri taghani

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

nouri taghani

Michael Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with an apology, should appear on the front page too. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage that the original story first appeared, in a font size and type face equal to the original offending article.

The same readers who saw the original story must see the true position. Such an approach would be a sharp reminder to the newspaper that it must take more care next time (or indeed that should be no "next time"). Perhaps a fine (say 1% of salary per offence) to be mulcted from the journalist(s) involved and the newspaper editor would help them concentrate?

Yours faithfully

M Smith

John Sullivan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Sullivan

Colin Godber

To whom it may concern,

I am responding to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I agree with "Hacked Off" that retractions, apologies and acknowledgement of inaccuracies about published articles and reports that breach the code of practice should be given equal prominence to the original article.

It is a poor reflection on the professionalism and integrity of leading Editors such as yourself that this point needs to be made.

Yours sincerely

Colin Godber

Simon Monument

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

None

Simon Monument

Patricia Robinson

To whom it may concern,

This is something which should be included in the Code.

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

WEST SUSSEX

Patricia Robinson

Walter Lynas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Walter Lynas

Elizabeth McSorley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

North Lanarkshire

Elizabeth McSorley

john parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Herts

john parker

Chris Rothery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

City of Edinburgh

Chris Rothery

Amber Melville-Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Amber Melville-Brown

trevor kinvig

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

best wishes

trevor kinvig

Andy Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andy Anderson

Bryan hutchings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

bryan hutchings

Nicholas Symons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nicholas Symons

Celia Savage

To whom it may concern,

This as a response to the Editors' Committee's consultation on its Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". 'Due prominence' can mean anything you like it to mean. As a reader, I would welcome such corrections to be displayed prominently so that I can be aware of the facts, and so that I can avoid making wrong judgements about people, individuals or organisations. Obviously this is even more crucial for those whose records are being put right.

When the Regulator has determined that a front page headline or story is in breach of the a code, the full correction or adjudication and any apology should also appear on the front page. Online corrections or adjudications should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared. It is no good whatsoever placing corrections even a few screen scrolls further down. Information which affects people's lives and reputations MUST be displayed prominently and readily accessible.

Only then can the same number of readers who saw original story - not to mention any who may have heard of but not read it - can see the reality of the position, ie the truth. Also, such an approach should encourage a newspaper to be more careful in future.

I have believed this for many years, so welcome at last the opportunity for things to be handled better, for the benefit of all.

Kind regards

Celia Savage

Toby Robertson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Toby Robertson

Helena Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater London

Helena Robinson

Neil Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Cox

Marc Sinden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marc Sinden

Gary Gibbons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Gibbons

Arthur Kyle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Arthur Kyle

Paul Brooke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Brooke

Ted Vine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ted Vine

Robert Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Jackson

Martin Lambert

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Lambert

Andrew Cross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours respectfully

Andrew Cross

John Suffolk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Suffolk

Kenneth Abbott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Abbott

Martin Kelly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Kelly

Ron Connolly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ron Connolly

Gail Royce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gail Royce

Mike Richards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

Newspapers make fortunes from sensational front stories, but unfortunately, some of these are found to be false - sometimes through genuine error, but all too often, stories are published based on nothing more than innuendo, speculation and malice.

Where a story is found to be false, newspapers must be forced to print the correction and apology with equal prominence to the original story. A screaming front page headline that turns out to be a lie cannot be corrected several months later in an obscure, short statement lost in minor news stories. The press screams out that it does not want government regulation, but unless it can act in a mature manner when it is found to have misled its readers, it must be forced to comply with common decency.

Thank-you for reading,

Mike Richards

Norman Silverstone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Norman Silverstone

Geoffrey Lang

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Lang

Nigel Baynham
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Mid Glamorgan

Nigel Baynham

Kevin Roy
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kevin Roy

Robin Edwards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Edwards

Tony Gardner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Gardner

Robert Sanders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Robert Sanders

Michael Weipert

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Weipert

Steve Baines

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Midlands

Steve Baines

David Saker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Saker

Brian Sadler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

OXON

Brian Sadler

John Vetterlein

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Vetterlein

Mike Chiu

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". In other words, the headline and content should be comparable in emphasis, font size and space occupied as the original article deemed to be in breach.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Chiu

Tim Pickles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cumbria

Tim Pickles

Gareth Langley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gareth Langley

Stephen Beatson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Beatson

Paul Roberts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Roberts

Janet Raiker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Renfrewshire

Janet Raiker

David Cant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

David Cant

David Hooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Hooper

Stephen Londesborough
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Londesborough

Charles Lamb
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Lamb

Helen Beebee
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with *equivalent* prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Beebee

Alfred Gliddon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alfred Gliddon

Keith Grafton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Keith Grafton

Laura Stuart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Laura Stuart

Kim Tomlinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kim Tomlinson

Alastair Croall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Alastair Croall

Bob Morley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bob Morley

don stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

don stewart

David Burnley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

David Burnley

Peter Calliafas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Calliafas

Derek Kelsey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Kelsey

Marilyn Sanders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marilyn Sanders

Andy Newton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andy Newton

Bernhard Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bernhard Smith

Peter der Parthog

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter der Parthog

Mark Brayford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I can think of no just reason why a correction should not be given the same position, size, and prominence as the story that was in breach of the code in the first place. It is important and naturally fair that "News" of the breach and therefore the correction is as visible as the original breach, informing the readership of the erroneous article.

Best wishes,

Mark Brayford

Mr Howard Godley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mr Howard Godley

David Cheesman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Cheesman

Janet Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janet Hughes

Pamela Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pamela Taylor

Charles Corser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Corser

Giles Armour

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Giles Armour

Chris Dale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Dale

Nat Nmeterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nat Nmeterson

Janice Perkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janice Perkins

Elaine Seefeldt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elaine Seefeldt

Ann Jungman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Jungman

Keith Burley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Burley

David Muskett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Muskett

Michael Ayling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Ayling

Jim White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim White

David Helliwell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Helliwell

Joe Phillips

N21 3PD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joe Phillips

Danielle Hutchinson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Tyne and Wear

Danielle Hutchinson

John Frankland
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Frankland

Michael Patterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Patterson

Neil THOMPSON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Staffordshire

Neil THOMPSON

Paul Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Paul Martin

Thomas. E Farmer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Thomas. E Farmer

Paul Luton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Luton

Michael Hoyle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Hoyle

Gary Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Carms

Gary Martin

Andy Woods

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andy Woods

Hadleigh Wilks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Suffolk

Hadleigh Wilks

RICHARD BOWN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Norfolk

RICHARD BOWN

Kathleen Gray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kathleen Gray

Sheila Ashworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sheila Ashworth

Phil tucker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phil tucker

Denis Hawkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Denis Hawkins

Tricia Sumner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tricia Sumner

John Kelly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Kelly

David Hyatt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Hyatt

Carol Beevers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carol Beevers

Alexandra Le Quaipe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Alexandra Le Quaipe

Richard Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

R J Smith

Richard Smith

Denis Ackerley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Denis Ackerley

Robert Macaulay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Macaulay

Rowland Stone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I believe strongly that for IPSO to be taken seriously as a Leveson compliant organisation, the code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rowland Stone

william Morrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

william Morrison

richard gmiterek

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

richard gmiterek

John Harbinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Harbinson

Simon Hedges

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Hedges

John Beevers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Beevers

Brian Mack

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Mack

Barry Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Payne

Katy Archer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Katy Archer

Brian Ratner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Brian Ratner

Christopher Painter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Painter (Professor)

jeremy ridge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

jeremy ridge

Susan Hutton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Hutton

tony sullivan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

tony Sullivan

Francoise Carre

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Transparency in publishing would greatly help the democratic process. So prominence of corrections is a MUST !

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Francoise Carre

charles mcdonald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

charles mcdonald

Diane King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Diane King

Geoff Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Geoff Cox

john tyler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john tyler

James Lawrence

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Lawrence

Ron Holman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

(Other)

Ron Holman

Vicky Seddon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vicky Seddon

Andrew Donelan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours,

Andrew Donelan

Amanda Theunissen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Amanda Theunissen

Adrian Birden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adrian Birden

Malcolm Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Powell

Christina Martinez

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing this in response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. When a front page has a headline or story which is found by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should also appear on the front page. For online corrections or adjudications, the correction and apology should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not many screen scrolls further down. In this way, the same number of readers who saw original inaccurate story will see the correction and apology, thereby encouraging the newspaper to take care to check its facts in future.

The code should therefore specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

Yours sincerely

Christina Martinez

Ray Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ray Watson

Robert Twigg

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Twigg

Ros Hutchinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ros Hutchinson

jean archer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

jean archer

Shaun Fensom

To whom it may concern,

This is a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice
Corrections and apologies should have as much prominence as the article with the original error.
Otherwise, frankly, they mean little.

Regards

Shaun Fensom

Colin Chapman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Chapman

Mark Crowne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Crowne

Paula Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paula Robinson

Clive Constance

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Why are newspapers so afraid of the truth?

Best wishes,

Clive Constance

Sara England

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sara England

Graham Webb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Webb

Martin Cowgill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Please Select:

Martin Cowgill

Philip Pearce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Pearce

Martin Gillian

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Gillian

David Hodgkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Hodgkinson

Neil Reed

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Reed

Greg Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Greg Davies

Brian Astbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Astbury

Mike Cheshire

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Cheshire

John Flinders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Flinders

Dave Parratt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Dave Parratt

Michael Whitehouse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not just “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Whitehouse

Karen Summers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Karen Summers

Hazel Elliott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hazel Elliott

keith somers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

keith somers

Joe Romero

Dear sir or madam,

This is a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

To ensure the same number of readers see the correction as those who saw the original story, and to positively encourage newspapers to ensure they take care when publishing stories, when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the adjudication and/or full correction, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not so far down they can't be seen immediately by a visitor to the sites.

Best wishes,

Joe Romero

Sam Sexton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Sam Sexton

Sue Keen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sue Keen

Vivien Eddy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vivien Eddy

Jayne Bowers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jayne Bowers

Muriel Lewington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Muriel Lewington

John Cosham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Cosham

Peter Lofthouse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Lofthouse

Jim Dignan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Dignan

Colin Hamilton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Hamilton

Lorna Reith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lorna Reith

Jim Steward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Suffolk

Jim Steward

John Fountain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Fountain

Seb Mills

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cambridgeshire

Seb Mills

Paul Makin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Makin

Alan Watkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Watkins

John Harris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Harris

Robert Daniel

The code must specify that corrections and adjudications must be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

Anything else encourages lies.

Regards

Dr Robert Daniel

Julian Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julian Jones

Frank Gresham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Gresham

Harvey Mellar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harvey Mellar

Nigel HUNTER-GORDON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Ross-shire

Nigel HUNTER-GORDON

keith crawshaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

keith crawshaw

PHILIP GERMAN-LLOYD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

PHILIP GERMAN-LLOYD

Barbara Saunders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barbara Saunders

Chris Ashby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Ashby

David Crowson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Crowson

William Barnett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Barnett

David Sumner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Sumner

David Holmes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Holmes

Simon Scattergood-Heath

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Scattergood-Heath

Bill Mayo-Bedford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kent

Bill Mayo-Bedford

Mark Leggett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Leggett

Morag Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Morag Lewis

Phil Caisley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely

Phil Caisley

Les Lees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Les Lees

Alan Errock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Errock

Jim Black

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Black

Ken Hanrahan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Merseyside

Ken Hanrahan

Bill McCudden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill McCudden

Marianne Stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marianne Stewart

David brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position and the correction is given equal prominence.

Newspapers fight for fairness and fair treatment for all. By the same rules newspapers should be fair when they've made mistakes and give the correction equal prominence

Best wishes,

David Brown

Bob Leitch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Select...

Bob Leitch

mike sharman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

alicante

mike sharman

Stuart Skyte

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should, in my view, specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". This is fair.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

As a result, the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. Newspapers themselves will also benefit as readers will respect their approach to accepting that an error has been made.

Best wishes,

Stuart Skyte

Joe Butterworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joe Butterworth

Paul Savident

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Savident

sam munday

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This seems so fundamentally obvious that I can't believe we have to ask for it.

Best wishes,

sam munday

James Oldham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Oldham

David Hannay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Hannay

Wilson Newbould

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Wilson Newbould

ROBERT VANT

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

ROBERT VANT

Alan Franklin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Franklin

Ann Round

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Round

harry lovatt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

harry lovatt

Eric Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Smith

cecil david d rhodes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

cecil david d Rhodes

nick harding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

nick harding

tim sutton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

essex

tim Sutton

Tony Musker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Musker

Martin Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Anderson

Glyn Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Glyn Evans

Cindy Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cindy Clark

Dan Daniell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

Corrections or adjudications should also appear in the same size of type as the headline or story.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dan Daniell

Lesley Wheaton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lesley Wheaton

Mark Talbot

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Talbot

Joseph Neilson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joseph Neilson

Brian Hoskin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Hoskin

Barry Knight
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Knight

Geoff Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoff Walker

Andrew Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Robinson

Chris Carnall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Chris Carnall

Roger Whitcomb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Whitcomb

Adrian Vincent

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adrian Vincent

Andrew Pendlebury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Pendlebury

David Alden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

Quite apart from the Leveson Report making this recommendation, I believe the embarrassment potential of a *prominent* apology would act as an effective deterrent and cause editors to think twice before publishing.

I also believe the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one.

Best wishes,

David Alden

Frann Leach

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frann Leach

David Malone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Malone

Andrew Francis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Francis

Lesley Coumans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surely this is only fair? If newspapers want to be taken seriously, and trusted to print the truth, they must commit to correcting mistakes in an honest and up front way.

Best wishes,

Lesley Coumans

Annette Heritage

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Annette Heritage

Annette Heritage

James Cusiter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Cusiter

Steve Pickover

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Pickover

keith stuart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

keith stuart

I McHugh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincerely,

I McHugh

Bruce Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bruce Taylor

Liz Mills

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Liz Mills

Terry Farrer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terry Farrer

John Pike

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Pike

Robert Panther

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Midlands

Robert Panther

David McNair

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David McNair

Ed Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ed Powell

Robert Coultas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Coultas

Edward Burt
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Edward Burt

Andrew Barker
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a major code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

Where a proportion of the front page story is in breach then the prominence of the adjudication or apology should, on the front page, be in proportion to the level of the breach of the original story. For instance if the story takes up 75% of the front page and 1/3 of the story is in breach then at least 25% of the front page should be used for the adjudication.

That way the same number of readers, including those who just viewed it on the newsstand, who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

Andrew Barker

Frank House
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank House

Joel Stockhill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joel Stockhill

Charles Spencer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Spencer

Mike Fleming

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Fleming

Ray Beale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Ray Beale

andrew taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

andrew taylor

Werner White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Werner White

Stephen Cons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Cons

Amanda Baines

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Amanda Baines

Malcolm Coward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Coward

Barbara Green

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barbara Green

Miles Holtby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Miles Holtby

John Warburton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Warburton

William Campbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Lanarkshire

William Campbell

Santha Blankley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Santha Blankley

Jennie Sapherson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jennie Sapherson

David Hunt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

David Hunt

Nottingham

G Fitzgerald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

G Fitzgerald

Trevor Knight

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Trevor Knight

tom nolan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

tom Nolan

David Heath

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

David Heath

kevin alexander

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

kevin alexander

David Mason

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Mason

Brian Whiteoak

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

But surely, in these days of fake news and 'alternative facts' you would want to show the readership and the general public that you are at the forefront in challenging even a malicious sniff that you could dream of such a thing.

Best wishes,

Brian Whiteoak

Paul Healey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Healey

Allan J Stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Allan J Stewart

Callum Gordon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Callum Gordon

Yvonne Mitton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Yvonne Mitton

Michael Salmon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Salmon

damian kerr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

damian kerr

Robert Doel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Robert Doel

Anne Lucas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Lucas

Steve Tupper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Steve Tupper

David Medd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Medd

Martin Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

Martin Walker

Philip Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Jackson

paul ferris

To whom it may concern, and Paul Dacre

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Actually, the newspaper should be fined, and the funds passed to those you make up stories about. It's embarrassing that the nation's press makes Trump less of a liar, with their fake news.

please abolish yourselves and establish a proper watch dog

paul ferris

Edward Lazell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with "equal prominence" to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Edward Lazell

gerald chare

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

gerald chare

Brian Ashford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Ashford

Adrian hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify that the regulator has the power, and indeed a duty, to require apologies from editors and to direct the placement, size and in some instances, content of such an apology

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm and distress to victims.

please note that this response is to be treated in confidence.

Best wishes,

Adrian hall

Mark Rees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Rees

Anthony Grinter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Grinter

Philip Ralph

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Ralph

Guy Travers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Guy Travers

Julia Critchley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julia Critchley

Ben Moore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ben Moore

veronica norburn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

veronica norburn

Mike Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Hampshire

Mike Young

Deborah Ballinger-Mills

To whom it may concern

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes

Deborah Ballinger-Mills

Sarah Service

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sarah Service

Bill Fraser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Fraser

Helen Edmondson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Edmondson

Christopher Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Smith

Peter Carew

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Carew

Peter Kauth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Kauth

Ray Weaver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ray Weaver

Jonathan Benn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Benn

Jill Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jill Hughes

Richard Hyland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Hyland

Mary Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Wright

Paddy French

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paddy French

Thomas Gardner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Thomas Gardner

Giuseppe Sollazzo

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

london

Giuseppe Sollazzo

Ian Finley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Finley

kenneth ellis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

kenneth ellis

Jeremiah Costello

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cambridgeshire

Jeremiah Costello

Brian Croft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Croft

Simon Price
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Price

John Calder
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Calder

Iain MacFarlane
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain MacFarlane

Lillian Adams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Yours faithfully

Lillian Adams

Elizabeth Baldwin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Baldwin

Marie Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marie Jones

christina van melzen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

christina van melzen

W J KLISZEWICZ

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

W J KLISZEWICZ

darrell biddulph

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

darrell biddulph

Robert Maasakkers
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Maasakkers

Lynn Wiseman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lynn Wiseman

Colin Nicholson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Nicholson

John Bushell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Bushell

Steven Mair

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hertfordshire

Steven Mair

Brendan Docherty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Brendan Docherty

Phyllis Frances Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phyllis Frances Davies

Heather Price

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

Heather Price

Khosro Dabir

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

This note is not for publication.

Best wishes,

Khosro Dabir

Lee Graham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lee Graham

michael service

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

michael service

Andrew Lincoln

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Lincoln

Gavin Cambridge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gavin Cambridge

Frankie Dysart
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Frankie Dysart

Peter Young
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

In this way, the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. And, of course, it is 'fair'!

Best wishes,

Peter Young

BRIAN ROSEBURY
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

BRIAN ROSEBURY

Steve Fallows

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

Steve Fallows

Prof Dennis Wilcock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

The press should concentrate on accurate reporting and not pushing the prejudices of the owners, most of whom do not live in the UK.

Best wishes,

Prof Dennis Wilcock

Daniel McGee

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cornwall

Daniel McGee

ROGER OAKES

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

ROGER OAKES

Ray Whittaker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Herefordshire

Ray Whittaker

alan porter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

alan porter

Mark Dunne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Dunne

David Blakemore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Blakemore

Ben Pearson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

OXFORDSHIRE

Ben Pearson

John Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Russell

Paul Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Smith

Paul Disney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

It seems simple, fair, balanced, and entirely just that corrections and adjudications to a breach of the code should be published with the same prominence to the code-breach.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Disney

Roger Coales

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Coales

Rob Bradley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lincolnshire

Rob Bradley

Derek Maylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Maylor

karen mazon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

karen mazon

Brigid Gardner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Brigid Gardner

Anne Jennings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Jennings

David Bailey

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

This ensures that newspapers take proper steps to correct mistakes and publicly apologise for poor behaviour.

Best wishes,

David Bailey

Caleb Ranson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

Caleb Ranson

Keith Francis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

Keith Francis

Brian Denton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Brian Denton

Stuart Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stuart Smith

peter barker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Hampshire

peter barker

Joan Addison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joan Addison

Robin Hapgood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

THERE SIMPLY IS NO COUNTER ARGUMENT TO THIS. IT IS SO OBVIOUS IT MUST BE DONE. THE MEDIA MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO CARRY ON LIKE THEY ARE THEY ARE A NATIONAL DISGRACE
Best wishes,

Robin Hapgood

Mary J Chesney
EX16 7BN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary J Chesney

Sylvia Berney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sylvia Berney

John Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Inaccuracies and downright lies will happen to be printed from time to time. It seems more than reasonable to insist you give equal prominence to the correction and apology when damaging mistakes are made whether the mistake was accidental or malicious.

Best wishes,

John Thomas

Jan Tasker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jan Tasker

Martyn Heesom

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martyn Heesom

Prof Mark Hobart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Prof Mark Hobart

Marilyn Joyce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marilyn Joyce

Ross Thornton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ross Thornton

David Whild

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Whild

John Lawrence

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Lawrence

Hywel Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hywel Thomas

Nick Travaglia

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Travaglia

Nick Shearman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Shearman

Chris Tinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Tinson

Kirsten Cameron

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kirsten Cameron

Kerry Nixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kerry Nixon

Nancy Richmond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nancy Richmond

Mike Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Smith

Steve Hartman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Hartman

Martin Beckett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Beckett

Siobhan Stamp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Siobhan Stamp

Alan Wilkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Wilkinson

Abiy Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Abiy Wright

alan warrener

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

alan warrener

James Morgan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Morgan

Darren Nelson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Darren Nelson

Stephen Miley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

Stephen Miley

Richard Seaford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Seaford

John Burrows

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Burrows

Tim Middleton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Middleton

Neil Finbow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours

Neil Finbow

Richard Peperell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Peperell

Duncan Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Duncan Brown

Kevin Walsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kevin Walsh

Michael Norton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Norton

Tydnno Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tydnno Davies

Ronnie White
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ronnie White

Timothy Mullen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Timothy Mullen

David Streeter
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Streeter

Jo Noblett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jo Noblett

Frank Cosgrove

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Cosgrove

Michael Westcombe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Westcombe

Alan Gleeson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Gleeson

Neil Sloan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Neil Sloan

Daniel Flanagan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Daniel Flanagan

Jane Roffe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Roffe

Andrew Fell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Andrew Fell

Simon Parry
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Simon Parry

Dorian Samuel
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

You should do as you would be done by.
Regards

Dorian Samuel

Philip Haggart
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Haggar

Graham Johnston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Johnston

feroze duggan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

You sold the paper with the headline, made money, now change it with vogour!

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

feroze duggan

Francine Hoenderkamp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

***The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and***

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Francine Hoenderkamp

Robert Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Jones

Bruce White

To whom it may concern,

I would like you to consider this response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

This would align the Code of Practice with the Leveson Report. The newspapers have argued that an insincere apology is worthless. Well, let the complainant be the judge of that and allow readers and the public to come to their own conclusions about whether or not an apology is meant. It is a principle of justice that the victim should feel that there has been proper redress, and a prominent public apology is a symbol of redress. Also, public apologies, whether meant or not, signal contrition, and contrition implies 'I will not do this again'. This acts as a deterrent to repeating code breaches. Thank you for considering my response.

Best wishes,

Bruce White

Charles Moberly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Moberly

peter parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

peter parker

Gerald Greenwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerald Greenwood

James Hogan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cambridgeshire

James Hogan

Kris Joslin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Bristol

Kris Joslin

MAUREEN JACKSON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where

the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

DEVON

MAUREEN JACKSON

IAN JACKSON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

DEVON

IAN JACKSON

James Ross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

James Ross

Ian Rae

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in

opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Ian Rae

John Dallman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Dallman

John Tomlinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Tomlinson

Andy Becker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in

opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes and please stop damaging press credibility

Andy Becker

Steven Nott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Steven Nott

Jill Baston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jill Baston

Naomi Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in

opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Naomi Shaw

Lorraine Meehan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Lorraine Meehan

Craig Houston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

London

Craig Houston

Ann Adair

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in

opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Yours sincerely,

Ann Adair

John Lavis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Durham

John Lavis

Norman Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Norman Wright

Nicky Sutton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Northants

Nicky Sutton

William Perrett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

William Perrett

Steve Dickens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Surrey

Steve Dickens

Jonathan Adams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and**
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.**

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Adams

Paul Izard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Paul Izard

Paul Ramsey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Yours

Paul Ramsey

James Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

James Smith

Christopher Boulle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Further, mendacity in the press should be punishable. The editor and proprietors of the newspaper guilty of mendacity should be punished as well as any lower level reporter guilty of such an offence.

Oxford

Christopher Boulle

Julian Nicholls

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Julian Nicholls

David Hurry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

David Hurry

william & Tessa Rees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

william & Tessa Rees

Allan Harries

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Allan Harries

Fred Foxon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

None

Fred Foxon

Sheila Hallam

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Sheila Hallam

maria luisa ferragatti

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to

discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

maria luisa ferragatti

Martin Durkan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Durkan

lewis weavers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

lewis weavers

Patricia Crompton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Patricia Crompton

Andy Driver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andy Driver

John Ablitt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Ablitt

Nigel Lindsay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation after careful consideration. The argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Nigel Lindsay

Sonja Harbottle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sonja Harbottle

Patricia Jack

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Patricia Jack

Dilys Hillman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dilys Hillman

Stephen McNair

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Norfolk

Stephen McNair

Robin Henderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robin Henderson

Graham MARTIN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Graham MARTIN

David Ballard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Ballard

Jan Plummer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Jan Plummer

John Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Wood

David Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Brown

Rena Brewin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rena Brewin

Walter Rundle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Walter Rundle

David Ward Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Ward

Kathy Coutanche

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with 'equivalent prominence' to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. Headline font should be the same size and colour as the original story

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kathy Coutanche

PC HARRIS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

KENT

PC HARRIS

Keith Hodgson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Hodgson

Ryan Bain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time preventing detrimental effects on society from opinions formed due to false information or a missed correction/apology.

Best wishes,

Ryan Bain

Wayne Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Wayne Smith

Rhoda Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Rhoda Martin

Roland Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roland Powell

Roland Powell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Roland Powell

Jane Samuels
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Samuels

Wilson Newbould
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance

between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Wilson Newbould

John Crampsie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Crampsie

Alan Ramage

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Devon

Alan Ramage

Chris Laming

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Laming

Matthew Newman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matthew Newman

Arthur Blake

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Arthur Blake

Irene Kovacs

To Whom It May Concern

The code should specify, in the preamble and under "Accuracy", that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, *not* "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, together with any apology, should appear on the front page too. And, for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, and not some screen scrolls further down.

This way, it is more likely that the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Additionally, such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Your sincerely,

Irene Kovacs

Roger Temple

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Temple

Noel Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Noel Williams

Ron Jenkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

GWENT

Ron Jenkins

Paul Hanmore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Paul Hanmore

Jake Hotson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jake Hotson

Walter Shekyls
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Walter Shekyls

Mei HURRELL

To whom it may concern

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Response to the IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to STOP plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

It should be mentioned that this ought to be considered as standard publishing practice and failure to do so simply demonstrates to the public, especially students, that plagiarism is acceptable.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you have the responsibility to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Yours faithfully

Mei HURRELL

John Bridson

To whom it may concern,

I wish to submit the following comments in response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Citing the threat of political interference, elements of the print media have argued vehemently against full implementation of Lord Justice Leveson's recommendations, in order to protect the independence of the press. However, political interference is not the only undermining influence on the independence of the press; at least as corrosive is the influence of undeclared or inadequately disclosed financial and other interests of third parties.

In the interest of public confidence in the independence of the press, there should be a new clause in the Code of Practice on honesty and transparency, requiring newspapers to make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted

on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

Yours faithfully

John Bridson

Geof Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

West Yorkshire

Geof Hughes

Alexander Dobbie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alexander Dobbie

Christine Dawson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should also appear on the front page and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christine Dawson

David Hopkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Hopkinson

David Elsy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equal prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Elsy

Merlin Cox
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equal prominence to the code-breach, not merely with "due" prominence (a term which seems to have been deliberately formulated to be imprecise).

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

Furthermore, the size of font used for the title of the correction or adjudication should match that of the original code-breaching headline.

That way the same number of readers who saw original false story can see the true correction. Only such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care not to print "fake news".

Best wishes,

Merlin Cox

Steve Foy
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Foy Steve

Martin Johnson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Contact PM Office email form

Martin Johnson

Amer Saad

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Amer Saad

Tina Mahon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Tina Mahon

Mike Hodges

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mike Hodges

Jean Healy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jean Healy

G R Parker

To whom it may concern,

I would be grateful if you would consider this response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to make it clear that newspapers and all associated websites are not allowed to use photographs from Facebook and all other social media without permission from the copyright holders and without offering to buy the copyright from the rightful holders.

No one who posts in social media would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation: if they want payment, they can offer their text to a source (such as a newspaper) where a fee is payable. Photographs are different as they can have a lasting value and some subjects may not even know that their photographs are available on the internet. It follows that permission to publish should be sought and some payment for the copyright should be offered (even though the rights holder may well be happy not to receive any fee, just an acknowledgement). Newspapers should not be able to raid social media sites and take what they like without permission or recompense.

The practice of taking material without permission or any fee payment is common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy' - or simply as 'theft'. I have worked with Digital Rights Management and can see no argument for newspapers not to be made to behave responsibly.

I look forward to you acknowledging the need for clearly ethical behaviour.

G R Parker

Joseph Ogden
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Joseph Ogden

David Green
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Pembrokeshire

David Green

Cliff Robinson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the

work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

UK

Cliff Robinson

Chris Murrell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Chris Murrell

Jez McKinley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jez McKinley

Julia Marr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Julia Marr

Claire Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

Claire Davies

Ron Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ron Thomas

Ronald Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ronald Powell

Douglas Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Douglas Wilson

Chris Woodd-Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. This will also provide an incentive for editors to avoid inaccuracies as far as possible.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position.

Regards

Chris Woodd-Walker

Mr. J. Joseph

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Mr. J. Joseph

Gerald Harniman

To whom it may concern,

With freedom to express one's views comes responsibility to others and their's, so please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Gerald Harniman

Simon Treves

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Treves

Ian Parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Ian Parker

Peter Hawkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Hawkins

Paul Hayes

To whom it may concern,

Editors' Code of Practice response.

These are difficult and troubling times. The press is under attack in places such as Turkey, Russia, and most troublingly, the US. More than ever we need free, reliable and trustworthy newspapers.

As an ordinary person I'm concerned about fairness. Newspapers quite rightly hold powerful people to account when they're wrong and we also need newspapers to behave properly when they make errors.

When it is necessary for a newspaper to issue an apology it should be given equal prominence to the original story. We, the readers, deserve this and as already alluded to, it is only fair (and in truth we all know this).

Thanks for considering my response.

Best wishes,

Paul Hayes

Richard Batsford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Batsford

Martin Kingsley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Kingsley

David Girling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Girling

Lindsey Pearce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Lindsey Pearce

Lindsey Pearce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given

and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Lindsey Pearce

Carole Salmon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Carole Salmon

George Clayton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Clayton

Mike Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Jackson

Jocelyn Barker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jocelyn Barker

Jacqueline Rice

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jacqueline Rice

Glyn Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Glyn Lewis

Janice Long

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janice Long

Barry Turnbull

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Turnbull

Natalie Watkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Natalie Watkins

david fort

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

david fort

Susan Leonard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Leonard

Anne Cartwright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Anne Cartwright

robert mcMahon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are

ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Regards

Robert Mc Mahon

Tim Shepherd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

I'm tired of newspapers accusing others of shirking their responsibilities when they haven't the guts to be responsible themselves

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Tim Shepherd

John Haggerty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

John Haggerty

Michael Benson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Michael Benson

Keith Howie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Keith Howie

Paul Atherton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Atherton

ben mayers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

ben mayers

Simon Giarchi

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Simon Giarchi

Stewart Feather

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stewart Feather

Malcolm Parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Parker

Michael Wheatley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Michael Wheatley

Tony Swaine
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Tony Swaine

Philip Selbie

To whom it may concern,

In my opinion, the Editors' Code of Practice should specify in the preamble and under 'Accuracy' that corrections and adjudications should be published with **equivalent prominence** to the code-breach, not 'due prominence' as it currently reads.

This means that when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too at the earliest opportunity. In the case of online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the **same place on the homepage** as the original story first appeared.

This would mean that the same number of readers who saw the original story are most likely to see the true position and such an approach should encourage the newspaper to take more care next time it reports a similar 'headline grabbing' story.

I trust you will consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Thank you.

Philip Selbie

grant key
Dear Sir,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families

should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Yours faithfully

grant key

Gillian Tyson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Greater London

Gillian Tyson

jack doran

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

jack doran

Nick Travaglia

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nick Travaglia

Alix Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alix Watson

Erica Hamilton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Erica Hamilton

Isobel Millard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Isobel Millard

Paul Williamson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Williamson

Paul Williamson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their

own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Your sincerely

Paul Williamson

Delma Warden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Delma Warden

Sheila Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sheila Anderson

Colin Green

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Green

Adrian Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Adrian Young

Clive Meredith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Meredith

Patricia Lawlor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Patricia Lawlor

A R Phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

A R Phillips

Jonathan Clark

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy.

The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Kind regards,

Jonathan Clark

Phil Crumpton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Phil Crumpton

Michael Hill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Hill

David Tiller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

David Tiller

mark raven

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

mark raven

Terry Douglas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terry Douglas

Joan Norris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Please select

Joan Norris

Henry Stamp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Peter Osborne did a very good thing, being principled and with integrity. People like him shouldn't have to go to such lengths: newspapers and their editors should be honest and transparent. The BBC manage it as do a few newspapers. Its called good journalism.

For the HSBC case and other reasons I now don't believe anything the Telegraph says, and don't trust the Daily Mail much either. So change the Editor's Code and put some trust back into the press, bringing the worst up to the standards of the best!

Sincerely and honestly,

Henry Stamp

Graham Bell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Graham Bell

Richard Beale
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Richard Beale

Yvonne Boa
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Yvonne Boa

Stuart Huang-Wright
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Cheshire

Stuart Huang-Wright

Peter McLoughlin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

As is stands the code is deliberately inadequate and must be strengthened to prevent the bullying of individuals who do not have the power of the press.

Best wishes,

Peter McLoughlin

Christopher Lowe
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I post a lot of photos on Instagram and Facebook, and I do not want to have my copyright rights stolen by newspapers. It is important that the Code is amended to protect copyright of content creators.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from

facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Christopher Lowe

Bob Crabtree

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Bob Crabtree

Ros Coffey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ros Coffey

Jennifer Gibbens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Gibbens

Paul Nightingale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Nightingale

Lisbeth Bourne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Lancashire

Lisbeth Bourne

Ross Thornton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ross Thornton

Ernest Webb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Ernest Webb

Anthony Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Anthony Cox

William Wrigght

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

William Wrigght

Andrew Barnes

To whom it may concern,

I am disabled and use a wheelchair until your newspaper. made all disabled people into spongers I had never been attacked. Since IDS and you made us all hate targets I have now been attacked 3 times.

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to

discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Buckinghamshire

Andrew Barnes

Brian Griffiths

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Griffiths

John Beattie

Actually, paper's would make a vast commercial gain if they changed to publishing responses from their readers half and half with new material.rnrnWhat passes for new reporting these days is not great. Responses from interested parties and the public and the consequent public debate would liven up papers no end and would almost certainly lead to improved revenues from the paying public.rnrnAs for hiding refutations in small print at the bottom of some middle page, well, Hacked-Off says it far better than I could.rnrnSo:rnrn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Beattie

Michael Murphy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Murphy

Christine Kennard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Christine Kennard

Jeff Biggin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jeff Biggin

Michael Tully

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Moreover that regulator should not only be independent, but seen to be so. Now that even police disciplinarys have to be in public and in serious cases to be chaired independently, it is time that the Press be regulated, so that freedom of the press is balanced against the right to privacy and judged by others than themselves.

Best wishes,

Michael Tully

John Beattie

It is plainly BS not to pay for copyright material, as everyone in the media is fully aware from the moment they join, through every meeting or discussion.
You need to start enforcing this before the general public wakes up to the idea that there is a goldmine to be had in retrospective copyright payments.
The below is Hacked-Off's template which I support.

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

John Beattie

Alan Fryer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan Fryer

Bob McLellan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Bob McLellan

Michael Moody

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Moody

Nigel Rowe
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Nigel Rowe

Alan Mcvitty
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Mcvitty

Alan Mcvitty
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan Mcvitty

Paul Bostock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Paul Bostock

Robert Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

- Non US -

Robert Cox

Paula Woodward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paula Woodward

Tony Newcombe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Editors' Code should be changed so that the public interest guidance, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression just as the courts do.

I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, but it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and without an equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect of their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy and a standards code, operated by what should be an independent regulator, should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Tony Newcombe

usman hasan

To whom it may concern,

I intend this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. Please consider it as such.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same

weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Many thanks,

usman hasan

Terry Knight

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Terry Knight

Anthony HollandT

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Anthony HollandT

gareth cavill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

gareth cavil

CHRISTINE MABEY

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

CHRISTINE MABEY

Alex Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alex Jones

George Gardner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

George Gardner

Steve McRobb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Steve McRobb

Mark Wodrow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Wodrow

Brian Eales

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Eales

Vic Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Vic Hall

Mike Dixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Dixon

Ronnie White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ronnie White

Matthew Weller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Other/International

Matthew Weller

Craig Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Craig Davies

Liz Mills

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Liz Mills

Michael Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Thomas

Michael Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Thomas

stephen manning

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

stephen manning

EMRYS Jenkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

EMRYS Jenkins

Sue Drummond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Derbyshire

Sue Drummond

Brian Ratner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brian Ratner

Mary Owens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mary Owens

Barry Allsopp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Barry Allsopp

Davinder Gill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Davinder Gill

Richard Leeming

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from

facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Leeming

Olwen Marmion

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Olwen Marmion

Colin Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Colin Smith

Henry Woledge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Henry Woledge

Jonathan Blinman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Blinman

Paul Leeper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world",

newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paul Leeper

james sinfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

james sinfield

Roy Howat

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Bedfordshire

Roy Howat

Anne Bristow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Anne Bristow

Andrew Gilliver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andrew Gilliver

David Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Williams

Phillip Anderton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

None

Phillip Anderton

Robert Fraser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert Fraser

Michael Simpson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

- n/a

Michael Simpson

Hugh Dunkerley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

East Sussex

Hugh Dunkerley

Hugh Dunkerley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

East Sussex

Hugh Dunkerley

Jonathan Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Morris

Ron Holman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

(Other)

Ron Holman

John Birrell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Birrell

dan bentley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lincolnshire

dan Bentley

DAVID Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

DAVID Cooper

Pauline Lindsay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pauline Lindsay

Paul Hainey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Hainey

norman o'brien

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

surrey

norman o'brien

Jasper Littman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Jasper Littman

Jasper Littman

John Price

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Price

Mr R Bravery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mr R Bravery

Catherine Parkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race,

nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Catherine Parkinson

Philip Tapper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Tapper

Kate Burns

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Kate Burns

Brian Sweeney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Sweeney

Jane Fuest

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Suffolk

Jane Fuest

stephen francis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are

ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

stephen francis

Richard Green

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright. Newspapers which report and make judgements on the news need to make sure that they practice the values they purport to represent. They value their copyright and to lift publicly posted images without permission, citation or payment runs contrary to that stance.

This practice appears to be especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Green

Ken BRUNTON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

When will you realise and accept that the British Public want Leveson Part 2 NOW and that we do not trust IPSO at all or the British press generally, who are mostly run by their corporate owners and in thrall to the hegemonistic 'Powers that be'.

Just get real and do something honest, for once!

Yours faithfully,

Ken BRUNTON

Stephen Thomas
To whom it may concern,

This is my response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Thomas

Ben Blake
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Ben Blake

Kathy Scott
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy.

The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Kathy Scott

Frank MUGFORD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

When will you realise and accept that the British Public want Leveson Part 2 NOW and that we do not trust IPSO at all or the British press generally, who are mostly run by their corporate owners and in thrall to the hegemonistic 'Powers that be'.

Just get real and do something honest, for once!

Yours faithfully,

Frank MUGFORD

Marianne Stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Marianne Stewart

Alastair Allan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Alastair Allan

Michael Shaw Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Shaw Shaw

Elizabeth Tauroza

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Tauroza

Sue Fasquelle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sue Fasquelle

Oliver Darlington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Oliver Darlington

Garry Rice

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Garry Rice

Garry Rice

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Garry Rice

Simon Kane

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Kane

Manuel Herrera

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Manuel Herrera

RAYMOND SIDELL

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

RAYMOND SIDELL

Judith Reynolds
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

South Yorkshire

Judith Reynolds

John Sheerin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Sheerin

Bruce Dalzell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a

newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Bruce Dalzell

Richard Scholes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best Regards

Richard Scholes

Owen Valentines

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Owen Valentines

Rowland Carson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Rowland Carson

Rowland Carson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Rowland Carson

Norman Hunter
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Norman Hunter

G B Fryer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

G B Fryer

Margaret Meehan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Margaret Meehan

David Ross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

London

David Ross

Bill Mason

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Mason

Nickolas Grace

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their

own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nickolas Grace

Margaret Kerry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Margaret Kerry

Anthony Carew

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Anthony Carew

Steven Templeton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from

facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Steven Templeton

Suzanne Winders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Suzanne Winders

Christopher Wilson

Dear Committee members

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Please eliminate this inconsistency in the code

Best regards

Christopher Wilson

Cliff Kellow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Cliff Kellow

Andy Gowen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Antrim

Andy Gowen

David Cannon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Cannon

Martin Palmer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Martin Palmer

James Draper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

James Draper

Arthur Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Arthur Hall

Margaret Kerry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Kerry

M Koperski

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given

and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

London
M Koperski

Gaye Hall
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Gaye Hall

Norman Furnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Norman Furnell

Anthony Hayden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Hayden

Alan Roff

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan Roff

Michael Dyett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Dyett

aaron grant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

aaron grant

William Murray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

West Yorkshire

William Murray

Christopher Maggs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Christopher Maggs

Matthew Lowrey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matthew Lowrey

John Clinton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Clinton

Eric Youd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Youd

Carole Connolly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carole Connolly

Peter Dean

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their

words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Dean

Philip Marchant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Philip Marchant

Edward Moses

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Edward Moses

Lesley Maggs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Lesley Maggs

Brian McNeany

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Brian McNeany

Gerry Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the

bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Gerry Shaw

Gerry Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerry Shaw

Eric Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Eric Payne

Robin Bainton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this poor practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robin Bainton

Jeffrey Stansfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is reported to be especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this practice of 'social media piracy'. I am probably naive but would like to think such behaviour is uncommon especially by those who like to preach to the rest of us and as such it should be a minor matter to make the necessary amendment to limit those who would steal copyrighted material.

Please note that for personal reasons I would like this submission to be treated as confidential.

Best wishes,

Jeffrey Stansfield

David Chapman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page as well. Similarly, for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage that the original story first appeared, not many screen scrolls further down. This is only fair and reasonable. If a publisher chooses to shout out an accusation (the equivalent of a front page story) that is in fact wrong, then the apology should be voiced equally loud. In this way the number of readers who saw original story have an equal likelihood of seeing the true position. It would not be long before editors took more care in avoiding false accusations or similar errors.

Any industry that cannot police itself responsibly can expect a rigorous external regime.

Regards

David Chapman

Kevin Stray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kevin Stray

Richard Abram

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from Facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Abram

Joe Feely
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Joe Feely

Neil Reed
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Neil Reed

andy wilson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code

complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

andy Wilson

Roger Alderman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Alderman

John Pring

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

John Pring

Ian McLaughlin

To whom it may concern,

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Yours faithfully

Ian McLauchlin

H Selley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

H Selley

Marian sadler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Marian sadler

Louise Lovely

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Paul Dacre stands trial for the brutal murder of the truth, it was said in court that a man matching his description was seen wielding a blooded axe over the head of a pensioner Lady Democracy. Dacre had nothing to say and grinned at the jury.

best regards

Louise Lovely

Stuart Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stuart Robinson

Pam Wortley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pam Wortley

John Bridge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Essex

John Bridge

Christopher Wild

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Christopher Wild

Rodger Chaplin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from

facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rodger Chaplin

Neil Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Brown

Peter Bodemeaid

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Bodemeaid

David Packham
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

This is fundamental requirement for the Code of Practice. Too often papers will abuse their front pages to "scream" some misleading statement or even direct lie. When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. I have heard that some papers think that this would "look daft". What it would do is to ensure that a much higher proportion of the readership saw that the paper had breached its code of practice: this would be a positive benefit, not "daft".

Similarly for online corrections or adjudications: these should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Elizabeth Lea
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Lea

Michael Pender-Cudlip
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Pender-Cudlip

Stella Lea
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Stella Lea

John Lea
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Lea

Peter Langton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Langton

Gill Dean

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gill Dean

Keith Midgley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must

make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Keith Midgley

Jeffery Marchant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jeffery Marchant

Paul Freeman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Contact PM Office email form

Paul Freeman

james colvin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

james colvin

Adam Gregory
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Adam Gregory

Paul Smith
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paul Smith

Steve Crown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Steve Crown

Eve Tallis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eve Tallis

Peter Newman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a **confidential** response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice and therefore **not intended for publication**.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this questionable practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Newman

Trevor Hayman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

I accept that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, but that is not the sole and overriding consideration in deciding if an action or article is in the public interest. The rights of individuals should also be acknowledged and considered equally.

The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

I expect my right to privacy to be respected in connection with this submission and my details held in confidence. Since the press frequently attribute quotes and stories to anonymous sources when it suits, I see no reason why I need to be named if my comments are quoted.

Kent

Trevor Hayman

Alex Latham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully,

Alex Latham

John Poole

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I understand that the form and nature of a newspaper's response to discovering that its coverage has been wrong, false, distorted or whatever, is up for consideration.

It seems to me that a suitable correction should be printed with equal prominence to the original 'offending' article. Anything else must mean that a proportion - perhaps a large proportion - of the readers who have been misled or misinformed will not receive the correction. Consequently, they are likely to continue in blissful ignorance of the true state of affairs.

If newspapers are truly concerned to present accurate and balanced information to their readers - can they be otherwise in a civilised society? - then equality of error and correction must become the rule. One might ask if it is not already the case, then why not. Let us instead look forward to cleaner, more honest practices in the future, reinforced from the top of the accountability pyramid.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind regards,

John Poole

Richard Cundall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Cundall

Andrew Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Andrew Martin

Paul Ashley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paul Ashley

Wayne Jenkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Wayne Jenkins

Christine Stratford-Little
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christine Stratford-Little

Trevor Perkins
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Devon

Trevor Perkins

Malcolm Jenner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Norfolk

Malcolm Jenner

Malcolm Jenner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Norfolk

Malcolm Jenner

David Gingell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Norfolk

David Gingell

Malcolm Gibbs
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Cornwall

Malcolm Gibbs

David Hunt
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Notts

David Hunt

P Monteith
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or

recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

P Monteith

Robert French

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert French

George Paechter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

George Paechter

Joan Hammell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Joan Hammell

bob rose

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

bob rose

Davina Malcolm

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be in breach of the Editors' Code, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

This way it is more likely that the same number of readers who saw the original story will see the true position. The impact of the original "incorrect" version cannot be undone, but at least it can be

mitigated. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Davina Malcolm

John Moorby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

GREATER LONDON

John Moorby

Raymond Hendriksen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Devon

Raymond Hendriksen

Linds Batson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Linds Batson

Declan Alford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Berks

Declan Alford

Bill Dufton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race,

nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Bill Dufton

Leon Gore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Leon Gore

David Barnard

To whom it may concern,

This is a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Barnard

robert turlington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

robert turlington

David Streeter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Streeter

Ben Cadwallader

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Ben Cadwallader

Peter Tatchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Tatchell

Anne Ledbetter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Nottinghamshire

Anne Ledbetter

margaret levy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done

about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

margaret levy

Jerome Kuehl

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jerome Kuehl

Robert Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert Brown

David Caals

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

There have been far too many cases of the correction or apology appearing far into the body of the newspaper instead of on the front page where the original inaccurate or offensive article was emblazoned.

If this equal prominence became the norm, the same number of readers who saw original story will then see the true position. Just as importantly this approach will encourage the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Caals

David Giddings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Giddings

Pat Finn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are

ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pat Finn

Colin Hankinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Colin Hankinson

Rick Axcell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rick Axcell

Cherry Lavell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code

of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Cherry Lavell

Dave Berriman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Dave Berriman

MICHAEL ECCLES

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

MICHAEL ECCLES

MICHAEL ECCLES

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

MICHAEL ECCLES

William Wooldridge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. Particularly identifying persons before formal charges have been investigated & charges brought. Regulation by the press publishers is open to abuse & must be governed by law. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

NK - Norfolk

William Wooldridge

Jacqueline Smart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jacqueline Smart

Steven Bassett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Steven Bassett

philip crockford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

philip crockford

William Allbrook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same

weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is extremely important as is currently being shown in the US, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy.

The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

William Allbrook

Tom Cloughton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy.

The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Tom Cloughton

Annabel Charles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Annabel Charles

jennifer cramer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Cramer

Peregrine Morley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Suffolk

Peregrine Morley

Richard Beal

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from Facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on Twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Beal

Ronnie Macleod

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ronnie Macleod

Russell Sharland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Russell Sharland

John Hindley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best Wishes

John Hindley

Luke Davidson Davidson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Yours sincerely,

Luke Davidson Davidson

Peregrine Morley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Suffolk

Peregrine Morley

William Humphreys

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

William Humphreys

Brenda Bartholomew

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

NORTH SOMERSET

Brenda Bartholomew

Vivienne Taylor Gee

I would like to respond to the Editors Code Committee,

Is something automatically "in the public interest" if someone wants to publish it?

The public interest is NOT the same as "something the public might be interested in", which caters only for sensationalist gossip which assumes that people in the public eye are not entitled to any privacy. "

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

London

Vivienne Taylor Gee

Pauline Gearing
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Pauline Gearing

Pauline Gearing
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pauline Gearing

Jerome Kuehl
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jerome Kuehl

Ben Jackson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Ben Jackson

Roy Hawes
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are

ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Roy Hawes

Roger Whyborn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Whyborn

Simon Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Gloucestershire

Simon Jones

Janet Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Janet Ward

jeff Higgins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

jeff Higgins

Ruth Twaites

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ruth Twaites

Scott Mcleary

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Scott Mcleary

saffron middleton-dunne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

saffron middleton-dunne

Julie Carpenter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Julie Carpenter

RUTHIE GRAHAM

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

CEREDIGION

RUTHIE GRAHAM

Mike Bishop

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Bishop

Jennifer Shannon

To whom it may concern,

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Shannon

Sheila Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sheila Jackson

Christopher Rowe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Rowe

Roy Sutton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roy Sutton

Roy Sutton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roy Sutton

John Scullin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Scullin

Graham Nicholson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Graham Nicholson

Timothy Hughes
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Timothy Hughes

Richard Kirker
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Kirker

Richard Shurlock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Shurlock

Richard Shurlock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Shurlock

Glyn Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same

weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Oxfordshire

Glyn Morris

PAUL LAWLOR

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

PAUL LAWLOR

Roger Hocking

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Cornwall

Roger Hocking

Maura Puckett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maura Puckett

Richard Rowlands

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Sincerely

Richard Rowlands

Michael Willmott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Michael Willmott

Michael Willmott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Essex

Michael Willmott

M Bessent

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

M Bessent

Alan Hayward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Alan Hayward

Alan Hayward

Timothy Simon Couzens
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Timothy Simon Couzens

Tom Mitchell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes

Tom Mitchell

Ken Pickup
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Ken Pickup

Godfrey Mason
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Godfrey Mason

Elwyn Johnson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Elwyn Johnson

George Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Taylor

George Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

George Taylor

Adrian Grant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Adrian Grant

Phil Brisley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Phil Brisley

Paul Simmonds

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paul Simmonds

Philip Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

What they are doing is **Theft of intellectual property** and we should have the same protection as the music, film and video industries.

Best wishes,

Philip Davies

Michael Elcock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

BRISTOL

Michael Elcock

Robert Stone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dr Robert Stone

Hugh Burkhardt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hugh Burkhardt

Ray Lomax

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Yours sincerely,

Ray Lomax

Andrew McKellar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew McKellar

Andrew McKellar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Andrew McKellar

Tony Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Clark

Sandra Dunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world",

newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sandra Dunn

Colin Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Colin Smith

Dennis McWilliams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Dennis McWilliams

Paula Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Kent

Paula Robinson

Jo Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jo Wilson

Joyce Quarrie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joyce Quarrie

Joyce Quarrie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Joyce Quarrie

Lewis Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Lewis Brown

Brian Nixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Scotland

Brian Nixon

Paul Surman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paul Surman

Martin Dominic

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. Also if his is put into practice perhaps Wikipedia may reinstate the Daily mail as a legitimate source.

Best wishes,

Martin Dominic

Jennifer Laute

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Laute

Rev Hazel Barkham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rev Hazel Barkham

T Bolton-Maggs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

T Bolton-Maggs

finola jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

finola jones

julian kowalewski

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

julian kowalewski

Gillean Paterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Gillean Paterson

Rob Donovan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rob Donovan

David Carpenter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Yours sincerely,

David Carpenter

Leigh Hebblethwaite
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Leigh Hebblethwaite

Stewart Faulkner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stewart Faulkner

Richard Smithson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Richard Smithson

Sayling Low

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sayling Low

Austin Plant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Austin Plant

Catherine Belsey
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Yours sincerely,

Catherine Belsey

Catherine Belsey
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Catherine Belsey

David Davies
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

David Davies

Janet Tharby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Janet Tharby

Peter Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

It would seem to me to be only fair and equitable that any correction, amendment or apology relating to an article or story in a newspaper or other publication available to the general public should receive the same prominence as the original inaccurate or misleading article. How, in the interests of justice can any lesser approach be justified unless the publication concerned deems itself the sole arbiter of truth and any correction or amendment of lesser importance or relevance.

If you disagree I'd be very interested to hear just how you justify not giving equal prominence when you publish an apology or correction.

Best wishes,

Peter Robinson

G R Parker

To whom it may concern,

Please accept this response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. In these dangerous times of misinformation through the media, whether deliberately or through unchecked (and incorrect) sources, I ask that the code should specify in its preamble and under the part on "Accuracy" that all corrections and adjudications should be published with **the same prominence** as the text that formed the breach of the code, not simply with "**due prominence**". When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too, preferably in the same font size. (Yes, truth may be a problem for page set-up, but so it should be.) For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not some screen scrolls further down (in effect being invisible to many readers). This would ensure that the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true, corrected, position. Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care with its reporting, and not to believe that it can get away with slackness.

Thank you for your consideration. I am sure that you believe in seeing truth published, as I do.

G R Parker

Julian Little

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Julian Little

Robin Couser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Couser

Robin Couser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Robin Couser

John Fisher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

It should go without saying that a headline story which is wrong needs to be corrected by an equally prominent correction. If the original, wrong, story is important enough to be a headline, the correct facts - the truth - is even more important.

So, when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position.

Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

It will increase also readers' trust in what newspapers publish.

Best wishes,

John Fisher

Kevin Daws

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kevin Daws

Sean Blake

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Sean Blake

Tim Baldwin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Tim Baldwin

Michael Berger

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Michael Berger

Barrie Tharby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barrie Tharby

William Bailey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Bailey

Stephen Holmes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stephen Holmes

Kenneth Bolt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Bolt

David Beck

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from

facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

David Beck

David Beck

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

David Beck

Ellen Lyons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Ellen Lyons

Jonathan Adams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Adams

Simon Parry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Simon Parry

DAVID HIRONS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

DAVID HIRONS

John Murray
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Murray

Philip Benstead
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Yours sincerely,

Philip Benstead

Jan Smith
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must

make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jan Smith

Colin Newlyn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Newlyn

chester parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

chester parker

DAVID HIRONS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

DAVID HIRONS

Liz Crocker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Liz Crocker

s r

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are

ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

s r

Ann Beaumont
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Ann Beaumont

Rod Devonshire
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Berks

Rod Devonshire

Michael Duffy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

This lack of action to stem these kind of comments in the press results in a destabilising effect on our society, creating an environment where derogatory comments about minority groups become normalised and tacitly give permission for people to abuse these groups.

Best wishes,

Michael Duffy

Louise Billingham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Durham

Louise Billingham

sarah chapman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world",

newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

sarah chapman

Michael Halls

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Scotland

Michael Halls

Paul Mathews

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Paul Mathews

Antony Fincken

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Antony Fincken

Frankie Dysart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Frankie Dysart

PHILIP DRONFIELD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

West Yorkshire

PHILIP DRONFIELD

John Carter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

UK

John Carter

mike linden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Kent

mike linden

John Peake

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Britain

John Peake

William Armstrong

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

William Armstrong

Margaret Bayes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Margaret Bayes

John Bond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Bond

phil reay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

phil reay

John Rowe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

John Rowe

John Rowe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

John Rowe

Lyn Hills

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

South Glamorgan

Lyn Hills

Neil Heasman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Heasman

Anita Brown
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Anita Brown

neil slater
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

neil slater

Steven Preddy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steven Preddy

Ed Dougall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

If, as I'm sure you will argue, that you and your fellow editors strive for fairness and accuracy in your publications, and that you see these qualities as vital to the prosperity of your publications, then to satisfy the public on these counts, it is in your own interests to embody the following in your Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Ed Dougall

Ed Dougall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The issue described below should not need specific legislation, and would not if only decency and sensitivity played any part in the tabloid editor culture. But given that both qualities are sadly lacking they have to be protected specifically in the Editor's Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Yours sincerely,

Ed Dougall

L Warren

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

L Warren

ROGER FIELD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

ROGER FIELD

ROGER FIELD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

ROGER FIELD

John Steevens

To whom it may concern,

IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Steevens

Janice Benjamin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater London

Janice Benjamin

Iain Steel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Iain Steel

Iain Steel

ROBERT FLEMING

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are

ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Nottinghamshire

ROBERT FLEMING

Rob Harding
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rob Harding

Rob Harding
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rob Harding

Malcolm Brewer
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Malcolm Brewer

Malcolm Brewer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Malcolm Brewer

Helen Ayres

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Helen Ayres

Nigel HARDCASTLE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nigel HARDCASTLE

Nigel Macartney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nigel Macartney

David Embrey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Embrey

David Embrey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Embrey

Pauline Rowe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pauline Rowe

Philip Haslam

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Essex

Philip Haslam

peter clare

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

peter clare

peter clare

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are

ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

peter clare

Stuart McPhillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Lancashire

Stuart McPhillips

Peter McVeigh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter McVeigh

Kay Sutherland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kay Sutherland

Nigel Goodliffe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards, Nigel Goodliffe

Nigel Goodliffe

Michael Culver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Michael Culver

Rosalind Wilkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rosalind Wilkinson

Peter Rodriguez

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Rodriguez

Monica Ogden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

THE REQUIREMENT ABOVE SEEMS COMPLETELY FAIR AND REASONABLE TO ME. INACCURATE REPORTING CAN BE DAMAGING TO ANYONE WHOSE NAME APPEARS, EVEN WHEN A RETRACTION IS PUBLISHED. THIS IS THE LEAST THAT A PUBLICATION CAN DO.

Best wishes,

Monica Ogden

Alan A B Hill

To whom it may concern,

Code should provide protection for vulnerable groups from prejudice and hate?

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

*Not Applicable

Alan A B Hill Alan A B Hill

To whom it may concern,

Code should provide protection for vulnerable groups from prejudice and hate?

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

*Not Applicable

Alan A B Hill

Janice Taverne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Janice Taverne

Steve Gunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Contact PM Office email form

Steve Gunn

Steve Gunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Oxfordshire

Steve Gunn

Rob Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rob Cooper

Jeanette Ascough

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeanette Ascough

Lorna Allen
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lorna Allen

Jim Hollyman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jim Hollyman

Frederick Cook
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Regards

Frederick Cook

Rob Bradley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Lincolnshire

Rob Bradley

john larking
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

It is patently obvious to many that a number of UK newspapers operate in something akin to the wild west as far as honesty transparency and accountability for the truth and ethical standards are concerned. It is also very obvious that the underhand attempts to muddy the simple of issue of the pressing need for an independent press watchdog with teeth is what is behind this series of phoney consultations.

The scandals at the Telegraph and HSBC and others demonstrates that newspapers are very willing to mislead and lie to their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best to just delete the banner Telegraph and substitute Trump.

Best wishes,

john larking

Rob Payne
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rob Payne

David Linnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Linnell

David Wakeman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Wakeman

Emma Bailey
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Hampshire

Emma Bailey

Sean Delay
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sean Delay

Howard Jones
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families

should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Gwynedd

Howard Jones

Krzysztof Wojakowski

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Krzysztof Wojakowski

David Ware

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Ware

Robert Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their

words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert Williams

Daniel Freear

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Daniel Freear

John Wilkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Wilkins

Stephen Waters

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stephen Waters

Fred Pickering

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fred Pickering

Mark Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Mark Hughes

patrick newman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

patrick newman

Gregory Conroy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Gregory Conroy

Andrew Tinson

To whom it may concern,

I urge you to please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

It is quite wrong that newspapers can use sweeping insults with so little chance for individuals to complain and have redress. This kind of mindless stereotyping is an abuse of free speech and incites discrimination and hatred.

Best wishes,

Andrew Tinson

Peter Watts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I agree with the lines below but wish to add that I hold much of the mass market press in even lower esteem than I do most of the leading politicians on both sides of the EU debate. Most tabloids contributed to the way those politicians behaved by the dishonest reporting of EU matters over decades. Below is a small step you could take to lift yourselves in the esteem of the country.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Watts

Tony Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Tony Mitchell

Tony Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Tony Mitchell

mike service service

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

mike service service

Susan Gassaway

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require ALL newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Yours sincerely,

Susan Gassaway

Garry Mardell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Garry Mardell

margaret hayday

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

margaret hayday

Howard Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Gwynedd

Howard Jones

John Horsfall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Horsfall

Keith Worrell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Keith Worrell

Graham Winyard
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Graham Winyard

Graham Winyard
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

This seems particularly important given all the recent emphasis on the issue of fake news. It must be in the long term interest of the print media that when a news item is created that is deemed to be misleading and unfair, the correction has equal impact on readers.

Best wishes,

Graham Winyard

Harriet Guest
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Harriet Guest

john parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Herts

john parker

Ann Jefferson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Jefferson

Ann Jefferson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Ann Jefferson

Rowan Roenisch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

LEICESTERSHIRE

Rowan Roenisch

Tony Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Mitchell

David Evans
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Evans

Jim Ballinger
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Ballinger

Allan Wright
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race,

nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

W.Yorks

Allan Wright

gordon marley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Not Applicable

gordon marley

Mark Judge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mark Judge

Patrick Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Patrick Young

Steve Whitey

Raymond Cordell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Raymond Cordell

John Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Smith

Don Davidson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Oxon

Don Davidson

Colin Philpot
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Philpot

KEITH TROWER
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

KEITH TROWER

David Barrett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

South Yorkshire

David Barrett

Patricia Bailes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Patricia Bailes

Goff Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Goff Jones

Richard Kelly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Kelly

Andrew Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Smith

Stephen Peter Chamberlain
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stephen Peter Chamberlain

Ian Hammond
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Hammond

Ray Thorley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or

recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ray Thorley

Graham Lascelles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Graham Lascelles

James Knowles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Knowles

Robert Friend

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from Facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert Friend

kevin roy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

kevin roy

Mike Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Hampshire

Mike Young

James Cameron
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Cameron

Colin Stewart
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Colin Stewart

Maurice Trotman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their

own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Maurice Trotman

Mark Crowne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mark Crowne

steve eaves

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

steve eaves

steve eaves

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

steve eaves

John Jaggard

To whom it may concern,

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***
- c) pay appropriate compensation to those whom the article affects***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Jaggard

Elaine Thomson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Elaine Thomson

James Bunyan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Scottish Borders

James Bunyan

Owen Dixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation. The newspapers argue that a forced or insincere apology is worthless, but that should be for the complainant to decide. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. Perhaps if they are required to apologise for their transgressions, newspapers might think twice before repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Owen Dixon

Owen Dixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to bring a halt to newspaper plagiarism. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

The repackaging of PR press releases as new stories (i.e. "churnalism") has been going on for decades, yet nothing has been done about it. News websites routinely re-work someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Owen Dixon

Penny Durell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Penny Durell

Penny Durell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Penny Durell

Joan Hartfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Joan Hartfield

Deric Ellerby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Yours sincerely,

Deric Ellerby

william gardiner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

william gardiner

fred heffer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

fred heffer

Steven Whitehead
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Surrey

Steven Whitehead

Rick Evans
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

WARWICKSHIRE

Rick Evans

Phil Cook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Phil Cook

James Tease

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Tease

Tim Whittome

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

More than ever before in history, we need a press that has respect and credibility. Currently you have neither. As a small step in the right direction:

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Whittome

Andrew Haley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Andrew Haley

Steve Pickover

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Steve Pickover

Matthew Hardy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Further, the code must require that apologies be published in **the same location and size as the original article**. This will ensure that the current practice of publishing lies on 128pt and apologies in 10pt is stopped and that there is a more reasonable cost for such infractions.

Kind regards,

Matthew Hardy

Philippa Bevan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Philippa Bevan

Brian Pocknee

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Brian Pocknee

Roger Coales
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Roger Coales

Peter Carne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Carne

George Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

George Walker

Nic Vine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

This is no more or less than a clear presentation of conflict of interest - something all professionals are required to declare. The primary interest must be that of public, and the secondary interests commercial. Where there is conflict, such conflict must be stated in simple terms for all to see. This is the way to (re-)gain the trust of the public (the customers) in the news media.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Nic Vine

Jenny Macdonald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content

has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Yours sincerely,

Jenny Macdonald

Peter Roulston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Roulston

Reg Birch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Reg Birch

peter todd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Leicestershire

peter todd

M. Ann Morrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Birmingham

M. Ann Morrison

phillip welldrake

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

cheshire

phillip welldrake

phillip welldrake

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

cheshire

phillip welldrake

Stuart Flanagan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stuart Flanagan

Steven Mair

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Hertfordshire

Steven Mair

Robert Dunlop

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.
It's a pretty fine test case of how far newspapers can be trusted to self-regulate! Personally I think the press should be subject to the law of the land, like everyone else.

Best wishes,

Robert Dunlop

Stewart Brooker

To the RFC,

This is a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. I believe that IPSO says it does not order equivalent-prominence corrections because... front page corrections "would look daft". Front page lies and distortions are that items that are "daft" or worse. There is NO EXCUSE whatever for not publishing corrections just as prominently as the original inaccurate article.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Such an approach should encourage the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Stewart Brooker

Stewart Brooker
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stewart Brooker

Peter Lawrence
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Lawrence

Paula Ekstrom

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paula Ekstrom

John Clough

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

The current IPSO system has proved singularly ineffective at restraining bullying and untruths in the press. It was introduced with all kinds of hype about the draconian penalties it could impose. I still await the evidence of this. An example is the way in which Leveson 2 was portrayed by various newspaper editors. This approach was not designed to inform the public, but to scare them into believing the distortions put out by editors.

It is very strange to me that the press demands all sorts of controls over every aspect of public life, yet rejects this out of hand when it comes to the press.

The press has a very poor reputation at present, and Leveson 2 offers an opportunity to start to restore public trust

Best wishes,

John Clough

Stephen Pursglove
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Stephen Pursglove

Richard Horobin
To whom it may concern,

Please treat this as myresponse to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code mustbe changed to ensure that newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from social media without asking permission and or offering to buy the copyright.

People posting publicly on twitter or Instagram would usually not object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value. Payment for copyright should be offered. Moreover, Facebook is commonly not intended for general publication, so newspapers should not raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

As this is particularly common in newspapers run by people on the Editors Code Committee, you are in exactly the right pkace to tackle this lazy 'piracy'.

Yours aye,

Richard Horobin

Phil Barlow
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Essex

Phil Barlow

David Blake

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Blake

Ed Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Ed Powell

Sue Stevens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sue Stevens

Simon Birnstingl

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Simon Birnstingl

simon vine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Sincerely

Simon Vine

Peter Lawrence

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Lawrence

Alex Wardle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Yours Sincerely,

Alex Wardle

Peter Benson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Benson

Rachel Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Rachel Wright

Robert Brady

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Brady

Roger Whitcomb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Roger Whitcomb

Roger Tringham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Tringham

Susan Ray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Susan Ray

John Kilner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

North Humberside

John Kilner

John Gooch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

J Gooch

John Gooch

Phil Gault
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Phil Gault

Richard Barnby
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Barnby

Michael Moody
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Moody

Pat Maguire

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pat Maguire

eifion williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

eifion Williams

David Hayter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

This is because a free press has responsibilities and, in Britain, much of the press has abrogated these responsibilities in favour of profit rather than reality. A free press has nothing to fear from impartial regulation.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

David Hayter

Jenny Lennon-Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Regards

Jenny Lennon-Wood

Stuart Austin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Stuart Austin

Stuart Austin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

London

Stuart Austin

Reginald Boddy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Reginald Boddy

dorothy ball

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

dorothy ball

Kenneth Marr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Marr

Jeyarajan Anketell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser, sponsor, favoured political party or favoured viewpoint .

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jeyarajan Anketell

Carolle Murray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

In my job I would never allow someone else to claim my work as their own. I would expect them to at least ask and gain permission.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Carolle Murray

Roger Broadie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Broadie

David Burton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for

example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Leicestershire

David Burton

Pául Wíckés

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pául Wíckés

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Steve Whitey

Raymond Cordell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Raymond Cordell

Derek Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Derek Ward

Phil Wells

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Phil Wells

Diana Sanders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Diana Sanders

Philip Earle Roberts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Essex

Philip Earle Roberts

Angie White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Angie White

Alan John Maddison
To Paul Dacre....

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan John Maddison

Kenneth Douglas
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Douglas

keith somers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

keith somers

Simon Robson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Yours sincerely,

Simon Robson

Frances Holme

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Frances Holme

Robin Nuttall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robin Nuttall

Philip Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Ward

Frank Lazarus

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Frank Lazarus

Robert Seymour

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert Seymour

Darren Nelson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Darren Nelson

David Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

David Morris

Patricia Bagshaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Patricia Bagshaw

Philip Clarke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxon

Philip Clarke

Philip Clarke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Oxon

Philip Clarke

David Jack

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Essex

David Jack

Peter Merrett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Merrett

Keith Stenning

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

uk

Keith Stenning

Linda Newman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Linda Newman

Luke Ruttle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

If the committee could take this action it would be seen as a big step in the right direction by those (like me) who are opposed to the appalling abuses of the press in the past.

Best wishes,

Luke Ruttle

Mark Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mark Shaw

Clive Litchfield
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

West Midlands

Clive Litchfield

Paul Brown
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Brown

mike ball
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their

own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

mike ball

David Hammond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Hammond

Nigel Coe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel Coe

Michael Kettlewell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. The composition of the committee has a severe conflict of interest in favour of the Press demonstrated by the use of the phrase "due prominence".

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with the same prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Kettlewell

David Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Jones

Michael Norton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Norton

Maria Fyfe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maria Fyfe

David Alcraft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Alcraft

Don Murray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Dorset

Don Murray

Jolyon Elsmore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

I have read and noted the objections of your paper and others to the Leveson Report. I can understand some of your position but surely we all agree on the subject of clarity and transparency.

Best wishes,

Jolyon Elsmore

John Teller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Teller

Mark Knott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Bucks

Mark Knott

STEPHEN HIBBARD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

STEPHEN HIBBARD

Les P

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Les P

Les P

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Les P

Richard Cowdry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Richard Cowdry

Gwyneth Boswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Gwyneth Boswell

Graham Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Herefordshire

Graham Jones

lionel Burman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

lionel Burman

Philip Matthew

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely

Philip Matthew

john ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

john ward

David Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Jones

Margaret Norris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Margaret Norris

Revd Patricia Smith

Message :

In response to the consultation on the Editors' Code of Practice I have the following comments.

The code should clearly state that corrections and adjudications should be published with the same prominence as the original code-breach, not simply given "due prominence". Thus when a front page headline or story is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. Similarly online corrections or adjudications should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

Such corrections would ensure that the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position - an approach which should encourage publishers to take more care in future.

Len Masterman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Wirral

Len Masterman

Arthur Welsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Arthur Welsh.

Mark Bethell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Mark Bethell

Michael Wadsworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Continuing failure to change existing policy will represent further evidence that IPSO is inadequate and should be replaced in line with the Leveson proposal

Best wishes,

Michael Wadsworth

Philip Royce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Royce

Rob Doragh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Rob Doragh

cyril phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

cyril Phillips

Richard Kelly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Kelly

Neil Gomersal

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Gomersal

Philip Harland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Philip Harland

Michael O'Pray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Northants

Michael O'Pray

eric blakeley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

eric Blakeley

Duncan Henderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the

reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Duncan Henderson

Chris Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Middlesex

Chris Clark

Brian Steedman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brian Steedman

Wendy Rochefort

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the

bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Wendy Rochefort

Martyn Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martyn Jones

Katarina Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Katarina Robinson

Catherine Kaplinsky

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Catherine Kaplinsky

Maeve Kennedy
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maeve Kennedy

Colin Martin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Fife

Colin Martin

Colin Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Fife

Colin Martin

James Murphy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. The term "due prominence" is misleading at best and a deliberate attempt to evade responsibility at worse. For whom is the prominence due, the person or organisation publishing inaccurate information or the people unjustly harmed by the article? Natural justice would suggest the latter, but previous press practice has favoured the perpetrators, not the victims. That previous practice being the case it would be foolhardy to accept a code suggested by those who have previously fallen short and hidden behind a practice which makes a correction an exercise in sleaze!

Best wishes,

James Murphy

Virginia Cumming
To whom it may concern,

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

I absolutely do not think that newspapers should be 'trolling' bereaved people. Grief-trolling is now a recognised phenomenon on the net. Newspaper should be setting standards, not giving them implicit encouragement by doing it themselves. At the very least they should be aware of the public consequences of that behaviour.⁷

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Virginia Cumming

mike farmer
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism. Disgusting industry.

Best wishes,

mike farmer

James Fairlie
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Fairlie

Daniel Aycinena

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Daniel Aycinena

Gary Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should NOT be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

Herts

Gary Taylor

bill mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

bill Mitchell

Helen Geraghty
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Helen Geraghty

anthony maunder

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared. Thus these items are given identical prominence to the original offending article and are not minimised by being hidden away.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

anthony maunder

Kenneth Graves
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Graves

Douglas Currie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Douglas Currie

Roger Owen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Roger Owen

Roger Owen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates

that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Dennis Lynch
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Essex

Dennis Lynch

Barrie Stevens
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Groups of people are made up of individuals, of which many could be vulnerable or subject to hate crime. for newspapers to make derogatory statements about a group is no different to making it about a single individual. everyone should have the same rights.

Best wishes,

Barrie Stevens

Ronald Kercher
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Ronald Kercher

Ronald Kercher
newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Ronald Kercher

Barry Fraser
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

This approach is totally unfair and in the longer term will continue to damage the already poor reputation that exists in the minds of many people who would otherwise be readers of national newspapers.

Best wishes,

Barry Fraser

Irene Ridgeon
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests

instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Irene Ridgeon

Laura Derry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Suffolk

Laura Derry

Jim Crosbie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Crosbie

Martin Freye

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the

work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Martin Freye

John Hazeldine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Hazeldine

John Scriven

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Scriven

David Browning

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Browning

Mary Holgate

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mary Holgate

Frank Needham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Needham

Ian Brewerton

Dear Sirs

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice, in addition to the comments I made earlier about corrections.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that where

(a) content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor, or

(b) where content which is published has been paid for or influenced by an advertiser

this should be made clear by means of a prominent heading on each page to which the above criteria apply.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

yours sincerely

Ian Brewerton

David Malone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

David Malone

nouri taghani

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

nouri taghani

Paul Chesters

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Chesters

Alasdair Campbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alasdair Campbell

RICHARD TURNER

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

RICHARD TURNER

John Haigh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

West Sussex

John Haigh

Ben van Wagtenonk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

van Wagtendonk Ben

Barbara Lindsay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barbara Lindsay

Maxim Whenray-Hughes

To whom it may concern,

This is a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Thank you,

Maxim Whenray-Hughes

Mark Thriscutt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mark Thriscutt

Martin Broadribb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies' book, Flat Earth News, almost 10 years ago described the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Martin Broadribb

alison maggs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

LEWISHAM

alison maggs

Alan Greaves
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Alan Greaves

Jenny Littlewood
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jenny Littlewood

Roger Wikeley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Yours sincerely,

Roger Wikeley

wilfred phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

wilfred Phillips

William Fowler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Fowler

Joe Hennessy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a further response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests

instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Yours faithfully

Joe Hennessy

David Smith

To whom it may concern,

I find the involvement of Paul Dacre, (the man responsible for printing the most untrue, racist and misogynistic headlines in the newspaper industry), objectionable. He should be nowhere near any bodies involved in the self regulation of the newspapers.

Please consider the concerns listed below as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Smith

Neil Spurway

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Neil Spurway

Beverley Gibbs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Type a choice below ...

Beverley Gibbs

Michele Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

In this time of fake news its more important than ever to ensure the veracity and sources of news. The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michele Martin

David Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

Please amend the code to protect bereaved families, as demonstrated by the testimony by the bereaved to the Leveson Inquiry, and allowing some provision for cases of strong public interest. The Press need to behave responsibly.

Best wishes,

David Johnson

Kathleen Gray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Kathleen Gray

Alan Milewczyk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan Milewczyk

Michael Harcup

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Harcup

Ian Addie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Ian Addie

Roddy Stuart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Roddy Stuart

Hilary McNeil

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hilary McNeil

David Scriven

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Scriven

David Parsonage

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Parsonage

John Sage

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Sage

David Brough

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Brough

Denis Ackerley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Denis Ackerley

Alan Campbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Campbell

Dorothy Colquhoun
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dorothy Colquhoun

Frank Prest
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Prest

Geoff Walker
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Geoff Walker

Denis Cody

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Denis Cody

Niall Quinn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Niall Quinn

Christina Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Christina Walker

Christina Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christina Walker

Julian Chichester

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Julian Chichester

Elizabeth Ottosson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this is not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Ottosson

Barbara Symons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barbara Symons

Tony Clare

Dear Sir,

I would be grateful if you would consider my response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on the Editors' Code of Practice.

The present system of publishing corrections and adjudications with "due prominence" simply isn't working, when due prominence isn't equal prominence to the offensive material. It is little deterrent against wrongdoing, and arguably an incentive to publish inaccurate articles if you know that they'll increase sales, and any correction will be buried where far fewer readers will see it. If this happened in any other industry the press would call it "a derisory slap on the wrist" and demand proper recompense.

The only way to seriously address the problem is to force corrections and adjudications with equal prominence to the offending material. If that system was in place it is extremely unlikely that editors would allow problematic material to be prominently published - that's the whole idea of the Code!
Regards,

Tony Clare

Ken Smith
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Ken Smith

Dr. J. Gibb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jim Gibb

Dr. J. Gibb
To whom it may concern,

Kindly consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the correction. Such an approach stands some chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dr. J. Gibb

Des Byrne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Des Byrne

John Hendy QC

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Hendy QC

Alan Howlett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code

of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan Howlett

mike byrne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

mike byrne

Rosie McLaren

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Rosie McLaren

Brian Kerry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brian Kerry

Anna Donaghy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. Such an approach is likely to encourage the newspaper to be more accurate and this in turn would lead to more trust in their output by the public.

Best wishes,

Anna Donaghy

Jean Neave

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jean Neave

John Billington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

John Billington

Ian Beattie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Beattie

N C Phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

N C Phillips

Allen Glennie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Allen Glennie

Stephen Beatson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Stephen Beatson

Paul Birkin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Birkin

Keith Collyer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Berkshire

Keith Collyer

Linda Campbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the

press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Linda Campbell

Kevin Walsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kevin Walsh

Tony Clewes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Tony Clewes

Marina Brooks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marina Brooks

Tim Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Tim Smith

Leslie Brook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Les Brook

John Bradford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Bradford

Kevin Doherty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kevin Doherty

Khosro Dabir

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

please note that this correspondence is not for publication.

Best wishes,

Khosro Dabir

Nicholas Pickwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nicholas Pickwood

John Lawrence

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

John Lawrence

Irene Henson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

I Henson

Michael Sayers
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Derbyshire

Michael Sayers

Martin Melaugh
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Regards,

Martin Melaugh

Michael Heath
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

East Sussex

Michael Heath

Andrew Dawes
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Dawes

Arline Gaskell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Arline Gaskell

Arline Gaskell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Arline Gaskell

James Graham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Graham

Helena Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Greater London

Helena Robinson

IAN FROST

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

IAN FROST

KEN hickson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

KEN hickson

Roger Fletcher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Fletcher

Gillian Sharpe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gillian Sharpe

Keith King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Keith King

Gordon Beard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Gordon Beard

Alan Franklin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan Franklin

Malcolm Lyon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done

about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Greater Manchester

Malcolm Lyon

DAVE TUTTE

To whom it may concern,

If you are to have a shred of credibility, which i don't believe you do, your corrections/apologies must be suitably prominent, and not hidden away. Is that too much to ask?

Yep. Thought so.

Kind regards,

Dave Tutte

Merseyside

DAVE TUTTE

Les Munn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Les Munn

Les Munn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

The industry cannot continue to police itself and act as judge and jury on abuses of position and power.

Best wishes,

Les Munn

Arthur Clarke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Arthur Clarke

Clare Huggins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clare Huggins

John Cecil

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

John Cecil

Roger Palmer
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Palmer

Ian Haworth
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Haworth

John Cunnane
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Cunnane

Michae HURST
Dear Sir or Madam,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Yours faithfully

Michae HURST

lionel Burman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their

own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

lional Burman

Ian Peattie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Ian Peattie

Ian Peattie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Peattie

Martin Bassant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Bassant

Laurence Ghafur

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Laurence Ghafur

Martina Koepcke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martina Koepcke

Lynne Spurgeon
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lynne Spurgeon

Paul Robinson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

I have noted that some newspapers have prominent front page stories that are subsequently found in court to be inaccurate and damaging to persons or organisations. In these cases and other cases which are determined by the regulator to be a code breach then the full correction /adjudication plus any apology should in my opinion, and in equal arms fairness, be published on the same pages and with equal prominence as the original article which was the subject of the complaint or legal action. This will allow a similar number of readers who saw original story to see the true position. This should help readers determine the newspaper's regard and respect for accuracy and their determination to rectify inaccurate or one sided reporting.

Paul Robinson

North Yorkshire

Paul Robinson

Andrew Doig
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a

published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Andrew Doig

Roger James

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Roger James

Michael Fitzgerald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Fitzgerald

Michael Fitzgerald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Fitzgerald

Kay Wilding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kay Wilding

Caroline Lodge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Caroline Lodge

Frederick Brennen
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frederick Brennen

Michael Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Brown

Michael Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating

code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Michael Brown

Len Hastings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Len Hastings

Matthew Todd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matthew Todd

James Cohen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Cohen

Nick Fellows

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Nick Fellows

Constance Beckett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint. So obvious, why wasn't seen at time of draft?

Best wishes,

Constance Beckett

Adrian Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adrian Shaw

Kim Miller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kim Miller

John White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John White

stephen manning
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

stephen manning

Graham Peck
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns. It is time for all journalists, editors and proprietors to ensure that the well being of the public, families and individuals are protected from entirely unnecessary suffering, and to reflect the values of humanity to those in deep distress and grief.

Best wishes,

Graham Peck

Amy Horton
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code

complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Amy Horton

John Killick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Killick

John Holden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Cumbria

John Holden

Clive Temperton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Temperton

Lauren Stonebanks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lauren Stonebanks

David Phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Phillips

Kevin Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kevin Wright

John Sheerin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Sheerin

John White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John White

Jeremy Hutson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC, which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Yours sincerely,

Jeremy Hutson

David Medd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Medd

don clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the

reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

don clark

Colin Hankinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Hankinson

Clyde Watkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Gwent

Clyde Watkins

David Morton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

David Morton

Gordon Stevens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Worcestershire

Gordon Stevens

Gordon Stevens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

2a

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Worcestershire

Gordon Stevens

David Macbeth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Macbeth

Jennifer Kidman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Kidman

Andrew Feinstein

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

As the son of a Holocaust survivor and having been an ANC MP after apartheid was dismantled in South Africa I feel very strongly about the manner in which the media can portray entire groups in the most appalling manner, something which I believe can, and often does, lead to discriminatory behaviour towards these groups.

none

Andrew Feinstein

Jenny Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jenny Evans

Paul Laycock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Laycock

sylvia rothschild

Hello

I would ask you to please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I am really concerned that apologies or corrections are tucked away and not given the appropriate or proper space and position the people who have been damaged and hurt deserve.

I think it is vital and also the only honourable way to behave that the code should specify BOTH in the preamble and under the paragraphs about "Accuracy" that **corrections and adjudications should be**

published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, **the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too.** For online corrections or adjudications, these should appear in the **same place on the homepage** as the original story first appeared, and not in a place where one would have to scroll down to find it. This is important because if you actually do this, then it ensures that the same number of readers who saw original story will see the correction and/or apology and know that the original was not the full or true story.

I think it might also make newspapers think a little more carefully about the damage they are likely to do, and hopefully stop them from gaily going ahead and doing it because they calculate that they can get away with it or minimise any damage an apology from them might offer by essentially hiding their wrongdoing.

yours sincerely

rabbi sylvia Rothschild

John Adams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Adams

Richard Abercr ombie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Richard Abercr ombie

Charlie Boyd
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Charlie Boyd

Charlie Boyd
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charlie Boyd

Martin Walker
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Lancashire

Martin Walker

Douglas REVELL

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Douglas REVELL

IAN HAVERCROFT

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

LEICESTERSHIRE

IAN HAVERCROFT

Philip Bright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Bright

James Benbow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Benbow

Lynda Bond

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Lynda Bond

Brendan Liggett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brendan Liggett

Peter Chalmers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Peter Chalmers

Christopher Eggleton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Eggleton

Judith Saunders
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Cambs

Judith Saunders

Patricia Grace
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Patricia Grace

David Wilkinson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Wilkinson

Frann Leach
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Frann Leach

Barry Metcalfe
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Contact PM Office email form

Barry Metcalfe

Don Fraser
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Don Fraser

Alex Highton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alex Highton

Keith Yates

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor. Most professions, from Financial advisers, Doctors, MPs and Councillors now have declaration of interest requirements built into their code of conduct. Why should newspapers be exempt from such an obvious commitment

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC, which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by protecting their commercial interests. They should be open about an external commercial relationship influencing the reporting of an issue.

Regards,

Keith Yates

John Horobin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content

has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Horobin

Neil Mather

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Mather

Christopher Eggleton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Christopher Eggleton

DAVID SELZER

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

DAVID SELZER

Brian Connolly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brian Connolly

Kathleen Kerwin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented

Given the current climate of alternative facts and fake news- it is more important than ever to make honesty and transparency an explicit part of the Editor's Code of Practice. We need to know that sources are reliable and objective.

None

Kathleen Kerwin

Angela Linnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Angela Linnell

J Bennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that some newspapers may be willing to mislead their readers in response to pressure from commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented and how news priorities are determined.

Best wishes,

J Bennett

Kate Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must

make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kate Johnson

David Hume

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Hume

Melissa Fazackerley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Melissa Fazackerley

Michael Mason

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

in concern

Michael Mason

Andy Creighton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andy Creighton

Gerry Boyle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerry Boyle

Dennis Lawson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Dennis Lawson

Adrian Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Adrian Shaw

Jane Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

Newspapers have been seen to be willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way their news is presented.

Signed

Jane Watson

Andrew Alldritt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andrew Alldritt

Joseph Bulat

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Joseph Bulat

Jeffrey Prout

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeffrey Prout

Kathryn Nicholson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kathryn Nicholson

Deborah James
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

-- SELECT --

Deborah James

Julian Gower
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Julian Gower

Julian Gower
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julian Gower

Geoffrey Nickolds
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Nottinghamshire

Geoffrey Nickolds

TABASUM PATEL

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

TABASUM PATEL

Kevin Keehan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kevin Keehan

Georgina Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the

reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Georgina Johnson

Kevin Gibson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kevin Gibson

Andy Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andy Hall

James Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content

has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Clark

James Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Clark

Jay Patel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jay Patel

JAMES SLOAN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

WEST SUSSEX

JAMES SLOAN

K Badlan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

K Badlan

Richard Finch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Richard Finch

Richard Finch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Finch

Geoff Elms

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Dyfed

Geoff Elms

John Sinfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Sinfield

ian bowman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

ian bowman

DAVID BRIGGS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

DAVID BRIGGS

Harry Shutt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Harry Shutt

Gordon Crapper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Merseyside

Gordon Crapper

Ernest Fortnum

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Thank you. Regards

Ernest Fortnum

Frank Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Frank Turner

John Rees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Rees

Linda Heap

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Linda Heap

Helen Shiner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must

make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Helen Shiner

Gregory Fowler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

S Yorkshire

Gregory Fowler

George Nicholson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

George Nicholson

Frank Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Turner

Colin McCall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin McCall

Ruth Tucker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ruth Tucker

Peter Hampson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

England

Peter Hampson

Deryn Ellis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Deryn Ellis

John Bennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a

newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

John Bennett

Val Lloyd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Val Lloyd

GRAHAM DAVIES

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

BRIDGEND

GRAHAM DAVIES

Felicity Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Felicity Taylor

Brian Clegg

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Furthermore the newspaper must be required to give the same prominence to its response as the original discriminatory article had.

Best wishes,

Brian Clegg

Brian Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Brian Russell

Francis Kinley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Francis Kinley

Francis Kinley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Francis Kinley

Rosemary McNicholl

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rosemary McNicholl

Tim Turner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Turner

David Lloyd
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Lloyd

Frank Mcnamee
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

South Yorkshire

Frank Mcnamee

Richard Tassell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Tassell

john lovell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john lovell

David Stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for

example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Stewart

Dilys Nimmo

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

None

Dilys Nimmo

David Heath

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Devon

David Heath

Martin Melaugh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Martin Melaugh

Duncan Nimmo

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

West Midlands

Duncan Nimmo

Graham Riddick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Kent

Graham Riddick

Eddie Shottliff

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Eddie Shotliff

Francesca McCrohan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Francesca McCrohan

David Howse
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Howse

Margaret Vinten
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Vinten

Roger Beasley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Beasley

Roger Beasley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Beasley

David Crease

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Crease

Bill Hawkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Yours sincerely,

Bill Hawkins

John Tyrrell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

John Tyrrell

Howard Wrigley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Howard Wrigley

Andrew Hannan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andrew Hannan

Andy Hollis
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a

newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Lancashire

Andy Hollis

Chris Grant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Chris Grant

Celia Margaret Bennett Bennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Celia Margaret Bennett Bennett

Michael Rayner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Rayner

Barrie Potter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barrie Potter

Richard Horrobin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Horrobin

Richard Horrobin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Horrobin

Raymond Harris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Bedfordshire

Raymond Harris

Ian (Rev. Dr.) McPherson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a

published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Ian (Rev. Dr.) McPherson

neville cramer

It is good that you have followed some of the Leveson recommendations. Now I think you should implement a further very important one.

I commend to you the proposal on apologies.

Please ensure that IPSO has the ability to insist on an apology being published AND to specify the timing, page, and position of the publication.

This will hugely ameliorate the pain felt by complainants.

Stephen Waring

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

This, surely, is only fair and reasonable.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Waring

Christopher Daish

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code

complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Although the above is a "standard" response it is my view the Leveson Report should be implemented in full and not be allowed to be watered down to suit the newspapers and their owners

Best wishes,

Christopher Daish

Michael Henrys

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Henrys

Russell Kerr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Yours faithfully

Russell Kerr

Carlo Maselkowski

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxfordshire

Carlo Maselkowski

Albert King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Leics.

Albert King

C Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and/or already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination; but groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, gender, orientation, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

C Johnson

geoff grote

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

geoff grote

Christy Johnston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Christy Johnston

Alistair McKenzie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alistair McKenzie

Bill Duckworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Duckworth

Eric Baker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Baker

Andrew Clintworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andrew Clintworth

Christopher Rowe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Rowe

Cliff Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

UK

Cliff Robinson

Lindsey Harrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lindsey Harrison

Adrian Murphy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Adrian Murphy

Roy Fenner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roy Fenner

Ann Molyneux

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Ann Molyneux

robert doel

TA1 1NU

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

robert doel

Alfred Wood
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alfred Wood

Matt Hodgson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matt Hodgson

John Bush
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

John Bush

Philip Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincerely,

Philip Jackson

Roderic Gray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roderic Gray

Jack Gordon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jack Gordon

nathan manthorp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

nathan manthorp

Louis M Eustace

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Louis M Eustace

COLIN MARSH

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

LEICESTERSHIRE

COLIN MARSH

Bridget Ramsay
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bridget Ramsay

Alex Drury
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alex Drury

Martin Enthoven

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Enthoven

Kate Wroe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

Kate Wroe

John George

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John George

Jane Cook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Cook

Howard Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Howard Thomas

Amanda Crossfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Amanda Crossfield

William Blake

To whom it may concern,

This is my response to the consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) needs amendment to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Sincerely,

Dr W B J Blake

Chris Sarginson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Chris Sarginson

Belinda Schuster

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Please do not publish any of my details.

Best wishes,

Belinda Schuster

David Brewer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Brewer

Brian Coccozza

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brian Coccozza

James McLaughlin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James McLaughlin

alan garriock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

It's no wonder that people no longer buy or read newspapers when they no longer can be relied on to be factual or fair. To chase sales with sensational stories aimed at minority vulnerable groups is despicable. To have a regulator so lacking in power or inclination to act is outrageous.

Best wishes,

alan garriock

Len Masterman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Len Masterman

Nick Barnes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Nick Barnes

Ian Haynes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Haynes

Mike Orton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Orton

Beth Edwards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

At present members of vulnerable groups are given no protection and no redress under Clause 12 (Discrimination). Newspapers printing articles which attack groups of people should be accountable for the harm done to individuals who happen to belong to the group being attacked. People not in the "vulnerable" groups also need protection from the prejudice that is being propagated by such articles.

Best wishes,

Beth Edwards

Saffah Daniels

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Saffah Daniels

Alasdair Macdonald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Norfolk

Alasdair Macdonald

Ken Walsh

To whom it may concern,

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Rutland

Ken Walsh

Robin Henderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Henderson

Lavinia Mansel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Lavinia Mansel

Douglas Carr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence.”

When a front page has a headline or story that is determined by the regulator to be in breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and, for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the home page as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls farther down.

That way, the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the truth. Most importantly, such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time, as incorrect stories - especially on the front page of a national paper - should be the tiny exception, rather than the norm.

Best wishes,

Douglas Carr

michael Sheridan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

michael Sheridan

Andrew Parry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andrew Parry

Allan Stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a

newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Allan Stewart

David Butt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Butt

Marek Wasenczuk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marek Wasenczuk

John Barnes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Barnes

Alex McLean

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alex McLean

J Gurney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

J Gurney

Colin Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint. This cannot be correct.

Best wishes,

Colin Cooper

BARNEY HARRIS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Yours,

BARNEY HARRIS

Chris Dewhirst

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Chris Dewhurst

Graham Blakelock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Graham Blakelock

Christopher Barton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Christopher Barton

Alan Robins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is

targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint. This is clearly unjustifiable and morally wrong. Not to amend the clause will demonstrate a complete lack of responsibility or, for that matter, a will to do right.

Best wishes,

Alan Robins

Owen McCarthy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Owen McCarthy

Jennifer Runham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cambridgeshire

Jennifer Runham

Gani Memon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Gani Memon

ANNE MIDDLETON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

ANNE MIDDLETON

Robin Sandison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Sandison

Tony Dean

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Dean

Chris Rothery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

City of Edinburgh

Chris Rothery

Chris Terrington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Terrington

Mick Guy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mick Guy

Catriona Ingrams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Catriona Ingrams

Ian Perry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cornwall

Ian Perry

David Edge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Please could my comments be treated in confidence and NOT published.

Best wishes,

David Edge

Carl Megaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carl Megaw

Annette Goulton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Annette Goulton

Christine Moore Williams

Dear Madam or Sir,,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination; yet groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. Thus a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

This iniquitous discrepancy must not be allowed to continue.

Yours faithfully,

Christine Moore Williams

Terry Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Terry Williams

Anthony Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Anthony Smith

Bill THOMPSON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

It serves two purposes: it gives the wronged person the same prominence with the same number of readers being made aware of the falsehood; it will make publishers think carefully about what they publish so as not to waste valuable space.

The Press stand in low esteem with a great many people. This action will go some way in restoring public confidence.

Best wishes,

Bill THOMPSON

Stephen Hartley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Hartley

Anna Gunn
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Norfolk

Anna Gunn

Brenda Smith
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to

discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brenda Smith

Chris Drewery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Chris Drewery

Andrew Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andrew Smith

Adam Leonard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Adam Leonard

Derek Hyde

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Hyde

Alex Cordiner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alex Cordiner

Chiel John Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Chiel John Russell

Hannah Clarke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hannah Clarke

Blair Breton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Blair Breton

Andy Gibb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Andy Gibb

cecil HARVEY

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

cecil HARVEY

Alex Fornal
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Herefordshire

Alex Fornal

Mark Davies
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Davies

Caroline Larner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race,

nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Cheshire

Caroline Larner

Peter Mulleady

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater Manchester

Peter Mulleady

Denis Rumbold

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Denis Rumbold

Camilla Inglis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Camilla Inglis

Michael Ryan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Ryan

Anthony Miles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Miles

Angela Lynch
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Angela Lynch

Barry Woolsgrove
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Woolsgrove

Eric Rowan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Rowan

Vincent Procter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vincent Procter

David Bates

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Bates

John Gwyther

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Gwyther

Myles McDonald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Myles McDonald

Kirsty Brooks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kirsty Brooks

Roy Bissett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely, Roy Bissett

Roy Bissett

Michael McLeod

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael McLeod

Julia Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Julia Lewis

Gary Buswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Buswell

James Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

James Thomas

Clive Sinclair

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Sinclair

gordon fraser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

gordon fraser

Richard O'Grady

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard O'Grady

Dominic McArdle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dominic McArdle

Stephen Rogers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Rogers

Gordon Graham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Graham

Mark hughes
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Mark hughes

Conny Templeman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Conny Templeman

Rita O'Neill
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rita O'Neill

Tahir Amin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tahir Amin

Tahir Amin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tahir Amin

Peter Davidson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Davidson

David Wike

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Wike

Bernadine Phenna

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bernadine Phenna

David Parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Parker

Robert Janssens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Janssens

Colin Brooks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Brooks

Malcolm Metcalf

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Metcalf

Janet Southcott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janet Southcott

Robert Montgomery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Montgomery

anthony scott-norman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

anthony scott-norman

Clive Maier
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Maier

Tony Blake
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Blake

Martin Hoyle Hoyle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Hoyle

Peter McVeigh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter McVeigh

Nick Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Bristol

Nick Thomas

George Hewitt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Hewitt

Douglas McCarrick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Douglas McCarrick

John Bartram

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Bartram

Timothy Dougall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kent

Timothy Dougall

Diane Grantham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Diane Grantham

Peter Cross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Peter Cross

Alan Tanner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Alan Tanner

Ellis Alden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ellis Alden

Gordon Ball

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Ball

Gordon Ball

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Ball

Murdo Grant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Murdo Grant

Ann O'SULLIVAN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann O'SULLIVAN

Nina Morgner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nina Morgner

M Neale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

M Neale

Robert Podbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Podbury

Malcolm MacINTYRE-READ

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". It's called "justice" in the real world.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. **It's called "fairness" in the real world.**

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. **It's called "common sense" in the real world.**

Please show you support justice, fairness and common sense by simply changing "due" to "equivalent".

It won't hurt ... honest ... but failing to do so could well do so.

In anticipation of your agreement, many thanks...

Malcolm MacINTYRE-READ

Philip Tierney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Tierney

Vida Henning

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vida Henning

Gareth Lloyd-Cape

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gareth Lloyd-Cape

Colin Moat

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Moat

Keith Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Mitchell

Drew McLaren

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Drew McLaren

Sue Harley-Mills

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Sue Harley-Mills

Ian Halverson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Halverson

John Clinton
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Clinton

Shirley May
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

The newspaper industry is under the spotlight more than ever, with accusations of 'false news'. For a newspaper to have to print a contraction on the same type of page, which would be embarrassing, would be one way of making it more likely that news has been carefully checked.

Best wishes,

Shirley May

Kate Dixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kate Dixon

Henry Pavlovich

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Henry Pavlovich

Joyce Howe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joyce Howe

David Finnegan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

If a newspaper publishes false information that causes some kind of damage to a person, deliberately or accidentally, then it should be required to apologise in headlines as large as those that caused the damage, and sometimes compensate the injured party. Mr Dacre, imagine yourself in the position of being falsely and publically accused by a major media outlet such as the BBC. Would you feel it was ok to just suck it up? I doubt it. At present that is IPSO's position for the rest of the population. Please try some empathy for victims of press abuse. There are many.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

Best wishes,

David Finnegan

Henry John Scullion

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Henry John Scullion

lydia kiernan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

lydia Kiernan

John Barton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Barton

Corinne Kottkamp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Corinne Kottkamp

Corinne Kottkamp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Corinne Kottkamp

Jenny Mizel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Bristol

Jenny Mizel

Alan Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Jones

Chris Robins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Robins

Mike Canter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Canter

Frederick Marks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frederick Marks

Angus Rodger

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Angus Rodger

George Downs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Downs

Carol Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. Far too often, false or misleading headlines are splashed across the front page and any corrections are placed in tiny print. This is not acceptable.

For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carol Williams

Malcolm Lyon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater Manchester

Malcolm Lyon

David Luckraft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Luckraft

Laurie North

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Laurie North

John Fotheringham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Fotheringham

Peter Jennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

In this way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Peter Jennett

Mary Shorter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Shorter

Catherine Early

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Catherine Early

Philomena McCann

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Philomena McCann

Mark Fitzgerald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Fitzgerald

Matthew Wintersgill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matthew Wintersgill

Brian Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Wilson

Thomas Thompson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kent

Thomas Thompson

David Dyter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication should appear on the front page too, and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear with the same prominence and in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared. Where there has been a serious breach of the code the injured party should be offered the opportunity to edit the front page of the publication and to write the article referring too the adjudication.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Dyter

stephen breame

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

THE PRESENT METHOD OF CORRECTIONS IS TOTALLY INADEQUATE. I HAVE ALWAYS BELIEVED CORRECTIONS AND APOLOGIES SHOULD BE GIVEN THE SAME SPACE AND PROMINENCE AND POSITION IN THE NEWSPAPER RESPONSIBLE.

Best wishes,

stephen breame

MARTIN HORWOOD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

MARTIN HORWOOD

Kaye Oliver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Kaye Oliver

Graham Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Williams

Philip Coppard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Coppard

David Dallow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Dallow

Brian Kerry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Kerry

Jen Murray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jen Murray

Neil Henderson
Dear Sir/Madam,

As a means of strengthening the code of practice and making its terms meaningful and strong I wish to suggest that the following should be included in the document:-

The code preamble should require that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate; and
b) instruct the positioning and size of the apologies.

With regards

Yours aye,

Neil Henderson

Leslie Roberts
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Leslie Roberts

Tim Nichols
To whom it may concern,
I am writing to you because I believe that privately owned newspapers are failing to act in the public interest when they publish incorrect or misleading stories only to hide away corrections and

apologies.

So please consider this email a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I believe that the code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Unless newspapers take action to restore trust in them, and unless they set themselves a higher standard in their ethics, honesty and relationship with their readers, then they will deserve the fate of being wiped out by dubious 'fake news' websites.

Best wishes,

Tim Nichols

Jitka Minxova

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jitka Minxova

Patricia Sutherland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patricia Sutherland

Patrick Chronnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patrick Chronnell

Elizabeth Siemaszko

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Siemaszko

Margaret Ellis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Ellis

Robert Albury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Albury

Sue Crocker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sue Crocker

Bill Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Smith

Timothy Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Timothy Brown

Sarah Sceats

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sarah Sceats

John Stoton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Stoton

Marianne Privett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Greater London

Marianne Privett

David Angel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Angel

Ted Cohn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ted Cohn

Keith Willmott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Willmott

John Sanders

To whom it may concern,

As a former Reuters journalist, this is my response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”. Giving equivalent prominence to corrections was standard practice at Reuters and this standard should apply to all forms of media.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Sanders

Barry Thorpe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Thorpe

Nicole Healing

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Nicole Healing

Keith Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Smith

George Goodfellow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Goodfellow

Stewart Kilpatrick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

Stewart Kilpatrick

elizabeth davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

elizabeth davies

Daniel Fineman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Daniel Fineman

Alan Wenban-Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Wenban-Smith

Conrad Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Conrad Morris

Patricia Canter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patricia Canter

Eric Matthews

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Matthews

Frank Riggs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Riggs

Daryl Champion

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Daryl Champion

Guy Fullalove

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Guy Fullalove

Helen Leaver

To whom it may concern,

The editor code should specify in the preamble, and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Leaver

Malcolm MCGovern

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm MCGovern

Sam Patton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Sam Patton

Meryl & Ray Newbiggin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Meryl & Ray Newbiggin

John Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john hall

Colin Stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Stewart

Ian Hanson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Hanson

Bob Stow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bob Stow

Geoffrey Bonnett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Bonnett

claire duffy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

claire duffy

Margaret Metcalf

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Metcalf

Tristan Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater London

Tristan Wood

Susan Fox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Susan Fox

RICHARD BENNETT

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

RICHARD BENNETT

Karl Fisher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Karl Fisher

Richard Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Jackson

Neville Dalton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neville Dalton

Libby Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Libby Smith

Andrew Byerley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Byerley

Chris Olive

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Olive

Jenny Tillyard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Jenny Tillyard

Andrew Wallace

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Wallace

Martin King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I believe it is very important that the Editors' Code of Practice should state under "Accuracy" in the preamble that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence" as it currently does. This means that where a front page headline has been deemed by the regulator to be a code-breach the correction or adjudication, with the apology, should appear on the front page too. If the breach is online then the correction or adjudication and apology should be in the same place as the original story. i.e. as accessible to the reader as the original story.

In my view such a requirement would encourage more care and attention to accuracy by newspapers before publication.

Best wishes,

Martin King

Geoff Smethurst

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cheshire

Geoff Smethurst

Steven Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Listen to the public for once!

Steven Martin

John Coombs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Coombs

david firman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Firman

Cameron Kirkwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cameron Kirkwood

Ian Pease

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Pease

Margaret Pelling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Pelling

Brian Viercant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Viercant

Neil Camp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neil Camp

Tony Archer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Archer

Reg Mackenzie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Reg Mackenzie

Steven Spalding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steven Spalding

Mike & Sue Galliard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike & Sue Galliard

Martin Price

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

Martin Price

Gill Golding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Gill Golding

Georgina Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Georgina Johnson

Brenda Smethurst

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brenda Smethurst

Tony Golding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Golding

Dr K L Maund

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Dr K L Maund

Terence Barker

Committee members,

I am responding to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Justice for the defamed demands that the code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equal prominence to the

code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terence Barker

Charles Church

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Church

Russell Sharland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Russell Sharland

Barry Beal

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

Barry Beal

Gerald McCarthy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Gerald McCarthy

Susan Ray

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Ray

Daniel Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Daniel Johnson

Nick Hart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble MUST specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Nick Hart

Hamdi Faragalla

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hamdi Faragalla

Christine Protz

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christine Protz

Theresa Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Theresa Jones

Christine Lynch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christine Lynch

James Lynch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Lynch

Joe De Broize

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joe De Broize

Colin Butler MBE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Butler MBE

Richard Carter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Carter

Jack Wyper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Jack Wyper

George Renshaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Renshaw

Ann Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ann Evans

Vivian Miles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vivian Miles

William Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Evans

David Wolfendale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

There should also be a notification on the front page of the existence of the correction and the number of corrections in the previous 12 months.

Tyne and Wear

David Wolfendale

tim shelton-jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

tim shelton-jones

David Pointon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a breach of the code, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should also appear on the front page and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. Moreover, the apology should be made with the same prominence (font size etc.) as the original article.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Pointon FIAP MBCS

Don Rowland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Don Rowland

Julia Palmer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julia Palmer

peter Green

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

peter Green

Steve Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

As confirmation that this is a genuine consultation by the Editors' Code of Practice Committee, which will take regard of all responses, I will welcome an acknowledgement of this contribution to the consultation review

Best wishes,

Steve Taylor

John Airs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Airs

PAul Bowyer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

PAul Bowyer

john mather

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john mather

Mark Thriscutt

Dear Sir / Madame,

Please consider this as my response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Thriscutt

David Spiers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Spiers

David Atkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Atkinson

Raymond Teague

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Raymond Teague

Michael Cole

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Cole

Ken Pickup

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ken Pickup

Martin Hollins Hollins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Martin Hollins

David Towell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. There is a real need for this if public confidence in the press is to be restored.

Best wishes,

David Towell

Elaine Russell-Wilks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elaine Russell-Wilks

Patrick Freyne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where

the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Patrick Freyne

Adam Sharpe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adam Sharpe

PETER OVENS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

PETER OVENS

Monica Ross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Monica Ross

edith gurney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

edith gurney

edith gurney

FREDERICK HANKS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

FREDERICK HANKS

Trish Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Trish Wood

Roger Roddis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Roddis

Richard Gem

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Gem

John Scott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Scott

Chris Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Turner

Robin Langer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Langer

Brian Pile

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

LEICESTERSHIRE

Brian Pile

Peter Schofield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Schofield

Brian Mitchell
To whom it may concern,

I wish to respond to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should state that corrections and adjudications should be published with equal prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When the adjudicator deems an apology or correction is necessary, it should be in the same place as the original story. If it was a front page story, the apology should also be front page. If it is a web page, the apology should be at the same point in the page.

By doing this the same number of readers who saw original story will see the true position, and any damage done stands a greater chance of being rectified. It will also encourage the newspaper not to hide, but to take responsibility for its actions, and hopefully, take more care in the future.

Best wishes,

Brian Mitchell

morton warner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

morton warner

Steve Matthews
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Matthews

Michael Bohoslawec
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Bohoslawec

Reg Birch
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Reg Birch

Davi8d Lenaghan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with greater or at least equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the readers who read (or subliminally became aware of) the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Davi8d Lenaghan

Ginette Dayan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best Wishes, Best wishes,

Ginette Dayan

Robin Waterhouse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Waterhouse

Jenny Lennon-Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Jenny Lennon-Wood

Michael Jamieson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Jamieson

Mervyn Grady

Dear Sir/Madam

I am responding regarding the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. To encourage media editors to take more care with fact checking and to reduce the number of false stories, I think that the code should specify that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

If a front page has a story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction and apology should appear on the front page too. For online corrections, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

Yours faithfully

Mervyn Grady

Clive Norris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Norris

Beverley Davyd-Whitrick
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

Beverley Davyd-Whitrick

walter cross
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

walter cross

David Moon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

David Moon

Pete Gathercole

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pete Gathercole

George ashcroft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

flintshire

George Ashcroft

Simon Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Hughes

Carole Lomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carole Lomas

Robert Langley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Langley

David Hancox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Hancox

Geoff Haworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoff Haworth

Geoff Haworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoff Haworth

Stephen Cowham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Yorkshire

Stephen Cowham

Hannah Mian

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hannah Mian

Crispin Allard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Crispin Allard

Glynis Freeman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Outside US

Glynis Freeman

Marc Harries

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marc Harries

Nicholas Allen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nicholas Allen

ray butler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

middlesex

ray butler

julia garwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

julia Garwood

Jeffrey Allinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeffrey Allinson

Leslie Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Leslie Robinson

Patricia Spencer
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patricia Spencer

Laurence VAN SOMEREN
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Laurence VAN SOMEREN

Clive Trollope
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Trollope

Julia Bradbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julia Bradbury

Philip Clarke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. Front page headlines are placed there to have a 'big impact' on the readers & as past experience shows....They do, not only for readers, but also, when the story is inaccurate, on the people whose lives are tarnished. It is only fair that the a 'big impact' apology & story corrections are placed prominently on front pages.

Best wishes,

Philip Clarke

Tessa Cohn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tessa Cohn

Angela Shepherd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Angela Shepherd

Charles Paisley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

U.K>

Charles Paisley

den dewhurst

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

den Dewhurst

John Ashby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Ashby

Stephen Goodey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Goodey

Colin Atkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Atkinson

Simon Caldwell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Caldwell

John George

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Tyne and Wear

John George

William Poulton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Poulton

Nigel Armstrong

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Nigel Armstrong

David Haymes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

David Haymes

Martin Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Jones

William Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

William Wilson

Phil Kemp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind regards,

Phil Kemp

Trevor Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

WHY NOT "GREATER PROMINENCE" even ? After all, somebody's reputation has been inaccurately traduced

Best wishes,

Trevor Williams

Ray Lomax

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Ray Lomax

David Kennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice even though I consider your organisation to be utterly discredited and self-serving

In the preamble and under “Accuracy” the code should specify that corrections and adjudications should be published with equal prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence” which is a value judgement not an absolute.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not buried further down the screen.

Only in this way will the readers of the original article see the correction and the apology and understand that newspapers are utterly uncaring of the damage they cause. Such an approach also stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to act in a more responsible way in the future

Best wishes,

David Kennett

Charles Alexander

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Alexander

Tim Munro
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Tim Munro

Kenneth Allen
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Allen

Robert Gizzi
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Gizzi

Jane Hamilton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Hamilton

Anthony Docherty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Docherty

Eric Kelly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, **should appear on the front page** too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Kelly

Barbara Dye

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Barbara Dye

William Toal

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Toal

Katherine Dunn-Mines

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

North Yorkshire

Katherine Dunn-Mines

KEITH TROWER

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

KEITH TROWER

Philip Grain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

South Yorkshire

Philip Grain

Adam Lancelot

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Adam Lancelot

Robert Reed

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Reed

john askham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john askham

Corry Cashman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Corry Cashman

Martin Copeman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Copeman

Kathryn Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kathryn Turner

James Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Suffolk

James Smith

Geraldine Steenson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geraldine Steenson

Jill Vincent
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jill Vincent

Margaret Blenkinsop
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

Margaret Blenkinsop

Richard Dunn
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

Richard Dunn

Brian Phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Phillips

Tony Harrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Harrison

David Farrelly

Dear Sir / Madam,

Please consider this as my response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Farrelly

Peter Lapinskas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Lapinskas

michael brady

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

michael brady

Robert Raynham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Robert Raynham

John McClean

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John McClean

Michael Fuller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Fuller

HARRY Beran

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

HARRY Beran

Paul Thompson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Thompson

Grant Lewins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Grant Lewins

Chris Richardson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Richardson

Kathryn Nicholson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kathryn Nicholson

William Marker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

William Marker

David Baker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

By this I mean that, when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. I also think that online corrections or adjudications, should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared. It wdoes not appear to me to be fair that they may appear somewhere else, in a much less prominent position.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

David Baker

Nigel Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel Jones

Jane Fuest

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Suffolk

Jane Fuest

John Yorke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Yorke

Kevin Raftery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Editor's Code of Practice should include a prohibition against any attempt to stir up public anger against anyone on the basis of their race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, or national origin.

Sincerely,

Kevin Raftery

David Tymm
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Tymm

Eile Gibson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully,

Eile Gibson

Jenifer Spencer
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

- Select -

Jenifer Spencer

Peter McLoughlin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

After all, it's only fair!

Regards

Peter McLoughlin

Eamon Walsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eamon Walsh

Seb Moore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Seb Moore

Bernard Brockhouse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bernard Brockhouse

Tom Willis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Willis

Robert Cousins
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Cousins

John Wyant
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to breach the code of practice, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should also appear on the front page, and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

In this way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to check their facts more carefully next time. Anything less will just encourage editors to continue to take risks, rather than only publishing when they are sure of their facts.

Hampshire

John Wyant

Kevin Raftery
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating

code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Please do what is possible to deter incorrect and abusive reporting.

Kevin Raftery

Iain Coram

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain Coram

James and Meta Dunn Dunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James and Meta Dunn

David Corry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Corry

Hugh Butcher

To whom it may concern,

Please see my response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I submit that the code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hugh Butcher

Roger Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Roger Shaw

Wendy4 Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Wendy4 Taylor

Francis Caves

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence" which is a completely subjective and imprecise term.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page also and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours Sincerely

Francis Caves

Hugh Turnbull

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Mid Glamorgan

Hugh Turnbull

Peter Irving

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Peter Irving Cecil L J Kennedy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. Time to stop pretending and treating the public like morons.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cecil L J Kennedy

WILLIAM HOPKINS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

WILLIAM HOPKINS

Sandra Heard

To whom it may concern,
Stop the FAKE NEWS .NOW.

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sandra Heard

Roy Parkes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I would hazard that an issue of the Daily Mail with a prominent correction / apology on its front page would (1) increase sales of that issue because people would be curious (2) increase sales of all future issues because people would come to realise that they could the more trust the stories they read.

e stories they read

PLEASE READ LAST PARA ABOVE

Roy Parkes

Bruce Hodson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. It is a matter on which we feel very strongly!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bruce Hodson

David Rostance

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Rostance

Tony Tuck

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Yours sincerely,

Tony Tuck

Matthew Hopper
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Matthew Hopper

John Withington
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with EQUIVALENT prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Contact PM Office email form

John Withington

Greg Bryan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Greg Bryan

Duncan Gibb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Select

Duncan Gibb

David Presswell

We've never need a reliable, transparent press more than we do today. IPSO - at least as presently constituted - gives me no assurance that this is what we have. Making apologies more prominent may help.

Thank you.

Best wishes,

David Presswell

Alan Constable

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Refards

Alan Constable

Denise Woodhouse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Denise Woodhouse

Dave Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dave Watson

Ian Dunford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Dunford

David Westwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this message as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". Due prominence is a sham to avoid newspaper publishers admitting in equal prominence they got it wrong.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not for example 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

yours

David Westwood

Andrew Rolfe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Rolfe

George Kennard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Kennard

Ken Baldry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ken Baldry

EDDIE HARDACRE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

EDDIE HARDACRE

John Lloyd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

To avoid the long standing and self-serving practice of minimising corrections, adjudications and apologies, the Code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that they should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not just "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Lloyd

Richard Ager

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Ager

Simon Hussey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Hussey

Alan Kebbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Kebbell

Nicholas Pye-Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

South Yorkshire

Nicholas Pye-Smith

Nicholas Yelland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Faithfully,

Nicholas Yelland

Robert Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Williams

Alison Weaver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alison Weaver

Elizabeth Reed

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Powys

Elizabeth Reed

Lawrence Jenkins

To whom it may concern,

I consider this to be an extremely important matter relating to every individual in our society, so I am making a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. The term "due prominence" lacks definition and is being used to hide away corrections and adjudications.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lawrence Jenkins

Cathy Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cathy Watson

J Stone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

J Stone

Charles Lockwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This is a matter of simple fairness and justice.

Best wishes,

Charles Lockwood

Gary Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not just “due prominence” which allows far too much 'interpretation'.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Williams

John Morris

To whom it may concern,

This is a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that ***corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.***

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

Such an approach would offer the possibility that the newspaper might take more care in the future and will ensure that all those readers who were misled by the original article might be made aware of the true position, both of which the current code fails to do.

Best wishes,

John Morris

James Bisset

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Bisset

John Brian Binns

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Brian Binns

Iain Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain Walker

George Salt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kind regards,

George Salt

Roger Singer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Berks

Roger Singer

Jim Annand

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Annand

Claire Tochel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Claire Tochel

daniel saville

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

daniel saville

Alfred Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alfred Turner

John Garnett

To whom it may concern,

I am angry about the lack of effective press regulation in the UK and worried in the light of events in the USA where the president is intent on destroying the media. A free, responsible, accountable media is vital for democracy and our future.

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Sincerely,

John Garnett

Anthony Evans
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Evans

Richard Wilson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Wilson

Ian Butterworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Butterworth

Jim Dubber

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim Dubber

Jimmy Sutherland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jimmy Sutherland

Terence Bennitt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terence Bennitt

Andrew PINCOTT

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew PINCOTT

Donald Flett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Donald Flett

David Gardiner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

David Gardiner

Gwen Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gwen Evans

David Norman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Norman

David Norman

James O'Connor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James O'Connor

VINCENT DUSANG

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

GLOS

VINCENT DUSANG

roger whetton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

roger whetton

Roger Boulton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Boulton

Paul Carline

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Carline

Eric Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Shaw

Alfred Wilkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Dunbartonshire

Alfred Wilkinson

Daphne Welch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Shropshire

Daphne Welch

John Horncastle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

John Horncastle

Terry Knight

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terry Knight

David Nicholls

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Nicholls

Tasha Mirrington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tasha Mirrington

Anthony Worrall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Worrall

Robert Ashworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Ashworth

Tom Mercer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Tom Mercer

Barry Edwards

To whom it may concern, Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". Size of story, size of font and typeface should also be equivalent. When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position and such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. Best wishes,

Barry Edwards

Alan Ground

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Ground

David Howse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Howse

Ed Larkin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ed Larkin

Gemma Hill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gemma Hill

Tom Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Ward

Michael Frayn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

yours

Michael Frayn

John Sheridan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Sheridan

Karin Beeler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Karin Beeler

Ray White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ray White

Ifor Owen

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ifor Owen

Chris Holroyde

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Buckinghamshire

Chris Holroyde

Bruce Dickson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bruce Dickson

Chris Lilley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. Online corrections or adjudications should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not way below, where a lot of scrolling would be needed to find it.

This will mean that the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.
Kind regards

Chris Lilley

Donald Simpson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Donald Simpson

Margaret Green
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Green

Geoff Holland
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoff Holland

Colin Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Young

Priscilla Lambton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Beds

Priscilla Lambton

John Harding

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes, J Harding

John Harding

Lesley Haworth
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lesley Haworth

Margaret Morgan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Morgan

Terry Edgeley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Terry Edgeley

Rob Clegg

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. Sadly fake news is on the increase and must be stopped.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rob Clegg

Maureen Stovell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maureen Stovell

John Gittens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Gittens

Horst Kopleck

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Horst Kopleck

Geoff Elms

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Pembrokeshire

Geoff Elms

Jon Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should certainly specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". Not hidden away.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jon Davies

Reginald Gay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Reginald Gay

John Morrish

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Dunbartonshire

John Morrish

Emily Hames

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Emily Hames

John Wiles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Wiles

Richard Klos

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Klos

ray jacobs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Any other option could be considered to be attempting to manipulate, and not inform the public.

Devon

ray Jacobs

michael fort

Sir/ madam,

I submit this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The preamble of the code and the comments on "accuracy" propose the nebulous "due prominence", ie the editor's discretion, for publishing corrections and adjudications on breaches of the code. Every point in the code should specify that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear clearly on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

This is the only really way that the readers who saw original story can see the true position. This approach also stands far more chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Michael Fort

Ian Turpin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Turpin

Dorothy Hoad

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dorothy Hoad

David Cunningham
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

State

David Cunningham

Graham Fleet
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Fleet

Chris Francis
Dear Sirs,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Chris Francis

Barry Parker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Parker

Ted Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ted Thomas

Michael Pritchard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Pritchard

Garth Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Garth Wright

Tom White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom White

Derek Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Evans

Dave Wheldon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dave Wheldon

Charles Vos

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Vos

John Skrine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I would like to go further and suggest that, if the editor knew, or ought to have known, of the code-breach, not only the place in the publication, but also the amount of space allotted, should be the same as the original story.

This is should not be merely a matter of correcting facts in such a way that readers see them, but also of discouraging editors from breaching the code in the first place. I do not expect you to go this far - but not to place the correction in the same place and with the same prominence as the original story makes a mockery of regulation.

Regards,

John Skrine

David Scriven

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Scriven

Aidan McAlister

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Aidan McAlister

Sue Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sue Smith

Ian Marks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Marks

David Moody-Jones
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Moody-Jones

James Anderson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Anderson

Stephen McKenna
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best Wishes

Stephen McKenna

James Brogan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

James Brogan

Paula Biddlestone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paula Biddlestone

Peter Kelly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Kelly

Ashley Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ashley Mitchell

Judy Tomlinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely

Judy Tomlinson

Fiona Law

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fiona Law

Arthur Jacob

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Arthur Jacob

Sam Darby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sam Darby

Jeff Mohun

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeff Mohun

David Pailin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Pailin

Henry Gold

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Henry Gold

Sarah Legg

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sarah Legg

Rib Davis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Rib Davis

Breege Gallagher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Breege Gallagher

Breege Gallagher
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Breege Gallagher

David York
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David York

Paul Hobbs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Hobbs

Nigel Hill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel Hill

Ben Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ben Walker

David Crease

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Crease

Alistair Beattie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alistair Beattie

stephen rose

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

***The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and***

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

stephen rose

helen hepburn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

helen Hepburn

Fin Casey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fin Casey

Michael Lawton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Lawton

David Griffiths

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Griffiths

Ian Brewerton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with EQUIVALENT prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page as well, using the same sized type, the same font and the same colour. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear on the homepage, and at the top of that page, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Brewerton

Michael Parker
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully,

Michael Parker

Brian Woolland
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Woolland

Brian Woolland
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Woolland

Peter Bradbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Bradbury

Peter Ramm

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Ramm

Robert Sneddon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Sneddon

Cliff Edden

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cliff Edden

Sidney Benjamin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sidney Benjamin

Graeme Shackleton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graeme Shackleton

Graeme Shackleton

Alex Cochrane

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Alex Cochrane

Ludo McGurk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ludo McGurk

Michael Claydon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Claydon

John Ball

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

John Ball

richard livingston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

richard Livingston

Iain Sharp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain Sharp

Alan Pritchard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Alan Pritchard

John Phelan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

john phelan

Bill Allender

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Bill Allender

Keith Bunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Midlands

Keith Bunn

Derek Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Smith

John Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Davies

Brian Fleming

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Fleming

Roger Ash

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Ash

Jean Hodgkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jean Hodgkinson

Bill White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill White

Marcus Lavin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Furthermore since this is about accountability, I suggest that we need an independent angle to change the attitude of newspaper editors and to present a new dynamic that ensures accountability and transparency within the sector of journalism.

I have designed my strategic media initiative, that provides the necessary accountability and transparency but also offers many other positive advantages to focus on improved solutions. My point is IF Editors and industry "influencers" can't conduct journalism in an honest, open, accountable and transparent way then there is an independent ALTERNATIVE that will change the dynamics of journalism for the better, for the future.

Best wishes, Marcus Lavin

Marcus Lavin

Mike Pritchard

To The Chair of the Consutation on the Editors' Code

Sir,

Please consider my response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with “**equivalent prominence**” to the code-breach, not “**due prominence**”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page in the same font/typeface and point size, and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the

same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

That way the readers who saw the original story can see the true position. Such an approach will enable the press to fully reflect and act upon the editing and publishing of "stories" and encourage them to confine themselves to fact not fiction, with opinion not being presented as fact.

Kind regards

Mike Pritchard

Roger Distill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hertfordshire

Roger Distill

Alan Larkman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Larkman

David Graham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Graham

Andrew Clothier

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Clothier

John Rayner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There are certain things that are "self evident" on this planet, "a 4 year old could work it out". So when we see the likes of you using mealy mouthed statements the average person in the street smells the rat immediately. Why can't you do what's right for a change. Don't forget these are the editors and this is the industry that hacked a dead girls phone so she could sell more papers.....Wake up man!

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Rayner

Pauline Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pauline Wilson

Eric Goodyer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Goodyer

david jenkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

david Jenkins

Andrew Watters

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Watters

Galfrid Congreve

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Without these changes, we run the risk of losing all faith in a truthful and ethical press, and its role in informing and safeguarding our democracy will be fatally undermined.

Best wishes,

Galfrid Congreve

Niamh Troup

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Niamh Troup

Colin Grimmett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Grimmett

Rodney Stanford-Beale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rodney Stanford-Beale

Lee Simpson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which turns out to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should be on the front page just like the original story. For online corrections, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story.

Best wishes,

Lee Simpson

Judy Corfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Judy Corfield

Jennifer Cathcart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

***The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and***

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

North Yorkshire

Jennifer Cathcart

Richard Hammersley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Hammersley

Will Straw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Will Straw

Rose Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rose Powell

Owen Biewahn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Owen Biewahn

Veronica Bale

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Veronica Bale

Nicholas Folkard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nicholas Folkard

Michael Heylings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and, for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not many screen scrolls further down.

That way, the same number of readers who saw original story can also see the true position. Such an approach stands a better chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Heylings

Sue Duerdoth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice. Please also treat this in confidence.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. Newspaper readers tend to concentrate on the headlines and the front page headline sells the paper. So a scary/juicy/scurrilous headline and accompanying story gets read and taken as 'truth' The reputation of individuals and organisations can be destroyed in moments. Unfortunately there is a lot of data that confirms that 'bad' stories about organisations get repeated by individuals many more times than 'favourable' ones. If the story is wholly or partly inaccurate, putting a correction, apology, or adjudication statement inside the paper, or way down the on-line layout, will make little or no difference. It simply will not be read and the original story will still stand in people's minds as true. The apology, correction and adjudication should be on the front page and given equivalent status to the original story - so that it is read and gives more of a chance for the individual or organisation to recover their reputation. As matters stand now, there is little or no incentive for the newspaper to get stories right - the headline sells the paper/gets the on-line readership. That eventually damages the whole newspaper industry - we surely need an open and fair press, able to speak out against vested interests if necessary. This is particularly true at the moment as we spectate 'Trump versus The Media'. The newspaper has done the harm and should, in the interests of justice, do all it can to repair the damage and restore balance.

Best wishes,

Sue Duerdoth

John Howe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Howe

Julian Brenard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julian Brenard

Adrian Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adrian Smith

Jackie Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jackie Jackson

Eve Pritchard

To The Chair,

Dear Sir,

Please consider this response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with "**equivalent prominence**" to the code-breach, **not "due prominence"**. When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page in the same font/typeface and point size, and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

In that way the readers who saw the original story can see the true status. Such an approach will enable the press to fully reflect and act upon the editing and publishing of "stories" and encourage them to confine themselves to fact not fiction, with opinion being highlighted as such and thus enabling libel to be avoided.

Yours faithfully,

Eve Pritchard

John Fletcher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Fletcher

Claire Fisher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Claire Fisher

David Gingell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Norfolk

David Gingell

Malcolm Jenner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Norfolk

Malcolm Jenner

john daws
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Daws

Sally Burr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

It is quite evident that when a front page correction is published on the inside pages, many of the people who saw the original false headline will not see the correction, and are likely to be left believing the false story. Therefore, any full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear in the same place as the original story first appeared, whether that is on the front page or on the online page.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sally Burr

David Carver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Scotland

David Carver

Martine Burgess

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Martine Burgess

Peter Carabine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hertfordshire

Peter Carabine

Colin Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Williams

Ann de Astui

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I also have in mind the giant size headlines of the Daily Mail which outrageously called the judges of the Supreme Court the "enemies of the people". This very bullying headline showed not only the ignorance of the editor and staff of the paper but also tried to inflame members of the population on the basis of ignorant and totally wrong, absolutely biased, statements; the headline was a complete disgrace. This travesty of truth is only one of good examples of mistruth requiring correction - and an apology.

Best wishes,

Ann de Astui

valerie lucas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

valerie lucas

Jack NILSEN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jack NILSEN

Elisabeth Leggett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elisabeth Leggett

Vernon Wynn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes, V.T. Wynn

Sheila Ware

To whom it may concern,

The whole country is watching to see how much your committee is 'running scared' of the tabloids

and their muscle flexing editors. Please at least try to create just a semblance of an even playing field!

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sheila Ware

Gerald Slater Slater

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerald Slater

Charlotte Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Charlotte Young

Steve McMillan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve McMillan

Pamela Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pamela Taylor

Ken Pearson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Ken Pearson

Martin Barnard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Martin Barnard

Alan Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Taylor

Geoffrey May

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey May

John Lewis Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Lewis

Denis Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

It is an insult to the public in general, and readers in particular that "apologies" and retractions are allowed to be hidden on inside pages as small paragraphs in tiny print.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Denis Russell

Tom McDonnell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lanarkshire

Tom McDonnell

Andrew Reddin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Reddin

MICHAEL HERON
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

MICHAEL HERON

Graham Lock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Lock

r aubtn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

r aubtn

peter flavell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

peter flavell

Thomas Swallow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Thomas Swallow

Gary Ransford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Ransford

Robert Crombie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Crombie

Derek Ward

To whom it may concern,

This is a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I believe that the code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". This is clearly the just and morally correct position to hold, otherwise the balance is tilted too far away from those who suffer from inaccurate reporting.

What this should mean is that when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be in breach of the code, the full correction, with an apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not many scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position, as well as any adjudication and apology, which is the only fair response.

Yours faithfully,

Dr D J Ward

Heather Peace

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Heather Peace

Nick Tonge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Tonge

Peter Scallon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Scallon

Gloria Searle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gloria Searle

Duncan Callow-Evans
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Duncan Callow-Evans

john boardman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john boardman

Alan Canvess
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Canvess

Kim Ballard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kim Ballard

Robert Inston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Inston

Sandra Morrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sandra Morrison

Suzanne Dixon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Suzanne Dixon

Julie Newbould

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julie Newbould

Vina Campbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vina Campbell

David Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Jones

Robin Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Hall

BF Patterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not FURTHER screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

BF Patterson

Iain McCallum

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain McCallum

Dr Anthony Agathangelou
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dr Anthony Agathangelou

Richard Davies
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Davies

Mel Watson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mel Watson

Bruce Dunlop

To whom it may concern,

Not only are we concerned that powerful media organisations are not responsive to the ordinary citizen but also that media output which by reputation should be trusted. It is therefore important that *Fake News* be properly corrected to re-establish a worthy press.

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully

Bruce Dunlop

Tony Fletcher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Neath Port Talbot

Tony Fletcher

Rev'd Vic Goodman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rev'd Vic Goodman

Glyn Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxfordshire

Glyn Morris

Pat Mood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pat Mood

Alan Fenemore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Fenemore

Peter Symonds

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Symonds

David Servant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Servant

Lesley Kazan-Pinfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lesley Kazan-Pinfield

Michael Hodgkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

South Yorkshire

Michael Hodgkinson

Alan Burton

I would like to suggest an important input into the Editors' Code of Practice.

I believe that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, ie in similar weight headlines on the same page as the original article.

This is surely the only way that you will be respected by your readership.

Many thanks

Alan Burton

Diana Morgan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Sussex

Diana Morgan

Chris Webb
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Chris Webb

Jeff Balcombe
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeff Balcombe

David Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Powell

Philip Weaver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear **on the front page too** and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Philip Weaver

Lindsay Wakeman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lindsay Wakeman

Bryn Clarkson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Bryn Clarkson

John Eldred
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Eldred

John McDermott
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John McDermott

Jude Oconnor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jude Oconnor

stanley howard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

stanley howard

Christina Elliott
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater Manchester

Christina Elliott

Rowan Roenisch
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

LEICESTERSHIRE

Rowan Roenisch

James Topham
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Topham

Kirsty McGovern

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

-Choose a state-

Kirsty McGovern

Ewa Johnston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ewa Johnston

ray bailey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

ray bailey

Morris Butler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Morris Butler

Olivia Atkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Pembrokeshire

Olivia Atkinson

Harry Gibson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Harry Gibson

Neal Champion
Sir

This is my response to consultation on the Editors' Code of Practice by IPSO.

The code should specify that the regulator has the power to

- 1) require apologies where appropriate and
- 2) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Berkshire

Neal Champion

Michael Hollis
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

-

Michael Hollis

Robert Cook
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Robert Cook

Keith Sharps
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Keith Sharps

Eddie James
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eddie James

John Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Taylor

Roger Cannon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Cannon

Paul Egan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Egan

Danny strike

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes, Danny Strike

Danny strike

Steven Aspinall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steven Aspinall

Richard Stanley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Avon

Richard Stanley

graeme hunter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

graeme hunter

John Peter Maughan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. For online corrections or adjudications, they should be available in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, with equal prominence. That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position.

It's very important that editor's practice can be seen to be squeaky clean. No "funny busines", hiding apologies.

We live in times when, in America, Trump is making attacks on the "dishonest" media. British media needs to be seen to be above any criticism.

Sincerely,

John Peter Maughan

Orhan Dil

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Orhan Dil

Andrew Davis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Davis

John Robinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Robinson

Robert Vaughan-Newton
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Vaughan-Newton

Maurice Gibbons
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Maurice Gibbons

Donald Macrae
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Donald Macrae

John Barker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Barker

Graham Davis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham P Davis

G King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

G King

Alan Goodwin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Alan Goodwin

Jack Doran

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

N. Somerset

Jack Doran

John Rigby
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Yours sincerely

John Rigby

Farhan Masani
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Farhan Masani

Elizabeth Birchall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Whether or not the wrongdoer is sincere is for the victim to judge but an even greater public interest is that the wrongdoer is forced to correct the mischief with as much prominence as the original story. Only thus and by sincerity on the paper's part can it establish its reputation for integrity and entitlement to the public's trust. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Birchall

Sarah Pine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Sarah Pine

Rod Muir

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Rod Muir

Malcolm Duckett

We are still watching. ...

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a

published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Duckett

L Whitworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to:

- a) require apologies where appropriate and**
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.**

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

L Whitworth

Grashame Thompson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Cheshire

Grashame Thompson

David Rangecroft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

If you are to have any credibility whatsoever you must surely heed these points.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in

opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

David Rangecroft

Kevin Wallis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Oxfordshire

Kevin Wallis

Dr Thomas Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Dr Thomas Brown

Catherine Patterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

- a) require apologies where appropriate and***
- b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.***

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes

Catherine Patterson

John Rees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Rees

Michael Brand

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Michael Brand

Alison Piet

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Alison Piet

Rob Donovan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Rob Donovan

Ken Harrold

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Ken Harrold Ken Harrold

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Ken Harrold

mahmood hussain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

None (International)

mahmood hussain

Robin Gill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Regards

Robin Gill

Kevin Edwards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Kevin Edwards

Anna Langley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Anna Langley

John Dines

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

John Dines

Susan Hepburn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Susan Hepburn

derek hill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Staffordshire

derek hill

Elizabeth Mortimer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Mortimer

Jack Nowicki

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jack Nowicki

Aeddán ap Garth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Outside US

Aeddán ap Garth

Albert Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Albert Evans

Clive Sneddon
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Yours sincerely,

Clive Sneddon

Helen Baldwin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

Discrimination and prejudice and the negative impacts of these can apply to groups of people, as well as individuals. Groups of people should therefore not be subjected to attacks in the press unless there is a public interest justification.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Helen Baldwin

Brian Phillips
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is

targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brian Phillips

Andy Miller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Surrey

Andy Miller

Clare McDermott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Clare McDermott

Christopher Lowe
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Christopher Lowe

michael brady
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

michael brady

Brian Dennis
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code

complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Brian Dennis

Robin Cullup

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Robin Cullup

Willem van Hoorn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Willem van Hoorn

Patrick Pearson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code

of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Patrick Pearson

Annie Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Annie Shaw

Helen Bishop

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Helen Bishop

Corry Cashman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Corry Cashman

Barry Emmerson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Barry Emmerson

Alan Chappell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse

from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Alan Chappell

Ian Cuthbertson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Ian Cuthbertson

Alan Tanner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Surrey

Alan Tanner

David Noble

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable,, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

David Noble

Adam Symons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 12 (Discrimination) should be amended to provide protection from hate attacks and abuse from newspapers especially groups which are vulnerable and already subject to discrimination.

The current Code only covers prejudice and discrimination against an identified individual who is targeted on account of a listed characteristic which makes them vulnerable to discrimination. However, groups of people, however vulnerable, have no protection. So a newspaper which prints, for example, a racist attack on an individual is liable to face a code complaint, while a newspaper which prints a sweeping, generalised attack on people of a certain race, nation or religion, is not liable to face such a complaint.

Best wishes,

Adam Symons

John Flynn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Flynn

Tom Lunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Lunn

Ricky Ferguson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ricky Ferguson

Peter Hall
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Hall

Peter Cross
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Cross

Honora Ormesher
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Honora Ormesher

Neil Spurway

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

With regards,

Neil Spurway

Michael Swarbrick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

Michael Swarbrick

Helen Waites

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Waites

Judith Dutfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Judith Dutfield

Steve Craddock

To whom it may concern:

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Steve Craddock

Satyajit Chatterjee

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Satyajit Chatterjee

Kenneth Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Mitchell

Alistair McClean

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alistair McClean

mark woolner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

mark woolner

Colin Beynon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Beynon

Vic Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vic Hall

Margaret Goodman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Margaret Goodman

Alan Hudson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Hudson

Mary Peate
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Peate

Billy Dann
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Billy Dann

Phyllis Hyde
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

WEST MIDLANDS

Phyllis Hyde

David Plank

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cambridgeshire

David Plank

Darren Sargent

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Darren Sargent

Ann Davey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Select...Equal prominence should be given every time there is found to be a breach of code

Ann Davey

Pauline Harrowell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

England

Pauline Harrowell

Tony Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Taylor

Carole Milward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Carole Milward

C. Stanton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

C. Stanton

chris hankinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

chris Hankinson

Phil Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phil Turner

Michelle Lincoln

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Michelle Lincoln

Michelle Lincoln

Diane Goodwin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Diane Goodwin

Michael Gorbold

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Gorbold

Gordon Nicholas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Nicholas

Susan Horan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Horan

Fran Hicks Hicks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fran Hicks Hicks

Reg Day

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Reg Day

Maureen Al-Kishtaini
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Leicestershire

Maureen Al-Kishtaini

Guru Singh
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Guru Singh

Frank Fish
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Fish

Paul Brangwyn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Brangwyn

Douglas Parr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxfordshire

Douglas Parr

janet arthur

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Derbyshire

janet Arthur

Roger Banks
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Roger Banks

Robert Gibson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Gibson

Terry Bennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I would like to see a change in wording in the preamble and under "Accuracy" so that any corrections and adjudications be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". This would prevent the feeling that publishers can deliberately give prominence to stories that are untrue, safe in the knowledge that the story will have had an impact whilst any correction is hidden away inside the publication.

Best wishes,

Terry Bennett

craig barber

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

craig barber

Professor Ian Leck

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Professor Ian Leck

Clive Sneddon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Clive Sneddon

Julia Dallmeier

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julia Dallmeier

Peter Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Wilson

W R Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

W R Williams

Rev John Churcher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rev John Churcher

Mark Wheatland
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Sussex

Mark Wheatland

Julie Carvalho Jensen
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julie Carvalho Jensen

Valerie Berryman
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Valerie Berryman

John Newton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Newton

James Bradley

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify clearly that corrections should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. The same principle, of equivalence, should apply to material published elsewhere or on-line.

"Due" prominence is all too obviously a way out, evading your responsibilities to your readers and those you have, by definition, wronged.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Bradley

Andrew Plested

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Plested

Susan Peek

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susan Peek

michael buckley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

michael buckley

Bryan Eberli
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bryan Eberli

Kenneth Cockshull
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I fear that if you do not act as described above, there is a strong risk that we shall end up like America with 'fake news' being peddled and accepted as fact.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Cockshull

Ruth Twaites
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ruth Twaites

Clinton Morgan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

-

Clinton Morgan

Paul Chesters

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Chesters

Penelope Bradnum

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Penelope Bradnum

Diana Holbrook

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Diana Holbrook

Vaughan Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vaughan Jones

Neil Main

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

Neil Main

Jim North

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jim North

Julie Henworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Julie Henworth

Pauline Hill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pauline Hill

Don Kimber

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Could you please ensure that corrections are presented with similar prominence to original. Have some decency and integrity

Best wishes,

Don Kimber

Stephen Sanderson CBE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Sanderson CBE

Ian Crawford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Crawford

Robert Toft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Shropshire

Robert Toft

Robert Gryspeerd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

People's confidence in newspapers is hardly encouraged by corrections worded so cunningly that you can't work out which way round the truth is, or by apologies hidden away at the bottom of inside pages and made to look like housekeeping notices. When you do happen to spot one, you wonder how many others you have missed. This meanness by newspapers doesn't look good and ultimately undermines democracy.

We don't want to reach the US situation where a politician can win mass support while claiming that the media can't be trusted and only puts out what suits it.

Please make this small but very significant change to the Code and demonstrate your even-handed dedication to the truth, convenient or not.

Sincere regards

Robert Gryspeerdt

William Lintott Lintott
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Lintott Lintott

David Turner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Turner

Deborah Fuller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Deborah Fuller

james byrne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

james byrne

Richard Kelly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Kelly

Stephen Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Jones

Julie Hobday

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

Julie Hobday

Val Burgess

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Val Burgess

Kenneth Canfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kenneth Canfield

Antony Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Antony Brown

JOHN WARD

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

DEVON

JOHN WARD

Roger Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Wilson

Peter Monteith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Monteith

franklin riley

Dears Sirs

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 16 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

regards

franklin riley

Fiona Gaskell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fiona Gaskell

Keith Brawn
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

Keith Brawn

Margaret Hopkins

When a newspaper is challenged over and has to print an apology for an untrue story then the apology should be made as prominent and clear as the original story, particularly if that had been on the front page.

malcolm hardy
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

malcolm hardy

Janis Grant
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Select a State

Janis Grant

Robert Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Wright

Peter Trueman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Trueman

Robin Clarke
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Clarke

Jack Thompson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jack Thompson

Chris Burgoyne
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Burgoyne

doug mccaully

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

doug mccaully

Gregory Turton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gregory Turton

Malcolm Rigg

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, and in equal fontsize.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take due care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Rigg

Tim Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Davies

Anne Bristow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Bristow

John Godsmann

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Godsman

Ash Berlin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ash Berlin

Pat Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pat Brown

Dan Hetherington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dan Hetherington

Geoffrey Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Smith

Peter Mace

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Mace

Dave Cross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater London

Dave Cross

Tony Sullivan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Sullivan

Peter Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Smith

Richard Butchart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Butchart

Matthew Graal

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matthew Graal

Derrick Dykins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derrick Dykins

Tim Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Hall

chris Caton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

Chris Caton

Barrie Stevens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barrie Stevens

Ross Elgar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ross Elgar

Philip Dickinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Dickinson

Pam Benbow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pam Benbow

Lambert Janice

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lambert Janice

Mark Antrobus

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Antrobus

Alan Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Smith

L Whitworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

L Whitworth

David Salter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Salter

Brian Hudson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Warwickshire

Brian Hudson

Keith Baker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Baker

john featherstone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

john Featherstone

Biph Forrest

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Biph Forrest

RICHARD MATTHEW

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

RICHARD MATTHEW

Jane Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Brown

kevin hagger

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

kevin hagger

David Beswick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

There should be no circumstances where a newspaper should be allowed to limit the space, or position, attributed to an apology that is smaller than, or is placed in a less prominent position than the article justifying an apology. The onus is ALWAYS on the editor to ensure accuracy in the first place.

Best wishes,

David Beswick

Adrian George

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Co Down

Adrian George

terrence alderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

terrence alderon

Howard Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours Sincerely

Howard Jones

Alan Thorn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Thorn

Saying Low

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Select State

Saying Low

Rod Stephens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rod Stephens

Geoffrey Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Evans

Iain Walker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain Walker

Beth Fitzgerald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Beth Fitzgerald

Steve Fowler

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Fowler

Paul Teedon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lanarkshire

Paul Teedon

Michael Elcome

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Elcome

Peter Lucas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Lucas

Elaine Decoulos

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elaine Decoulos

Peter Murphy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Murphy

Sylvia Coke-Woods

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sylvia Coke-Woods

Elric Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elric Davies

David Lester

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Lester

Andy Miller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

I sued the Daily Mail for libel and won, even though they took it all the way to the Supreme Court. When they lost at the Court of Appeal, their admission that they had lost was a microscopic piece on Page 47, to be compared with their libellous article being their front page headline. When they were thrown out by the Supreme Court, they refused to publish anything.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Andy Miller

eric blakeley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

eric Blakeley

Colin Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Smith

Neil Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Moreover, I have no confidence in IPSO to police the activities of the Press.

Essentially it amounts to self-regulation by an industry that has proved totally untrustworthy to date. Regulation should be handled by a statutory based but demonstrably independent body from which members of all political parties and anyone concerned with ownership of, or regulation by, the press should be excluded.

Sincerely,

Neil Anderson

Helen Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “with due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Smith

H Selley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

H Selley

Ada Whitaker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ada Whitaker

Oliver Burrows

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Oliver Burrows

Jacqueline Hickman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jacqueline Hickman

Andrew Brochwicz-Lewinski

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Brochwicz-Lewinski

Kay Wood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kay Wood

Anthony O'Connor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony O'Connor

Grahame Broadbelt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

In an era where trust in the media is under increasing scrutiny and where 'fake news' threatens to undermine democracy it is imperative that the Editors Code of Practice reflects the highest possible standard of ethics in meeting its responsibilities to the society.

Cumbria

Grahame Broadbelt

Ian Clarke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Ian Clarke

David Stroud

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Stroud

William Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Ward

Peter Large

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Large

Joan Slater

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joan Slater

Nick Taylor

To whom it may concern,

The Editors Code of Practice should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When readers have been misled it is vitally important that they are made aware of this.

Best wishes,

Nick Taylor

Anne Filcek

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Filcek

Michael Mc Govern

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Mc Govern

Christopher Parr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater Manchester

Christopher Parr

Oliver Penrose

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared. No screen scrolling should be necessary to see the correction or adjudication.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Oliver Penrose

John Hughan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Hughan

Brian Pocknee

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Pocknee

Roger Watkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Watkins

M Little

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

M Little

Jeremy Chadd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeremy Chadd

Peter Hammerton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Hammerton

Peter Osborne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Osborne

Roger Tomlinson

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too with equal prominence. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not buried further scrolls down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the correction. It can appear that at present editors know they can present inaccurate or made-up stories and any subsequent correction is effectively not seen by most readers. The Editors' Code of Practice should want an approach that stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care of what it presents next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Tomlinson

Ristead MacSomhairle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Risteard MacSomhairle

Michael Sabec

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Sabec

Oliver Woodroffe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Oliver Woodroffe

Drew Durning

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Drew Durning

Tony Goggins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Goggins

Paul Hunt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Hunt

robert mcMahon

To Mr Dacre and all members of the "Editor's Code Committee" and whom ever it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

When is this matter and others relating to the subject to be resolved, how many more years is it to take, how much more of taxpayers money is to be wasted on this and related subject matter, millions just wasted for others to reap the financial rewards of my monies, in costs.

Jobs for the "boys" again!!!!

Yours Sincerely

Robert McMahon

Anjala tam

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anjala tam

Geoffrey Wilkes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Wilkes

Gill Day

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gill Day

paul pagano

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

paul pagano

Brian Rowatt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Brian Rowatt

Janice May

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janice May

Kay Labon Labon
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Corrections to inaccurate or untrue stories should be corrected on the same page and in the same size font as the original. Otherwise, what sanction is there to make journalists and editors to mend their sometimes mendacious ways?

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kay Labon Labon

Bill Gridley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Gridley

ANGELA DUNN
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Working from home

ANGELA DUNN

David Abercrombie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Abercrombie

Robert Theobald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert Theobald

Terence Tattersall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terence Tattersall

Graham Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Apparently, one of the reasons for not requiring equal prominence is that it would "look daft". I don't really mind how "daft" a newspaper looks, the code should consider how "daft" a victim of the mistake was made to look by the original, incorrect, story.

Best wishes,

Graham Lewis

Jennifer Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Hall

Adrian Evans

To whom it may concern,

WE KNOW YOUR LITTLE GAME ! How about some good old BRITISH FAIR PLAY for a change eh?!!

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adrian Evans

Dr Michael Grainger OBE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dr Michael Grainger OBE

Steff De Simone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steff De Simone

Linda Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Linda Thomas

r higgins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

r Higgins

Marika Dalgliesh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marika Dalgliesh

John Bowles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Bowles

glyn beaver

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

glyn beaver

Andrew Tudor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Tudor

Stuart Leitch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Stirlingshire

Stuart Leitch

Anne Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Smith

Tony Silvester

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Silvester

Bob Reid

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bob Reid

Simon White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon White

christine haughton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

hampshire

christine Haughton

Stephen Potter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Potter

Richard Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Morris

Martin Fitzgerald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a **front page has a headline or story** which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the **full correction or adjudication, with any apology**, should **appear on the front page too** and for **online corrections or adjudications**, they should **appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared**, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Fitzgerald

Ray Papworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ray Papworth

Dr Valerie Langfield
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dr Valerie Langfield

Nancy Cox
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nancy Cox

Derek Clifford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence". If editors do not like this, then it should have the effect of making them more careful before publishing innaccurate stories on their front page - and possibly also encourage them to be more careful about their terminology.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dr Derek Clifford

wendy deakins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Wendy Deakins

Michael Vere

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Worcestershire

Michael Vere

Christopher Cheatle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Christopher Cheatle

RICHARD GOLDSMITH

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

RICHARD GOLDSMITH

David Green

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Green

Cliff Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cliff Mitchell

Karen Morley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Karen Morley

christina Knight

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

christina Knight

Robert Jordan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Wiltshire

Robert Jordan

John Barrell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Herefordshire

John Barrell

Jason Scott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

UK

Jason Scott

Valary Murphy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Valary Murphy

K McBurney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equal prominence to the code-breach, not the ill-defined "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, plus any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. Only such an approach will stand a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

K McBurney

John Phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Phillips

Nigel HARDCASTLE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel HARDCASTLE

Tom Murphy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Murphy

Roger Dobson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Dobson

Rodney Ffoulkes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rodney Ffoulkes

Fiona Lund

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fiona Lund

Jo Fisher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jo Fisher

David Sawyers
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Sawyers

Helen Madge
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

North Somerset

Helen Madge

BARBARA MAURICE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

BARBARA MAURICE

Andrew Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Ward

Helena Lech

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helena Lech

John Scotten

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Scotten

Nick Curtin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hampshire

Nick Curtin

Alan Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Devon

Alan Smith

Timothy Weakley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Timothy Weakley

jonathon hope
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Herts

jonathon hope

Tony Cheetham
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

Tony Cheetham

Gordon Crapper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Merseyside

Gordon Crapper

Jonathan Marsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Marsh

Colin James

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin James

William Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Johnson

Patricia Nicholas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I think this is a long overdue reform

Best wishes,

Patricia Nicholas

Colin Morgan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Morgan

Iain Carey
To whom it may concern,

My main comment is that the Editors' code should clearly specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that **corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence"**.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not numerous screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care with the truth in future.

Best wishes,

Iain Carey

Ann Lang
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Apart from then giving some real credence to the apology/correction, it could help to restore the reputation of news media. At the moment, such has been the social effect of constant dubious headlines especially, that large sections of our population believe nothing they read in our news

media, whilst another section feels they have been given every right to use violence to pursue their personal agenda. There seems absolutely no point in preserving press freedom when that freedom is being repeatedly used to damage our society and its people.

Best wishes,

Ann Lang

Jane Hill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Jane Hill

Stephen Houston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Renfrewshire

Stephen Houston

Philip Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Smith

Graham Bland

Dear Sirs,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There is a very good job which the free press does, however I have seen numerous examples where this is just an abuse of power, print what they like and there are few consequences, even if the story has just been invented for the sole purpose of selling Newspapers and adverts.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

You still have a chance to put your house in order, and I can see no reason not to if you still wish to be referred to as the 'responsible press'

Regards

Graham Bland

Blake Holt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Dorset

Blake Holt

g monks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

g monks

Jason Hall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jason Hall

Greg Beckett-Leonard

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

"due prominence" is entirely subjective, and is likely to be interpreted quite differently between editors and readers.

"equivalent prominence" is appropriate for correction/adjudication/apology when the regulator determines there has been a code breach. A front page breach should be given a front page response.

Best wishes,

Greg Beckett-Leonard

James McGuire

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James McGuire

Leon Hendry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

surrey

Leon Hendry

Jonathan Clegg

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Clegg

Graham Read

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Read

Chris Stait

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should say y in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Stait

John Hanks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Hanks

Jo Walton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jo Walton

Clem Rutter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

To be clear, the same Font and font-size should be used as in the original, and if this forces a page to wrap than the correction should continue on the next page. This applies to the print version version and on line. If the print-run was accompnied by promotional material for retailers that two need to be replaces with the apology in identical type and type-size

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

In anticipation

Clem Rutter

Clem Rutter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

To be clear, the same Font and font-size should be used as in the original, and if this forces a page to wrap than the correction should continue on the next page. This applies to the print version version and on line. If the print-run was accompnied by promotional material for retailers that two need to be replaces with the apology in identical type and type-size

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

In anticipation

Clem Rutter

Anthony Connell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, People are entitled to be treated fairly and always with a similar level of response as the original story. If this means a number of apologies on front pages then so be it.

Papers who regularly publish wrong or lisleading articles should face equivalent consequences.

That way the people who saw the original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care when publishing stories rather than being unconcerned about the consequences of publishing false or misleading stories.

Yours faithfully,

Anthony Connell

Tony Wardle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Gwent

Tony Wardle

James Black

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Black

John Toby

To whom it may concern,

I understand that there is a concern that front page or prominent corrections would 'look daft'. It is entirely appropriate that the publication should indeed 'look daft' and also that the same number of readers who saw the original story would be able to see the true position.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

There is currently little prospect that the Code of Practice will attract widespread real support from the public at large and this measure would go some way to convincing a largely sceptical public of the Committee's intention to make real amends when erroneous information is published.

Best wishes,

John Toby

Martin Stacey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Stacey

Helen Douglas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Douglas

Horatio Mortimer

This is a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble and under "Accuracy" should say that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, (not "due prominence").

The purpose should be twofold.

1. To deter the publisher from repeating the breach.
2. To ensure that as many readers see it as saw the original, so as to correct the public impression.

Best wishes,

Horatio Mortimer

Benjamin Evans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Benjamin Evans

Vic Young

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equal prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Vic Young

Charles Rees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Rees

Andy Pickersgill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andy Pickersgill

Eric Hearn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, **should appear on the front page too** and for online corrections or adjudications, **they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared**, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eric Hearn

Hamid Guernah

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hamid Guernah

Jane Lane

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Lane

Bill Grantham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Bill Grantham

Peter ALLEN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Bucks

Peter ALLEN

Hugh Macpherson

To whom it may concern,

This is a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hugh Macpherson

Tony Fisher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. Otherwise, where is the real

disincentive to playing fast and loose with the truth in order to sell copies/get hits.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time. It's only fair.

Best wishes,

Tony Fisher

Steve James

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve James

Connie Beckett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

I only wish that after the time & expense of Leveson we had a more responsible press & a government willing to ensure its independence & veracity.

Somerset

Connie Beckett

Neil Tully

To whom it may concern,

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down

Flintshire

Neil Tully

Brian Snelgrove

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Snelgrove

Richard Ravilious

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Ravilious

Gerald Mackie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerald Mackie

Kate Bagenal-Lowe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kate Bagenal-Lowe

Sarah Burningham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Sarah Burningham

Emrys Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Emrys Hughes

Michael Piggott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

West Yorkshire

Michael Piggott

murray wilkinson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

murray Wilkinson

martin kemp

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

martin kemp

Stephen Gosling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Gosling

Subramaniam Pathmanathan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Subramaniam Pathmanathan

Angela Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Angela Taylor

David MacLeod

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David MacLeod

Michael Fisher

To whom it may concern,

I fully endorse the statement below

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Fisher

David Palmer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Palmer

Bryan Tookey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Middlesex

Bryan Tookey

Iain Barker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Iain Barker

Steve Hennings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Hennings

Martin Sherrard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Sherrard

Michael Bernard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This is no more than common sense. Please take this obvious step to ensure fairness in the press and to retain the (mostly) good reputation of the British press.

Best wishes,

Michael Bernard

Robin Farquharson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Farquharson

Ken Stevens

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ken Stevens

Steve Paterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Basically, if a newspaper accuses someone of being a terrorist / paedophile / tax cheat / benefits cheat / whatever and splashes it across pages 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 (making money from those newspaper sales or web hits) and then is proved wrong, they should admit that they were wrong across pages 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 rather than burying a correction in half a sentence at the bottom of page 37 below an advert for a decorated plate collection. This might encourage papers to be accurate before trashing somebody's reputation.

Best wishes,

Steve Paterson

Jeremy Latham

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeremy Latham

Richard Westwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Westwood

Peter Wratten

Here is my response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach (and not “due prominence”).

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be in breach of the code, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too; and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not many screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story will be able to see the true position. A further benefit of this approach is that it may encourage the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards,

Peter Wratten

Jonathan Stevenson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Stevenson

Paul Villiers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Villiers

Ian Dunn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, rather than "due prominence".

I consider it essential that when a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should also appear on the front page.

This is even more important for online corrections or adjudications, which should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared. It is a well known fact that many people who look at websites do not go very deeply into the site, meaning that a correction or adjudication

many screen scrolls further down will not be seen.

For a correction or apology to be effective, it is essential that the same number of readers who saw the original story also see the correction. This approach stands a much better chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Dunn

William Hobhouse

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications must be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Avon

William Hobhouse

Pamela Sloan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pamela Sloan

Derek Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derek Jones

jo lines

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage, and with the same typeface, as the original story first appeared.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

jo lines

Terence Winrow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terence Winrow

Jeff Waistell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Buckinghamshire

Jeff Waistell

Michael Lennon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Lennon

louise donovan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

louise Donovan

Gordon Middleton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Middleton

bill pearce

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

bill pearce

Charles Strang

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Strang

Rachel Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rachel Brown

william & Tessa Rees

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

william & Tessa Rees

Peter Carter
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The idea of a headline correction to a headline article, while in theory useful, in practice would not be in the public interest, as it would put corrections before the news people buy the paper for! However, where the correction is equally newsworthy, it should be carried as a story in its own right and given equal prominence.

Peter Carter

Ron de Jong
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ron de Jong

Gareth Evans
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gareth Evans

Stephen Laffey
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater London

Stephen Laffey

Michael Wrigglesworth
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Wrigglesworth

David Burgess
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Burgess

Mark O'Brien

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Greater London

Mark O'Brien

terry scales

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

terry scales

Ian Pridgeon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Pridgeon

Tom Caulker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Tyne & Wear

Tom Caulker

Veronica Leeke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Veronica Leeke

Sarah Cooke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

*Not Applicable

Sarah Cooke

Nigel Edwards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

OXFORDSHIRE

Nigel Edwards

Kevin Ireland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kevin Ireland

Stev Hayward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stev Hayward

Terence McAuliffe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terence McAuliffe

Paul James

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Essex

Paul James

GEORGE PRZYBYLA

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

MIDDLESEX

GEORGE PRZYBYLA

Charles Metcalfe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Metcalfe

Karl Hendrickx

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Karl Hendrickx

David Campbell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Lancashire

David Campbell

Kieran McGhee

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kieran McGhee

Simon Oldridge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Oldridge

Phil Arthur

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phil Arthur

Ian Deans

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Deans

Terry Ryan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours in anticipation

Terry Ryan

Christopher Noel REDDEN

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

TYRONE

Christopher Noel REDDEN

Sandra Lawrence

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sandra Lawrence

Walter Osborne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Walter Osborne

Steve Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

As confirmation that this is a genuine consultation by the Editors' Code of Practice Committee, which will take regard of all responses, I will welcome an acknowledgement of this contribution to the consultation.

Best wishes,

Steve Taylor

Robert Melaugh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Melaugh

Paul Goodman

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a concerned newspaper reader, I'm emailing you with my response under the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice:

I strongly feel the code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with **equivalent** prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, together with any apology, should appear on the front page too. For online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not a huge number of screen scrolls further down.

Only in this way will the same (or an **equivalent**) number of readers who saw the original story be able see the true, i.e. corrected, position relating to the story in question. And such an approach also stands a better chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Many thanks

Paul Goodman

Barry Gifford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Gifford

Trevor Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Suffolk

Trevor Cooper

Liz Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Liz Davies

Olwen Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Olwen Davies

William Gill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

William Gill

Tom Holdich

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Holdich

Jane McCarthy
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane McCarthy

Terry Geraghty
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

HERTFORDSHIRE

Terry Geraghty

Jacqie Levin
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Corrections should be printed as large and as prominently as the original erroneous statement!

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jacqie Levin

Anne Gillespie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anne Gillespie

CATHERINE WAFER

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

CATHERINE WAFER

Di Baker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Di Baker

Roger Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Brown

Martin Denman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy", that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page or within pages 2 -4, and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Such an approach stands some chance of encouraging the newspaper in question to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Denman

Alan Pedder

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

RUTLAND

Alan Pedder

John Barley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Barley

Tim Proctor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Proctor

Terry Walton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Terry Walton

Fred Davison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Fred Davison

Hugh Mackay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Vale of Glamorgan

Hugh Mackay

Brenda Bristow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brenda Bristow

Aileen Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Aileen Jackson

Mark McIntosh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Mark McIntosh

Roy Pearson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This is necessary to create public confidence which is essential for the independence of the press.

Yours faithfully,

Roy Pearson

Joan McLoughlin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with "equivalent prominence" to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a newspaper prints a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should be given the same prominence so if the headline or story appeared on the front page that is where the full correction or adjudication should appear and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared. Due prominence is too vague and is capable of being interpreted in different ways. The code should therefore specify "equivalent prominence" not

"due prominence".

Equivalent prominence is much more likely to encourage journalists to make sure their stories are accurate and will go further to appease the complainant than due prominence.

Best wishes,

Joan McLoughlin

David Girling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with greater prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Girling

Les Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Les Smith

Clive Downing

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Downing

Ian Castle

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Castle

Malcolm Clark

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Clark

Catherine Powloski

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Catherine Powloski

Hilda Baxter Baxter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hilda Baxter Baxter

Tim Jebb

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position, and such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Jebb

Tim Rattay

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Rattay

David Saunders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Saunders

Tony Eade

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Eaude

Tony Eaude
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Eaude

Graham Mitchell
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Mitchell

Sue Fenton
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sue Fenton

Richard Lewis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Richard Lewis

Gwyndaf Hughes

To whom it may concern,

This is another useless plea since you will ignore it unless forced by legislation, but here goes. Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gwyndaf Hughes

Nick Heard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nick Heard

Joe Selfe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Joe Selfe

Lynne Whittemore

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Lynne Whitemore

Robert Anson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Anson

Tony Milnes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Berks

Tony Milnes

Mhairi Macdonald

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mhairi Macdonald

Jan Doel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jan Doel

John Mc Dowall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Mc Dowall

stephen barnes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

stephen barnes

Sue Kauth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Sue Kauth

Anthony Simmons

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Yours sincerely,

Anthony Simmons

Graeme Shackleton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Graeme Shackleton

David Sumner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Sumner

Gary Northeast

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Gary Northeast

Greg Mouat

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Greg Mouat

Carolyn Stewart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carolyn Stewart

Albert Slater

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Albert Slater

Elizabeth Nash

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Elizabeth Nash

Gary Spencer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Gary Spencer

Grant Lewins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for

example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this is not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Yours sincerely,,

Grant Lewins

David Paul

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Paul

Geoffrey Bonnett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Bonnett

David Coleman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for

example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns. Perhaps if you were able to stand against the instructions of the Evil Global media Puppet Master Mr Murdoch alias Joseph Goebbels then you would earn respect rather than contempt?

Best wishes,

David Coleman

Donna Reeves

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Donna Reeves

Geoffrey Watson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Watson

DIANE EASTHOPE EASTHOPE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

DIANE EASTHOPE

David Mills

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Mills

David Butt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

David Butt

Geoff Colebrooke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Geoff Colebrooke

Diane King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Diane King

Guy Tanfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Best wishes,

Guy Tanfield

Emma Morgan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Clause 4 (Intrusion into grief of shock) should be amended to provide specific protection for the bereaved from attacks on the reputation of the deceased, especially when they are children, young people or not in the public eye.

It should be possible to amend the code so that where there is a strong public interest in - for example - exposing the criminal record of the deceased, this not a breach; but otherwise families should be protected from the pain and distress of sensationalist reporting which trashes the reputation of their loved one. It has been five years since a number of bereaved families testified to the Leveson Inquiry and there has been no move by the Committee to meet their concerns.

Hertfordshire

Emma Morgan

Martin Lang

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Martin Lang

Nick Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nick Taylor

Mark Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Surrey

Mark Turner

L Whitworth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

During a recent holiday I was at leisure to work my way through several quality papers every day, and was shocked to see quite how much 'overlap' (theft) appeared between those pages. **To regain any credibility the Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites who plagiarise the work of others to seek permission, give credit and – in the case of online publication – to provide a link to the original story. Any failure to do so should be acknowledged and apologised for promptly and with equal prominence.**

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

L Whitworth

Lawrence Patterson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given

and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Lawrence Patterson

Kenn D Crossley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Kenn D Crossley

David Birkett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

David Birkett

Michael McLeod

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael McLeod

Richard O'Grady

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Richard O'Grady

John Denny

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

West Sussex

John Denny

Julia Kent

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Julia Kent

Mark David

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mark David

Nigel Fulton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nigel Fulton

lesley leader

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

lesley leader

Mary Harris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mary Harris

Monica King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Monica King

Mat Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mat Anderson

Michael Ainsley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Michael Ainsley

John Hughes
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Cambridgeshire

John Hughes

Mary Ann Scadding
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the

press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mary Ann Scadding

Nigel Hiley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Nigel Hiley

Marek Wasenczuk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of "churnalism" where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else's story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Marek Wasenczuk

Mervyn Wilmington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Mervyn Wilmington

Martin Barnard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop plagiarising the work of others. To re-publish a story published elsewhere, permission should be sought, credit given and – for online publication – a link to the original story. Any failure to do this should be apologised for with equal prominence and promptly.

Nick Davies book, Flat Earth News, described nearly 10 years ago the habit of “churnalism” where the press releases of PR companies are recycled and packaged as new stories, yet nothing has been done about the practice. Nowadays news websites are routinely repackaging someone else’s story as their own without permission, credit or even linking to the original.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this plague of plagiarism.

Best wishes,

Martin Barnard

Terry Anton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Lancashire

Terry Anton

Andy Curd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Andy Curd

pipa vickers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

pipa Vickers

Martin Davies Jones

To whom it may concern,

Each individual's right to personal freedom, which is so highly cherished in this country, must surely extend to the right to lead one's own personal life without unwanted and unwelcome intrusion from any third party, whether the press or indeed anyone else.

The press has a particular responsibility here in setting an example which means, of course, exercising their freedom of expression in a measured way and it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of the individual to privacy as they go about their daily lives.

The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

UK

Martin Davies Jones

Neil Tanner
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Hampshire

Neil Tanner

Nigel Wilcockson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Nigel Wilcockson

Arnold Johnson
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Arnold Johnson

John Martin

To whom it may concern,

My concern is that the balance of power is not even between an individual and editors with media owners behind them. The weaker requires legal protection as I know in my own experience.

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

John Martin

Martin Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Martin Wright

Brian may may

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy.

The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Brian May

Annette Hope

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Annette Hope

Maxwell Wade

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Maxwell Wade

Ronald Corbin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ronald Corbin

Patrick Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Patrick Ward

Phyllis Hyde

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

WEST MIDLANDS

Phyllis Hyde

Peter Caswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Caswell

Peter Caswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Caswell

Paul Brangwyn

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paul Brangwyn

Roger Banks

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Yours sincerely,

Roger Banks

Pat Whitley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pat Whitley

Robert Toft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Shropshire

Robert Toft

Simon Fuchs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Simon Fuchs

Richard Johnson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Johnson

peter ryland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

peter Ryland

Peter Lucas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Lucas

rob platts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

rob plats

Ronald Kercher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Devon

Ronald Kercher

wilfred phillips

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

wilfred Phillips

Phil Dotchon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

South Glamorgan

Phil Dotchon

Paul Doherty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

This sort of misuse is not appropriate. I cannot imagine that a newspaper would accept photographs which are their property being used elsewhere without permission or a fee being paid, so there is no reason why the reverse should apply.

This practice is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Paul Doherty

mark hardwick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

mark hardwick

Sally Twist

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sally Twist

Ian Pridgeon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ian Pridgeon

Ralph Twiss

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ralph Twiss

Roy Windmill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Roy Windmill

Sandra Archer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sandra Archer

William Ross

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

William Ross

Robert Brown
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Robert Brown

Pam Renshaw
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pam Renshaw

Judith Rainsbury
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their

words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Judith Rainsbury

David Shaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

David Shaw

Steve Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

The alternative is accept that all material published by subscribers to IPSO is fair game for anyone else to use, publish or disseminate, without prior permission or financial recompense, if they deem it to be 'in the public interest'.

I would appreciate a reply indicating your thoughts and intentions on this matter.

Best wishes,

Steve Taylor

robert gardner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

robert gardner

Jennifer Hartman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Hartman

Sonia Hartman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from Facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on Twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors' Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

This is an example of newspapers' activities that brings the whole industry into disrepute and is a contribution to people's distrust and annoyance with the press.

Kind regards,

Sonia Hartman

Peter Rainsbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Rainsbury

Paul Jeffery

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

East Sussex

Paul Jeffery

Sheena Woodcraft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Surrey

Sheena Woodcraft

Richard Przystupa

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Leicestershire

Richard Przystupa

Peter Washington

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

DORSET

Peter Washington

Peter Gordon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

East Sussex

Peter Gordon

Peter Terry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be

offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Essex

Peter Terry

Ruth Styles Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ruth Styles Wilson

Richard Darby

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from Facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on Twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Darby

Mavis Clemson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Mavis Clemson

Sue Seagroatt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Sue Seagroatt

Richard Morrison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Richard Morrison

Peter Wilcock

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

UNITED KINGDOM

Peter Wilcock

Peter Mulvaney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication “to the world”, newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Mulvaney

zoe norfolk

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

zoe Norfolk

Ruth Gilbert

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ruth Gilbert

Paul Fullwood

To whom it may concern,

I wish that the text below be considered as my response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

My reasoning is as stated by the Hacked off campaign, which is that no one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without acknowledgment, permission or recompense. This practice devalues journalism and cheapens the newspapers that encourage it.

Regards

Paul Fullwood

Peter Gange

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Wilts

Peter Gange

Ray Rogers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ray Rogers

Pauline Dobson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Pauline Dobson

David Palmer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

David Palmer

Ivan and Sue Godfrey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

We feel very strongly that the Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Ivan and Sue Godfrey

Annette Hope

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended so that the public interest guidance, like the courts, gives the same weight to the right to privacy as it gives to freedom of expression.

While I agree that freedom of expression for newspaper owners and their editors is important, it is wrong to consider whether an action or article is in the public interest only on the basis of that freedom, and not with equivalent regard to the rights of individuals for respect for their privacy. The courts have long recognised that publication disputes should be settled by considering a balance between the right to publish and the right to privacy. A standards code operated by what should be an independent regulator should take the same approach.

Best wishes,

Annette Hope

Peter Worth

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Code should be amended to require newspapers and their websites to stop stealing photos from facebook and other social media without permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts publicly on twitter or Instagram would object to a newspaper republishing their words with appropriate citation, but photos have a value and payment for the copyright should be offered. When it comes to Facebook, which is not intended for publication "to the world", newspapers should not be able to raid the site and use what they like without permission or recompense.

This is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee so you are ideally placed to amend the Code to tackle this lazy practice of 'social media piracy'.

Best wishes,

Peter Worth

Simon Row

To whom it may concern,

Please will you consider this letter as my response to IPSO's Consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The Editor's Code should be AMENDED to require newspapers (and their associated websites etc) to stop "stealing" photographs from Facebook - and the other social media sites - without the account holder's permission and without offering to buy the copyright.

No one who posts things "publicly" on Twitter or Instagram could object to a newspaper republishing their words - with appropriate citation - but any photographs have a separate value, and payment for the copyright should be offered.

When it comes to Facebook accounts - the contents are not intended for publication "to the whole world" - newspapers should not be PERMITTED - or be able - to raid personal accounts and use whatever they choose without the owner's permission or without offering suitable recompense - this is especially common in newspapers run by those on the Editors Code Committee - so you will be ideally placed to amend the Code in order to tackle this "lazy" practice of "Social Media Piracy".

Best wishes,

Simon Row

John Crawford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Crawford

Kate Mulholland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kate Mulholland

Judy Smith

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Judy Smith

Jenny Hillier

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jenny Hillier

James Bassendine
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

James Bassendine

Val George
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Val George

Josie Howell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Josie Howell

Mark Ashwell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Ashwell

John Eastwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Eastwood

Laura Hodges

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser

or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Laura Hodges

Jane Lane

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jane Lane

John Salter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Salter

Kenneth McAllister

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Kenneth McAllister

Andrew Brain

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC, which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Andrew Brain

Keith Duesbury

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Keith Duesbury

Paul Thompson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Gloucestershire

Paul Thompson

Philip Hilliam

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Philip Hilliam

howard cartlidge

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

howard cartlidge

John BUSby
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Busby

Jonathan Seamons
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Seamons

Howard Perry
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Howard Perry

Ian Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Ian Anderson

John Boardman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

John Boardman

Harold Heller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of pressure from or deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates

that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Harold Heller

Janet Andersson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Janet Andersson

Judith Lawson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented. How can they then criticise other organisations for behaving in the same way?

Best wishes,

Judith Lawson

Felice Loncraine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Felice Loncraine

John Huttleston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

There is a clear case of "conflict of interest" when the media decide to tell people what to think.

Best wishes,

John Huttleston

Sue Burt

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There should be a new clause on honesty and transparency which requires that newspapers must make clear where editorial content has been paid for or influenced by a third party, or where content has been omitted on the basis of deference to a third party, such as (but not limited to) an advertiser or sponsor.

The scandal at the Telegraph and HSBC which led to the resignation of Peter Osborne, demonstrates that newspapers are willing to mislead their readers by covertly pandering to commercial interests instead of being honest about the way news is presented.

Best wishes,

Sue Burt

Martyn Ayre

The Code review lacks credibility if for no other reason than that it is chaired by Paul Dacre. Any entity

or activity associated with this man is tainted by association with his manifest professional and personal failings.

This is a man who accused Ed Miliband's father - on the sole basis of political prejudice and without a shred of anything that could be called 'evidence' - of "hating Britain". He then - rather gutlessly, I thought - hid behind his Deputy when the inevitable flak came in, instead of trying to defend or justify his claim.

He is the editor of a paper that calls High Court judges "enemies of the people" for asserting and upholding the sovereignty of Parliament.

He is a hypocrite whose paper endlessly rails about his imagined evils and profligacy of the EU whilst he is taking up CAP payments to subsidise his country estates.

A plague on the house of IPSO - and please log this as another call for the full implementation of Levenson and a thumbs-down for the lickspittle's charter that is IPSO.

Cheers,

Martyn Ayre

Andrew Tye

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Tye

Mike Watkins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mike Watkins

chris stokes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

chris stokes

Carole Goodin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Carole Goodin

Janet Wass

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Janet Wass

Jean Chothia

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jean Chothia

Paul Surman

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Surman

Robert Williamson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Williamson

Terry Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terry Williams

Nigel Hiley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

When newspapers print in a prominent position, stories which are later shown to be untrue then equal prominence should be given to the correction of that story. The correction should not be buried somewhere within the paper, neither should it be given little weight. This will help Editors to focus their minds on the presentation of accurate information, rather than the lies and smears that habitually litter the pages of the tabloid press.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Nigel Hiley

grant key

Dear Sir and Mesdames,

I write to lodge a response to the Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice on a matter which has exercised me for many years. Please note my view that:-

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

Some accountability would not go amiss, on the part of the journalist and the publisher. 'Truth' should be a term of real weight. Cynicism amongst paying readers is only encouraged by the present regulatory system.

Yours Faithfully,

grant key

Anthony Bailey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Bailey

Jane Chatterton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jane Chatterton

Martin Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martin Payne

Niall McChesney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Niall McChesney

Gordon Flack

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Flack

Patrick Ormonde

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Patrick Ormonde

Sue Carter

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sue Carter

Barry Hollingsbee

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Hollingsbee

James Marshall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Marshall

Mary Barnes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mary Barnes

Brian Russell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Russell

Gemma Jennings

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gemma Jennings

Patricia Crompton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

This is becoming more and more important in this era of lies, falsehoods and "post truths". It has never been more relevant that newspapers operate to the highest standards when reporting.

Best wishes,

Patricia Crompton

andy hardwick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

andy hardwick

Roger Partner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Roger Partner

Stephen Garrett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Garrett

patrick leach

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

OUTSIDE US

patrick leach

alan hadley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

alan Hadley

geoffrey sims

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

geoffrey sims

David Rippon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Rippon

Derrick W. Baker baker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Derrick W. Baker baker

JACKU BOYLE

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

JACKU BOYLE

Michael Brown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Brown

Stephen Brice

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Contact PM Office email form

Stephen Brice

GRAHAM WEBB

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

GRAHAM WEBB

Leslie Jackson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Leslie Jackson

Ian Jarvis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Jarvis

Paul Huggins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

EAST YORKS

Paul Huggins

Martyn Ayre

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martyn Ayre

Robert Sparrow

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Sparrow

Andrew Corbett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes

Andrew Corbett

Hannah Rooney

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hannah Rooney

Christine Moore Williams
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours faithfully,

Chris Moore Williams

David Fisher
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Fisher

Mark Waller

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Mark Waller

Jo Johnston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Jo Johnston

Stephen Turner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Turner

Brian Howe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Brian Howe

David Watts

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Watts

Susanne Gristwood

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach,

the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Susanne Gristwood

Adam Locke

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Adam Locke

Felicity Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Felicity Taylor

Helen Murphy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Helen Murphy

Beatrice Greenfield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Beatrice Greenfield

Terry Martin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Terry Martin

Alan Toms

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alan Toms

Peter Symonds

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

England

Peter Symonds

Cheryl Lloyd

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a

published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Cheryl Lloyd

Alec Melvin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Alec Melvin

Jonathan Hodgkiss

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jonathan Hodgkiss

Tony Mackie

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Mackie

Catherine Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Midlothian

Catherine Thomas

PETER RICHARDSON

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

PETER RICHARDSON

Barry Walsh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barry Walsh

Patricia Higgins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Regards

Patricia Higgins

Barbara Garfi

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Ceredigion

Barbara Garfi

david philpot

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

david Philpot

Rick Axcell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rick Axcell

Christine Hardisty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

regards,

Christine Hardisty

Charles Farrant

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Charles Farrant

Dave Punshon

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Córdoba

Dave Punshon

Dave Gilbert

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dave Gilbert

Stephen Cummins

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Cummins

John Bettison

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Nottinghamshire

John Bettison

Jessica Corsi
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jessica Corsi

Ken Davies
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ken Davies

Adrienne May
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an

approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Norfolk

Adrienne May

Derek Payne

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Derek Payne

Keith Colley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Keith Colley

David Marshall

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Marshall

Ian Kirkpatrick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian Kirkpatrick

John Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

NOTTS

John Morris

Paul Stevenson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Stevenson

David Linnell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Linnell

Simon Hateley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Hateley

Stephen Richards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Stephen Richards

Louis Boner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Louis Boner

Simon Tufnail

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Tufnail

Andrew Jakeway

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

Demonstrably the present low profile punishment system is having no significant effect.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down. That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Kent--

Andrew Jakeway

Bob Baylis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

England

Bob Baylis

Stewart Faulkner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stewart Faulkner

Tim Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tim Williams

MARTIN HIGGINS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

MARTIN HIGGINS

Gerry Veart

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gerry Veart

Peter Dean

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Dean

Peter Gaskin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Gaskin

Collin Whittaker

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Collin Whittaker

Roger Shimmin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Shimmin

Leyla Lane

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes

Leyla Lane

Chris Gillard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Gillard

Richard Simpson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard Simpson

David Horton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

State

David Horton

Sarah Tolson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Sarah Tolson

George Thomson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

George Thomson, an actual person

Timothy Gingell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Timothy Gingell

Giles Cooper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Giles Cooper

Michael Willis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

I feel these next points are vitally important.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best regards,

Michael Willis

Roland Mead

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roland Mead

Rebecca Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Rebecca Hughes

Malcolm Gibbs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cornwall

Malcolm Gibbs

Philip Gaskell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Philip Gaskell

Kam Mistry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Kam Mistry

Roger Marston

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Roger Marston

Barrie Sales

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Barrie Sales

Oliver Rider

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Oliver Rider

Debbie Sherreard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Debbie Sherreard

JOHN DAVIS

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

JOHN DAVIS

Patsy Musto

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

It should also be printed as soon as the breach is identified.

Sincerely

Patsy Musto

CHRIS HOCKLEY

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

CHRIS HOCKLEY

Francis Field

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

East Sussex

Francis Field

Graham Perry

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Graham Perry

James Elsender

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

James Elsender

Anthony Thomas

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Anthony Thomas

Jeff Holly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jeff Holly

Ged Gardiner

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ged Gardiner

Morgaine Merch Lleud

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Morgaine Merch Lleud

Auriol Stephenson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Such an approach is also likely to encourage the newspaper concerned to take more care next time.

Surrey

Auriol Stephenson

Michael Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Wright

Gordon Creaser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Creaser

David Paul

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Paul

Petra Suckling

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Northants

Petra Suckling

Doug Valentine

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Doug Valentine

Robert Laskey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Laskey

Pat McKenna

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Pat McKenna

Robin Khan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robin Khan

Geoff Greenland

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Berkshire

Geoff Greenland

Malcolm Wright

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Malcolm Wright

Andrew Norcott

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Andrew Norcott

Brian Rogers

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Brian Rogers

Ian George
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ian George

Stephanie Potts
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephanie Potts

Paul Seymour
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Paul Seymour

Tom Harvey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tom Harvey

Simon Burgess

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Simon Burgess

Alexandra Mitchell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Oxon

Alexandra Mitchell

Hugh Fitzpatrick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hugh Fitzpatrick

Cathal Griffin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Cathal Griffin

Ben Makepeace

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ben Makepeace

John Wilson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Wilson

Chas & Annette Lankester

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chas & Annette Lankester

Stephe Meloy

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours,

Stephe Meloy

Craig Jolly

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Craig Jolly

Robert Hughes

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Hughes

peter crabbe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

peter crabbe

Tony Mobbs

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Mobbs

Elspeth McAdam

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Elspeth McAdam

Ronald Corbin

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ronald Corbin

Noel Williams

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Noel Williams

Irene Henson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Irene Henson

Gary Elshaw

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Elshaw

Michael T. Fox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as my response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a breach of the code, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

This way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. Such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Thanking you for your help in this matter, I remain,
Yours faithfully,

Michael T. Fox

Stephen Bird
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephen Bird

David Weighton

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Weighton

Tony Swaine
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Tony Swaine

Zabeen Patel

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Zabeen Patel

Frank Lazarus

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank Lazarus

Nick Wales

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

LEICESTERSHIRE

Nick Wales

Robert Cox

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

- Non US -

Robert Cox

John Haggerty

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Haggerty

Gillian Saunders

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gillian Saunders

Clive Davis

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Clive Davis

peter masters

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

peter masters

David Powell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Powell

John Tulloch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Tulloch

Phil Carr

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Phil Carr

Martin Mulchrone

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

London

Martin Mulchrone

John Kramer

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Hertfordshire

John Kramer

Hilary Bailey
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Hilary Bailey

John Bailey

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Bailey

John Bailey

Matt Davis
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for

online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Matt Davis

Stephanie Howard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Stephanie Howard

Susan Gilchrist

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Yours sincerely,

Susan Gilchrist

David Taylor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Taylor

Deirdre O'Connor

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Surrey

Deirdre O'Connor

Steve Crown

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Steve Crown

Robert Lindley
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Lindley

Chris Donovan
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Chris Donovan

Zoe Panting
To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Zoe Panting

David Morris

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

David Morris

Gordon Nevill

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gordon Nevill

Colin Ellar

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

There is difference between right and wrong. Your behaviour should reflect this and your reporting should have some kind of moral compass.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin Ellar

Rebecca Anderson

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Rebecca Anderson

Gary Buswell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Gary Buswell

Roderick Fisher

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Roderick Fisher

Patrick Newmarch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Patrick Newmarch

David Green

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Pembrokeshire

David Green

Bernard Welch

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Somerset

Bernard Welch

Canice McAuliffe

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Canice McAuliffe

Ian Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the

original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

By requiring this to be done it will ensure that the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true and correct position. Such an approach will ensure a greater chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care in ensuring true and accurate reporting at all times.

Regards

Ian Ward

Martyn Jones

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Martyn Jones

Marjorie Waugh

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Marjorie Waugh

Will Richards

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Will Richards

Jayas Chouhan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Jayas Chouhan

Robert Fraser

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Fraser

Paul Schofield

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Paul Schofield

Mike Hope

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

To do less in this age of 'fake news' would be to totally fail in the press' duty to tell the public the truth at all times!

Best wishes,

Mike Hope

Patricia Whitaker

Clause 12 Discrimination: Please remove the requirement that only an individual may complain on their own behalf. Allow complaints to be made by others where there is clear bias targeted at an individual or groups. Where a complaint is upheld, any correction/apology should be given similar prominence to the original piece.

Emily Harper

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of

Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Emily Harper

Dle Greig

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dale greig

Michael Hrebeniak

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Hrebeniak

philip mead

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

philip mead

Richard Key

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Richard Key

Eleanor Sedgewick

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eleanor Sedgewick

david lucraft

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

david lucraft

Michael Green

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Michael Green

John Davies

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

John Davies

Dereen Duley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Dereen Duley

Stephen Sheppard

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors’ Code Committee’s consultation on its Editors’ Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Cardiff

Stephen Sheppard

Ken Lowles

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Ken Lowles

Richard James

To whom it may concern,

The press does a lot of good, but why does it not want to take responsibility for the harm it does to ordinary people by its manipulation of facts to further the money it makes by trying to increase circulation. It is disgraceful that some newspaper companies say well if you do not like it sue, knowing they have the money and ordinary people have not so are powerless. Surely the country deserves a less biased press.

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Richard James

Matthew Ward

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to
a) require apologies where appropriate and
b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where

the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Best wishes,

Matthew Ward

Wendy Rochefort

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Wendy Rochefort

Neilson Crowley

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Neilson Crowley

Robert Nash

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications

should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Robert Nash

Paul Bennett

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to IPSO's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code preamble should specify that the regulator has the power to

a) require apologies where appropriate and

b) direct the size and placement (the prominence) of those apologies.

The Leveson Report made this recommendation and the argument that the newspapers give in opposition - that a forced or insincere apology is worthless - is both self-serving and wrong. Where the code has been breached and damage caused, the successful complainant should be entitled to a published apology if they wish one. The need to apologise may deter newspapers from repeating code breaches which cause harm or hurt.

Worcestershire

Paul Bennett

Frank White

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under “Accuracy” that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not “due prominence”.

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Frank White

Margaret Meehan

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes

Margaret Meehan

Eddie I Farrell

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Eddie I Farrell

Darryl Spittle

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too. Regarding online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not untold screen scrolls further down the page.

This way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the correction, adjudication, apology, etc. In addition, such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Darryl Spittle

Peter Morford

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Peter Morford

Colin King

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this as a response to Editors' Code Committee's consultation on its Editors' Code of Practice.

The code should specify in the preamble and under "Accuracy" that corrections and adjudications should be published with equivalent prominence to the code-breach, not "due prominence".

When a front page has a headline or story which is determined by the regulator to be a code-breach, the full correction or adjudication, with any apology, should appear on the front page too and for online corrections or adjudications, they should appear in the same place on the homepage as the original story first appeared, not 60 screen scrolls further down.

That way the same number of readers who saw original story can see the true position. And such an approach stands a chance of encouraging the newspaper to take more care next time.

Best wishes,

Colin King